



WISCONSIN LEGISLATIVE COUNCIL
REPORT TO THE LEGISLATURE

SPECIAL COMMITTEE ON
HIGH-RISK JUVENILE
OFFENDERS

[2009 SENATE BILLS 149, 150, 151, 152, AND 153]

April 2, 2009

RL 2009-01

Special Committee on High-Risk Juvenile Offenders

Prepared by:
Anne Sappenfield, Senior Staff Attorney, and Melissa Schmidt, Staff Attorney
April 2, 2009

CONTENTS

PART I -- KEY PROVISIONS OF COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION.....	3
2009 Senate Bill 149, Relating to an Examination of the Juvenile in a Proceeding for Waiver of Juvenile Court Jurisdiction.....	3
2009 Senate Bill 150, Relating to the Criteria for Waiver of Adult Criminal Court Jurisdiction Over a Juvenile and Transfer of the Case to Juvenile Court.....	3
2009 Senate Bill 151, Relating to Funding to Counties to Cover Increase in Juvenile Corrections Costs	3
2009 Senate Bill 152, Relating to Creating a Rebuttable Presumption in Waiver Proceedings Involving a First Offender	3
2009 Senate Bill 153, Relating to Petitions for Waiver of Juvenile Court Jurisdiction and the Criteria for That Waiver	3
PART II -- COMMITTEE ACTIVITY	5
Assignment	5
Summary of Meetings.....	5
PART III -- RECOMMENDATIONS INTRODUCED BY THE JOINT LEGISLATIVE COUNCIL	7
2009 Senate Bill 149	7
2009 Senate Bill 150	7
2009 Senate Bill 151	9
2009 Senate Bill 152	9
2009 Senate Bill 153	10
Appendix 1 -- Committee and Joint Legislative Council Votes	13
Appendix 2 -- Lists of Joint Legislative Council Members.....	15
Appendix 3 -- List of Committee Members.....	17
Appendix 4 -- Committee Materials List.....	19

PART I

KEY PROVISIONS

OF COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION

The Joint Legislative Council recommends the following for introduction in the 2009-10 Session of the Legislature.

2009 Senate Bill 149, Relating to an Examination of the Juvenile in a Proceeding for Waiver of Juvenile Court Jurisdiction

Senate Bill 149 requires an examination of a juvenile's: (1) physical, psychological, mental, or developmental condition; (2) drug abuse problems; and (3) maturity prior to a hearing to waive a juvenile into adult court if the district attorney or juvenile alleges that the juvenile may have a mental illness, a psychological or developmental condition, or an alcohol or drug abuse problem.

2009 Senate Bill 150, Relating to the Criteria for Waiver of Adult Criminal Court Jurisdiction Over a Juvenile and Transfer of the Case to Juvenile Court

Senate Bill 150 modifies the criteria to reverse waive a juvenile from adult court to juvenile court.

2009 Senate Bill 151, Relating to Funding to Counties to Cover Increase in Juvenile Corrections Costs

Senate Bill 151 requires the Department of Corrections (DOC) to allocate additional funds to counties if there is an increase in the daily rate for placement in a juvenile correctional facility.

2009 Senate Bill 152, Relating to Creating a Rebuttable Presumption in Waiver Proceedings Involving a First Offender

In a hearing to determine whether to waive a juvenile into adult court, Senate Bill 152 creates a rebuttable presumption that the juvenile court must retain jurisdiction if the juvenile has not been previously adjudicated delinquent.

2009 Senate Bill 153, Relating to Petitions for Waiver of Juvenile Court Jurisdiction and the Criteria for That Waiver

Senate Bill 153 does the following:

- Removes the ability for a juvenile to petition for waiver into adult court.
- Modifies the list of criteria for waiver into adult court so that there are criteria that must be weighed in favor of waving the juvenile into adult court and criteria that weigh in favor of retaining juvenile court jurisdiction.

PART II

COMMITTEE ACTIVITY

Assignment

The Joint Legislative Council established the Special Committee on High-Risk Juvenile Offenders and appointed the chairperson by an April 9, 2008 mail ballot. The committee was directed to study high-risk juvenile offenders and best practices for decreasing the risk of recidivism among high-risk offenders. Specifically, the committee was directed to study current law relating to the Serious Juvenile Offender Program, waiver of juveniles to adult court, original adult court jurisdiction over juvenile offenders, and placement of juveniles in juvenile correctional institutions and adult prisons. The committee was also directed to review successful practices relating to juvenile justice in Wisconsin and other states, including the State of Missouri.

Membership of the Special Committee, appointed by a June 9, 2008 mail ballot, consisted of one Senator, three Representatives, and nine public members. A list of committee members is included as **Appendix 3** to this report.

