Structured Settlement Protection Act Considerations

- Include a disclosure statement showing Present Day Value (PDV) of future payments and the net amount to be paid by the factoring company
- Include a provision for the petition to be filed in the same court as the original underlying claim settlement
- Include a disclosure that the annuitant should seek independent professional advice
- Include a disclosure by the annuitant of previous petitions for approval to transfer Structured
 Settlement rights within the last # of years
- Include a copy of transfer agreement between the payee and the transferee
- Require a personal appearance before the court
- Require the approval be based on the "best interest" of payee taking into account the welfare/support of payee's dependents
 - List the payee and all dependent and ages
 - List the proposed use of proceeds
 - List marital status
 - Disclose child support/spousal maintenance orders
 - List income sources
- Service requirements to all interested parties

Claim Resolution via a structured settlement



Life Insurance company provides payment options and ultimately issues a contract. Future payments are established.

Notes:

Wisconsin is one of two states without a Structured Settlement Protection Act (SSPA). The other is North Dakota.

Factoring companies came on to the scene in early 1990's. Deep discounts resulted in the creation of SSPA's starting in the late 1990's.

The life company redirects the transfer payments and annuitant walks away with a cash payout.



A hearing date is set. The transfer is approved by the court on the hearing date.



Annuitant signs a release. Annuity is owned by the carrier or "assigned"



The annuitant and the factoring company enter into a contract. The annuitant will receive a cash payout in exchange for the future payments.



The factoring company issues a petition to the court for approval to transfer the structured settlement rights of the annuitant.