Summary of Meetings

The Special Committee held five meetings on the following dates:

July 10, 2008
August 6, 2008
September 16, 2008
October 21, 2008
December 17, 2008

At the July 10, 2008 meeting, the Special Committee heard invited testimony from several speakers. **Silvia Jackson**, Assistant Administrator, and **Shelley Hagan**, Director, Office of Juvenile Offender Review, Division of Juvenile Corrections, DOC provided an overview of the three juvenile correctional facilities in Wisconsin and described various treatment programs administered by DOC. Ms. Jackson and Ms. Hagan also described the characteristics of the juveniles under DOC's care and custody, as well as their recidivism rates. **Tony Streveler**, Executive Policy Initiatives Advisor, DOC, discussed the recidivism rates and characteristics of juveniles convicted in the adult criminal court and then committed to DOC for an offense committed before the juvenile's 17th birthday.

The committee also heard invited testimony from a panel regarding waiver of juveniles into adult court. The panel included **Patrick Kenney**, Deputy District Attorney, Milwaukee County; **John Lubarsky**, Assistant State Public Defender, Madison; and **Judge Richard Werner**, Rock County.

At the August 6, 2008 meeting, the Special Committee met in the afternoon at the Waukesha County Administration Center. The committee heard testimony from invited speakers. **Victor Barnett**, Executive Director, Running Rebels Community Organization, explained two programs that his organization operates for Milwaukee County: the Serious Chronic Offenders Program and the Firearms Program. **Charles Glynn**, Director, St. Charles Youth and Family Services, described Milwaukee and Dane Counties' FOCUS juvenile justice programs. **Ron Hauser**, Vice President, and **Heather Yaeger**, Director, Homme Home, Lutheran Social Services, provided information regarding family-focused residential treatment for adjudicated juvenile delinquents, including those in the Serious Juvenile Offenders Program. **Eric Meaux**, Administrator, Delinquency and Court Services Division, Milwaukee County, presented an overview of the juvenile justice services provided by Milwaukee County. **Peter Slesar**, Manager, Adolescent and Family Services Division, Waukesha County,

explained how Waukesha County distributes the intensive treatment services it provides the county's management of care.

At the September 16, 2008 meeting, the Special Committee heard invited testimony from **Sarah Hammond**, Program Principal, National Conference of State Legislatures. She described the nationwide trends in recidivism, waiver into adult courts, age of adult court jurisdiction, and implementation of Missouri's juvenile justice model.

The committee then discussed various options for committee bill drafts. There was consensus to prepare initial drafts on the following topics: (1) changing the waiver statutes; (2) decreasing juvenile correctional placement costs for counties; (3) raising the minimum age of delinquency; (4) unifying the definition of recidivism for adult and juvenile offenders; and (5) removing legislation referencing secured residential care centers.

At the October 21, 2008 meeting, the Special Committee heard invited testimony from **Vincent Schiraldi**, Director, Department of Youth Rehabilitation Services, District of Columbia. He provided information on how D.C. used Missouri's juvenile justice model to reform its department and provided a framework for other juvenile justice systems considering reform.

After the testimony, the committee discussed 10 initial bill drafts. There was consensus to continue to pursue: (1) changing waiver criteria; (2) requiring juvenile assessments prior to waiver hearings; (3) replacing waiver with a procedure to impose an adult sentence; (4) modifying reverse waiver criteria; (5) increasing the minimum age of delinquency; (6) removing from the daily rate the energy costs of juvenile corrections; and (7) pairing youth aids funding levels with increases in the daily rate.

At the December 18, 2008 meeting, the Special Committee voted to place five of the bill drafts, with modifications onto a mail ballot for final vote. The committee also voted to include an additional bill draft amending a portion of the waiver criteria separately. The vote included: (1) changing waiver criteria; (2) requiring juvenile assessments prior to waiver hearings; (3) modifying reverse waiver criteria; (4) pairing youth aids funding levels with the increases in juvenile correctional institution daily rates; and (5) adding a rebuttable presumption addressing when a juvenile court shall retain jurisdiction following a waiver petition to waive a juvenile into adult court.

The committee withdrew from consideration bill drafts: (1) replacing waiver with a procedure to impose an adult sentence; (2) increasing the minimum age of delinquency; and (3) removing from the daily rate the energy costs of juvenile corrections.

PART III

RECOMMENDATIONS INTRODUCED BY THE JOINT LEGISLATIVE COUNCIL

This part of the report provides background information on, and a description of, the bills as recommended by the Special Committee on High-Risk Juvenile Offenders and introduced by the Joint Legislative Council.

2009 Senate Bill 149

Background

Under current law, when a petition is filed to waive a juvenile alleged to be delinquent into adult criminal court, the juvenile court may designate DOC, the county's department of human or social services, or a licensed child welfare agency to submit a report analyzing the criteria the court must consider in determining whether to waive its jurisdiction over the juvenile.

Committee members raised concerns that a juvenile court judge does not have adequate information relating to a juvenile when the judge must decide whether to waive a juvenile into adult court.

Description

The bill adds a provision to current waiver procedures. It requires the court to designate a psychiatrist or psychologist, or another expert appointed by the court, to examine the juvenile and submit a report assessing the juvenile's physical, psychological, mental, or developmental condition; alcohol or other drug abuse problems; and maturity if the district attorney or the juvenile allege that the juvenile may have a mental illness, a psychological or developmental condition, or an alcohol or drug abuse problem. This is not required if a similar examination was conducted in the prior six months. The psychiatrist, psychologist, or other expert must file the report with the court and the court must ensure that copies of the report are given to the juvenile, any parent, guardian, or legal custodian of the juvenile and counsel at least three days before the waiver hearing. The court may rely on facts stated in the report in making its findings with respect to the criteria the court must consider in determining whether to waive its jurisdiction over the juvenile.

2009 Senate Bill 150

Background

Under current law, the adult court has original jurisdiction over the following juveniles:

1. A juvenile who has been previously adjudicated delinquent and who is alleged to have committed battery or assault while placed in a juvenile correctional facility, detention facility, or secured residential care center for children and youth or to have committed battery to a probation and parole agent or to an aftercare agent.
2. A juvenile who is alleged to have attempted or committed first-degree intentional homicide or to have committed first-degree reckless homicide or second-degree intentional homicide on or after the juvenile's 10th birthday.

3. A juvenile who is alleged to have violated any state criminal law under one of the following circumstances:
 - a. The juvenile has been convicted of a previous violation in adult court following a waiver of juvenile court jurisdiction.
 - b. The juvenile court has waived its jurisdiction over a juvenile for a previous violation and the criminal proceedings for that violation are still pending.
 - c. The juvenile has been convicted of a previous violation over which the adult court had original jurisdiction.
 - d. Proceedings for a violation over which the adult court has original jurisdiction are still pending.

The adult court also has original jurisdiction over any violation of the Wisconsin Criminal Code that may be charged in the same complaint, or “joined,” with a violation over which the adult court already has original jurisdiction, as described above.

If certain conditions are met, a juvenile over whom the adult court has original jurisdiction may be “reverse waived” to the juvenile court.

If the court finds probable cause that the juvenile committed the offense under the required circumstances, the court must determine whether to retain jurisdiction or to transfer jurisdiction to the juvenile court. The court must retain jurisdiction unless the juvenile proves by a preponderance of the evidence all of the following:

1. That, if convicted, the juvenile could not receive adequate treatment in the criminal justice system.
2. That transferring jurisdiction to the juvenile court would not depreciate the seriousness of the offense.
3. That retaining jurisdiction is not necessary to deter the juvenile or other juveniles from committing the violation of which the child is accused under the circumstances required for the adult court to have original jurisdiction.

If the adult court transfers jurisdiction to the juvenile court, the juvenile is then subject to the procedures and dispositions in the Juvenile Justice Code.

Committee members responded that they believed some judges feel they could never find that transferring a case to the juvenile court would not depreciate the seriousness of the offense. In addition, committee members questioned whether the juvenile should be required to prove that keeping the case in adult court is not necessary to deter other juveniles and cited research and court opinions that indicate that juveniles are not generally deferrable.

Description

The bill modifies the second criterion for reverse waiver so that the juvenile must prove that retaining jurisdiction is not necessary to protect the community because appropriate sanctions, including an appropriate length of incarceration, are available under the Juvenile Justice Code. This replaces the current requirement to prove that transferring jurisdiction would not depreciate the seriousness of the offense.

The bill also modifies the third criterion for reverse waiver so that the juvenile is only required to prove that the adult court retaining jurisdiction is not necessary to deter the juvenile from

committing the violation of which the juvenile is accused under the circumstances required for original adult court jurisdiction.

Finally, the bill creates a fourth criterion under which a juvenile who is alleged to have committed battery or assault while placed in a juvenile correctional facility, detention facility, or secured residential care center for children and youth or to have committed battery to a probation and parole agent or to an aftercare agent must prove that the adult court retaining jurisdiction is not necessary to deter other juveniles from committing the violation of which the juvenile is accused.

2009 Senate Bill 151

Background

Under current law, counties are financially responsible for the costs of juvenile delinquency-related services except for certain juveniles, such as those placed in the Serious Juvenile Offender Program and juveniles who are convicted as adults in the adult system. The Community Youth and Family Aids program (“youth aids”) provides each county with an annual allocation of state and federal funds from which the county may pay for juvenile delinquency-related services, including out-of-home placements and nonresidential, community-based services for juveniles. For correctional services, DOC bills counties based upon a statutory daily rate for the service provided.

Committee members stated that counties receive insufficient youth aids funding and noted the costs of court-ordered placements in juvenile correctional institutions.

Description

This bill provides that DOC must allocate additional funds to counties if there is an increase in the daily rate charged to counties for correctional services to cover the increases. In distributing this funding, the bill requires DOC to consider each county’s proportionate use of its applicable services during the previous calendar years.

2009 Senate Bill 152

Background

Under current law, the juvenile court may waive its jurisdiction over a juvenile alleged to have violated one of several serious offenses on or after the juvenile’s 14th birthday. The juvenile court may also waive its jurisdiction over a juvenile alleged to have violated any criminal law on or after the juvenile’s 15th birthday.

The juvenile court, after taking relevant testimony presented by the district attorney and considering other relevant evidence, must base its decision whether to waive jurisdiction on specified criteria, including the juvenile’s personality and prior record.

Committee members raised concerns about the fairness of waiving a juvenile who has no prior offense history into adult court.

Description

Under the bill, there is a rebuttable presumption that the juvenile court must retain jurisdiction if the juvenile has not previously been adjudicated delinquent.

2009 Senate Bill 153

Background

Current law specifies that under certain circumstances, the juvenile court may waive its jurisdiction over a juvenile alleged to be delinquent and transfer the case to adult criminal court. The waiver proceeding is initiated by a petition for waiver. The petition may be filed by the district attorney or the juvenile or may be initiated by the court.

Under current law, the juvenile court may waive its jurisdiction over a juvenile who is alleged to have violated one of several serious offenses on or after the juvenile's 14th birthday and may waive its jurisdiction over a juvenile who is alleged to have violated any criminal law on or after the juvenile's 15th birthday.

The juvenile court, after taking relevant testimony presented by the district attorney and considering other relevant evidence, must base its decision whether to waive jurisdiction on the following criteria:

1. The personality, including all of the following:
 - a. Whether the juvenile is mentally or developmentally disabled.
 - b. The juvenile's physical and mental maturity.
 - c. The juvenile's pattern of living, prior offenses, prior treatment history, and apparent potential for responding to future treatment.
2. The prior record of the juvenile, including all of the following:
 - a. Whether the court has previously waived its jurisdiction over the juvenile.
 - b. Whether the juvenile has been previously convicted following a waiver of the court's jurisdiction or has been previously found delinquent.
 - c. Whether any prior conviction or delinquency involved the infliction of serious bodily injury.
 - d. The juvenile's motives and attitudes.
 - e. The juvenile's prior offenses.
3. The type and seriousness of the offense, including both of the following:
 - a. Whether it was against persons or property.
 - b. The extent to which it was committed in a violent, aggressive, premeditated, or willful manner.
4. The adequacy and suitability of facilities, services, and procedures available for treatment of the juvenile and protection of the public within the juvenile justice system and, where applicable, the mental health system, and the suitability of the juvenile for placement in the Serious Juvenile Offender Program or the Adult Intensive Sanctions Program.

5. The desirability of trial and disposition of the entire offense in one court if the juvenile was allegedly associated in the offense with persons who will be charged with a crime in the adult court.

Committee members discussed whether juvenile offenders are mature enough to appreciate the consequences of an adult criminal conviction and questioned whether they should be permitted to file a petition for waiver into adult court.

Committee members also raised concerns that the criteria for waiver into adult court do not instruct the judge how to weigh the criteria or whether particular criteria are intended to favor waiver or to favor retention of juvenile court jurisdiction.

Description

This bill repeals the language permitting a juvenile to file a petition for waiver to adult court. The bill also requires the court to consider certain factors as weighing in favor of retaining juvenile court jurisdiction and certain factors as weighing in favor of waiving juvenile court jurisdiction.

Under the bill, the juvenile court must consider the following factors as factors that favor retaining juvenile court jurisdiction over the juvenile:

- The juvenile has a mental illness or developmental disability that could be treated more effectively in the juvenile system than in the adult system.
- The juvenile's physical or mental maturity make it more likely that the juvenile will be harmed by placement in an adult facility or placement on probation.
- The juvenile's pattern of living indicates that the juvenile will take responsibility for his or her actions and maintain positive relationships.
- The juvenile's prior treatment history indicates that the juvenile will respond to future treatment.
- The facilities, services, and procedures available for treatment of the juvenile and protection of the public within the juvenile justice system, and, where applicable, the mental health system are adequate and suitable for the juvenile or placement in the Serious Juvenile Offender Program is suitable for the juvenile.

The bill provides that the juvenile court must consider the following factors as factors that favor waiving juvenile court jurisdiction over the juvenile:

- The juvenile court has previously waived its jurisdiction over the juvenile or the juvenile has been previously convicted following a waiver of the juvenile court's jurisdiction or has been previously found delinquent.
- A prior conviction or delinquency involved the infliction of serious bodily injury.
- The juvenile's prior treatment history indicates that the juvenile will not respond to future treatment.
- The juvenile's motives and attitudes demonstrate a lack of responsibility and remorse for the juvenile's actions.
- The juvenile's prior offenses are serious offenses or have become progressively more serious.

- The offense was against a person and was committed in a violent, aggressive, premeditated, or willful manner.

Appendix 1

Committee and Joint Legislative Council Votes

The following drafts were recommended by the Special Committee on High-Risk Juvenile Offenders to the Joint Legislative Council for introduction in the 2009-10 Session of the Legislature.

Special Committee Vote

The Special Committee voted to recommend WLC: 0044/3, WLC: 0045/4, WLC: 0183/1, WLC: 378/1, and WLC: 379/1 to the Joint Legislative Council for introduction in the 2009-10 Session of the Legislature. The vote on the drafts were as follows:

- *WLC: 0044/3, relating to assessment of waiver criteria, passed by a vote of Ayes, 8 (Sen. Carpenter; Rep. Grigsby; and Public Members Dickey, Franks, Henderson, Lee, Malmstadt, and Moore); and Noes, 5 (Reps. Zipperer and Roth; and Public Members Hasting, Mertens, and Schimel).* Subsequently, the draft became LRB-1753/1.
- *WLC: 0045/4, relating to reverse waiver, passed by a vote of Ayes, 9 (Sen. Carpenter; Rep. Grigsby; and Public Members Dickey, Franks, Henderson, Lee, Malmstadt, Mertens, and Moore); and Noes, 4 (Reps. Zipperer and Roth; and Public Members Hasting and Schimel).* Subsequently, the draft became LRB-1754/1.
- *WLC: 0183/1, relating to funding to counties to cover increase in juvenile corrections costs, passed by a vote of Ayes, 8 (Sen. Carpenter; Rep. Grigsby; and Public Members Dickey, Franks, Henderson, Lee, Malmstadt, and Mertens); Noes, 5 (Reps. Zipperer and Roth; and Public Members Hasting, Moore, and Schimel).* Subsequently, the draft became LRB-1756/1.
- *WLC: 0378/1, relating to waiver to adult court, passed by a vote of Ayes, 11 (Sen. Carpenter; Reps. Zipperer, Grigsby, and Roth; and Public Members Dickey, Franks, Hasting, Henderson, Mertens, Moore, and Schimel); Noes, 2 (Public Members Henderson and Lee).*
- *WLC: 0379/1, relating to creating rebuttable presumption in waiver proceedings, passed by a vote of Ayes, 9 (Sen. Carpenter; Rep. Grigsby; and Public Members Dickey, Franks, Henderson, Lee, Malmstadt, Mertens, and Moore); and Noes, 4 (Reps. Zipperer and Roth; and Public Members Hasting and Schimel).* Subsequently, the draft became LRB-1758/1.

Joint Legislative Council Vote

At its March 26, 2009 meeting, the Joint Legislative Council voted as follows on the recommendations of the Special Committee:

Sen. Decker moved, seconded by Sen. Robson, that the following four drafts recommended by the Special Committee on High-Risk Juvenile Offenders, be introduced by the Joint Legislative Council:

1. *LRB-1753/1, relating to an examination of the juvenile in a proceeding for waiver of juvenile court jurisdiction.*
2. *LRB-1754/1, relating to the criteria for waiver of adult criminal court jurisdiction over a juvenile and transfer of the case to juvenile court.*

3. *LRB-1758/1, relating to creating a rebuttable presumption of retaining juvenile court jurisdiction in waiver proceedings involving a first offender.*
4. *WLC: 0378/1, relating to waiver to adult court.*

The motion passed on a roll call vote as follows: Ayes, 20 (Sens. Risser, Coggs, Darling, Decker, Fitzgerald, Harsdorf, Kreitlow, Miller, Robson, Schultz, and Wirch; and Reps. Schneider, Ballweg, Black, Berceau, Kaufert, Nelson, Pocan, Sheridan, and Staskunas); Noes, 2 (Reps. Fitzgerald and Vos); and Absent, 0.

Sen. Decker moved, seconded by Sen. Robson, that LRB-1756/1, relating to funding to counties to cover increase in juvenile corrections costs be introduced by the Joint Legislative Council. The motion passed on a roll call vote of Ayes, 22 (Sens. Risser, Coggs, Darling, Decker, Fitzgerald, Harsdorf, Kreitlow, Miller, Robson, Schultz, and Wirch; and Reps. Schneider, Ballweg, Black, Berceau, Fitzgerald, Kaufert, Nelson, Pocan, Sheridan, Staskunas, and Vos); Noes, 0; and Absent, 0.

Appendix 2

Joint Legislative Council

[Joint Legislative Council Members Who Selected and Appointed Committee and Its Membership]

Co-Chair

FRED RISSER

Senate President
5008 Risser Road
Madison, WI 53705

Co-Chair

STEVE WIECKERT

Representative
1 Weatherstone Drive
Appleton, WI 54914

SENATORS

ROGER BRESKE

8800 Hwy. 29
Eland, WI 54427

RUSSELL DECKER

Majority Leader
6803 Lora Lee Lane
Weston, WI 54476

ALAN LASEE

2259 Lasee Road
De Pere, WI 54115

TIM CARPENTER

President Pro Tempore
2957 South 38th Street
Milwaukee, WI 53215

SCOTT FITZGERALD

Minority Leader
N4692 Maple Road
Juneau, WI 53039

MARK MILLER

4903 Roigan Terrace
Monona, WI 53716

SPENCER COGGS

3732 North 40th Street
Milwaukee, WI 53216

SHEILA HARSDORF

N6627 County Road E
River Falls, WI 54022

JUDY ROBSON

2411 E. Ridge Road
Beloit, WI 53511

ALBERTA DARLING

1325 West Dean Road
River Hills, WI 53217

REPRESENTATIVES

JOAN BALLWEG

170 W. Summit Street
Markesan, WI 53946

DEAN KAUFERT

1360 Alpine Lane
Neenah, WI 54956

MARK POCAN

309 N. Baldwin Street
Madison, WI 53703

JEFF FITZGERALD

Majority Leader
910 Sunset
Horicon, WI 53032

JIM KREUSER

Minority Leader
3505 14th Place
Kenosha, WI 53144

KITTY RHOADES

708 4th Street
Hudson, WI 54016

MARK GOTTLIEB

Speaker Pro Tempore
1205 Noridge Trail
Port Washington, WI 53074

THOMAS NELSON

1510 Orchard Dr.
Kaukauna, WI 54130

MARLIN SCHNEIDER

3820 Southbrook Lane
Wisconsin Rapids, WI 54494

MICHAEL HUEBSCH

Speaker
419 West Franklin
West Salem, WI 54669

This 22-member committee consists of the majority and minority party leadership of both houses of the Legislature, the co-chairs and ranking minority members of the Joint Committee on Finance, and 5 Senators and 5 Representatives appointed as are members of standing committees.

Joint Legislative Council

[Current Joint Legislative Council Members Who Received Committee Report]

Co-Chair

FRED A. RISSER

Senate President

100 Wisconsin Avenue, Unit 501
Madison, WI 53703

Co-Chair

MARLIN D. SCHNEIDER

Representative

3820 Southbrook Lane
Wisconsin Rapids, WI 54494

SENATORS

SPENCER COGGS

7819 W. Potomac Avenue
Milwaukee, WI 53222

ALBERTA DARLING

1325 West Dean Road
River Hills, WI 53217

RUSSELL DECKER

Majority Leader

6803 Lora Lee Lane
Schofield, WI 54476

SCOTT FITZGERALD

Minority Leader

N4692 Maple Road
Juneau, WI 53039

SHEILA HARSDORF

N6627 County Road E
River Falls, WI 54022

PAT KREITLOW

President Pro Tempore

15854 93rd Avenue
Chippewa Falls, WI 54729

MARK MILLER

4903 Roigan Terrace
Monona, WI 53716

JUDY ROBSON

2411 E. Ridge Road
Beloit, WI 53511

DALE SCHULTZ

515 North Central Avenue
Richland Center, WI 53581

ROBERT WIRCH

3007 Springbrook Road
Pleasant Prairie, WI 53158

REPRESENTATIVES

JOAN BALLWEG

170 W. Summit Street
Markesan, WI 53946

TERESE BERCEAU

4326 Somerset Lane
Madison, WI 53711

SPENCER BLACK

5742 Elder Place
Madison, WI 53705

JEFF FITZGERALD

Minority Leader

910 Sunset
Horicon, WI 53032

DEAN KAUFERT

1360 Alpine Lane
Neenah, WI 54956

THOMAS NELSON

Majority Leader

1510 Orchard Drive
Kaukauna, WI 54130

MARK POCAN

309 N. Baldwin Street
Madison, WI 53703

MICHAEL SHERIDAN

Speaker

1032 Nantucket Drive
Janesville, WI 53546

TONY STASKUNAS

Speaker Pro Tempore

2010 South 103rd Court
West Allis, WI 53227

ROBIN VOS

4710 Eastwood Ridge
Racine, WI 53406

This 22-member committee consists of the majority and minority party leadership of both houses of the Legislature, the co-chairs and ranking minority members of the Joint Committee on Finance, and 5 Senators and 5 Representatives appointed as are members of standing committees.

HIGH-RISK JUVENILE OFFENDERS

Senator Tim Carpenter, **Chair**
2957 South 38th Street
Milwaukee, WI 53215

Representative Rich Zipperer, **Vice Chair**
N24 W26419 Bucks Island Ct.
Pewaukee, WI 53072

Representative Tamara Grigsby
2354 North 41st Street
Milwaukee, WI 53210

Representative Roger Roth, Jr.
2732 West Glenpark Drive
Appleton, WI 54914

Professor Walter Dickey
University of Wisconsin-Madison
9113 Law Building, 975 Bascom Mall
Madison, WI 53706

Barbara Franks
Dane County District Attorney's Office
215 South Hamilton Street, Room 3000
Madison, WI 53703-3297

Craig Hasting
8101 W. Euclid Ave.
Milwaukee, WI 53219

Wendy Henderson
Wisconsin Council on Children & Families
555 W. Washington Ave.
Madison, WI 53705

Devon Lee
Wisconsin Public Defender's Office
17 S. Fairchild – 3rd Floor
Madison, WI 53703-3204

Michael Malmstadt
8200 Parkridge Lane
Greendale, WI 53129-1047

Mark Mertens
Outagamie County Health & Human Servs. Dept.
410 South Walnut Street
Appleton, WI 54911

Mike Moore
WEAC Council #1
152 West Johnson Street, Suite 202
Madison, WI 53703

Brad Schimel
Waukesha County District Attorney
515 W. Moreland Blvd.
Waukesha, WI 53188

STUDY ASSIGNMENT: The committee is directed to study high-risk juvenile offenders and best practices for decreasing the risk of recidivism among high-risk offenders. Specifically, the committee shall study current law relating to the Serious Juvenile Offender Program, waiver of juveniles to adult court, original adult court jurisdiction over juvenile offenders, and placement of juveniles in juvenile correctional institutions and adult prisons. The committee shall also review successful practices relating to juvenile justice in Wisconsin and other states, including the State of Missouri.

13 MEMBERS: 1 Senator, 3 Representatives, and 9 Public Members.

LEGISLATIVE COUNCIL STAFF: Anne Sappenfield, Senior Staff Attorney, and Melissa Schmidt, Staff Attorney; and Kelly Mautz, Support Staff.

Committee Materials List
 (Copies of documents are available at www.legis.state.wi.us/lc)

Recommendations to the Joint Legislative Council (March 26, 2009)				
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Results of the March 26 meeting. • Proposed Report to the Legislature 2009-01, <i>Special Committee on High-Risk Juvenile Offenders</i> (February 4, 2009). • LRB-1753/1, relating to an examination of the juvenile in a proceeding for waiver of juvenile court jurisdiction. • LRB-1754/1, relating to the criteria for waiver of adult criminal court jurisdiction over a juvenile and transfer of the case to juvenile court. • LRB-1756/1, relating to funding to counties to cover increase in juvenile corrections. • LRB-1758/1, relating to creating rebuttable presumption in waiver proceedings. • WLC: 0378/1, relating to waiver to adult court. 				
January 7, 2009 Mail Ballot Results				
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Results of January 7, 2009 Mail Ballot (January 20, 2009). 				
January 7, 2009 Mail Ballot				
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • WLC: 0044/3, relating to assessment of waiver criteria. • WLC: 0045/4, relating to reverse waiver. • WLC: 0183/1, relating to funding to counties to cover increase in juvenile corrections costs. • WLC: 0378/1, relating to waiver in adult court. • WLC: 0379/1, relating to creating rebuttable presumption in waiver proceedings. 				
December 17, 2008 Meeting	Notice	Agenda	Audio _____ a.m. Audio _____ p.m.	Minutes
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • WLC: 0044/2, relating to assessment of waiver criteria. • WLC: 0045/3, relating to reverse waiver. • WLC: 0085/2, relating to imposition of adult sentence for certain juveniles. • WLC: 0263/1, relating to community-based juvenile correctional pilot program. • Memorandum from Silvia Jackson, Assistant Administrator, Division of Juvenile Corrections, Department of Corrections (December 16, 2008). <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Attachment, <i>Adopting the Missouri Model in Wisconsin: Changes and Considerations Financing of Daily Rate</i>. 				
October 21, 2008 Meeting (previously scheduled for October 8, 2008)	Notice	Agenda	Audio	Minutes
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • WLC: 0043/1, relating filing waiver petition. • WLC: 0044/1, relating to assessment of waiver criteria. • WLC: 0045/1, relating to reverse waiver. • WLC: 0084/1, relating to primary consideration in determination to waive juvenile court jurisdiction. • WLC: 0085/1, relating to imposition of adult sentence for certain juveniles. • WLC: 0104/1, relating to the minimum age of delinquency. • WLC: 0143/1, relating to excluding energy costs from daily rate. • WLC: 0144/1, relating to cost of juvenile court jurisdiction as waiver factor. • WLC: 0145/1, relating to waiver criteria. • WLC: 0183/1, relating to funding to counties to cover increase in juvenile corrections costs. • Memo No. 8, <i>Comparison of the Missouri Model With Wisconsin's Juvenile Corrections System</i> (October 9, 2008). <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Memorandum, <i>Comparison of Missouri and Wisconsin Juvenile Corrections Systems</i> (September 10, 2008). <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Report, <i>A closer look at ... The Missouri Model, An Analysis fo the Missouri Model in Comparison to the Ohio Department of Youth Services, Final Report, November 30,</i> 				

- 2006, by Amy Korenstein, Ohio Department of Youth Services.
 - [Report](#), *Division of Youth Services Annual Report, Fiscal Year 2007*, Missouri Department of Social Services.
- [Article](#), *Harvard honors Missouri Division of Youth Services*, KanasCity.com, submitted at the request of Representative Tamara Grigsby (September 10, 2008).
- [Memorandum](#), *Wisconsin Juvenile Court System*, from Susan and Neil Besougloff (September 30, 2008).
- [Presentation](#) by Vincent Schiraldi, Director, Department of Youth Rehabilitation Services, District of Columbia.
 - [Handout](#), *States adopt Missouri youth justice model*, Stateline.org, submitted by Vincent Schiraldi, Director, Department of Youth Rehabilitation Services, District of Columbia.
- [Memorandum](#), *WLC: Bill Drafts for Consideration on October 21, 2008*, from Sarah Diedrick-Kasdorf, Senior Legislative Associate, Wisconsin Counties Association (October 20, 2008).

September 16, 2008 Meeting	Notice	Agenda	Audio	Minutes
-----------------------------------	------------------------	------------------------	-----------------------	-------------------------

- [Memo No. 6](#), *Options for Legislation* (September 9, 2008).
- [Memo No. 7](#), *Missouri Juvenile Justice System* (September 9, 2008).
 - [Enclosure](#) to Memo No. 7, *Programs and Services Pamphlet*, Missouri's Division of Youth Services.
- [Memo](#), *Legislative Council Special Committee on High-Risk Juvenile Offenders - Materials*, from Silvia Jackson, Assistant Administrator, Division of Juvenile Corrections, Department of Corrections.
 - [Report](#), *Data on Juveniles Committed to State Juveniles Corrections Institutions in Calendar year 2007*.
- [Report](#), *Risking their futures: Why trying nonviolent 17-year-olds as adults is bad policy for Wisconsin*, Wisconsin Council on children and Families, submitted by Public Member Wendy Henderson.
- [Press Release](#), *WCCF Study: Trying Nonviolent 17-Year-Olds as Adults is Not Working*, submitted by Public Member Wendy Henderson.
- [Article](#), *Juvenile Transfer Laws: An Effective Deterrent to Delinquency?*, Juvenile Justice Bulletin, Office of Juvenile Justice and Delinquency Prevention, Office of Justice Programs, U.S. Department of Justice (August 2008).
- [Presentation](#) by Sarah Hammond, Program Principal, Criminal Justice, National Conference of State Legislatures (September 16, 2008).

August 6, 2008 Meeting	Notice	Agenda	Audio	Minutes
-------------------------------	------------------------	------------------------	-----------------------	-------------------------

- [Memo No. 3](#), *Minimum and Maximum Ages for Juvenile Court Delinquency Jurisdiction in Other States* (July 29, 2008).
- [Memo No. 4](#), *Information on Adolescent Brain Development* (July 29, 2008).
- [Memo No. 5](#), *Comparison of Wisconsin's Current Serious Juvenile Offender Program With the Former Extended court Jurisdiction and Youthful Offender Programs* (August 5, 2008).
- [Letter](#) from Patrick Kenney, Deputy District Attorney, Milwaukee County Children's Court (July 15, 2008).
- Driving [directions](#) to Ethan Allen School for Boys.
- Driving [directions](#) from Ethan Allen School for Boys to the Waukesha County Administration Center.
- [Presentation](#) by Peter Slesar, Manager, Adolscent and Family Services Division, Waukesha County Department of Health and Human Services.
 - [Handout](#) by Peter Slesar, Manager, Adolscent and Family Services Division, Waukesha County Department of Health and Human Services.
- [Testimony](#) from Eric Meaux, Milwaukee County Director of Delinquency and Court Services (August 6, 2008).
- [Testimony](#) from Ron Hauser, Vice President of Program Services and Heather Yaeger, Director, Homme Youth and Family Programs, Lutheran Social Services (August 6, 2008).
- [Handout](#), *Cultural/Ethnic Profile of DJC Youth - 2007*, Division of Juvenile Corrections, Department of Corrections (July 2008).

July 10, 2008 Meeting	Notice	Agenda	Audio	Minutes
------------------------------	------------------------	------------------------	-----------------------	-------------------------

- [Staff Brief 08-01](#), *High-Risk Juvenile Offenders* (July 2, 2008).
- [Memo No. 1](#), *Corrections to Staff Brief 2008-01, High-Risk Juvenile Offenders* (July 9, 2008).
 - [Enclosure](#) to Memo No. 1.
- [Memo No. 2](#), *Juvenile Waivers to Adult Court, by County* (July 9, 2008).
- [Report](#), *Cost-Effectiveness of Juvenile Correctional Institutions: Analysis and Options*, Department of

Corrections.

- [Presentation](#) by Silvia Jackson, Assistant Administrator, and Shelley Hagan, Director of Office of Juvenile Offender Review, Division of Juvenile Corrections, Department of Corrections.