

Evaluation of the Bureau of Milwaukee Child Welfare

- "Safety Services" provided when a state investigator identifies safety risks to a child, but not enough to warrant immediate placement.
- 480 families drawn from each of the Bureau's five service sites between November 2000 and November 2001.
- Assessments by case managers (90.2% response) and telephone or in-person interviews w/ primary caregivers (67.5% response).
- One randomly selected "target child" per family
- Case manager surveys focus on perceived child and family needs and provision of services. Caregiver surveys have a greater focus on more in-depth assessment of caregiver and
 child problems.



- 1999.

 Three interview waves at approximately 18-month intervals
- Surveys cover background and experiences of parent (e.g., education, employment, program participation, earnings, health, mental health), information about a focal child (e.g., development, behavior, child care, school performance), and additional information about other household members.
- Linked administrative data on earnings (unemployment insurance data), public assistance, and child welfare services involvement.



Why Milwaukee and Why Now?

- Wisconsin Works (W-2) is high-profile model of welfare reform
- Wisconsin's caseload decline preceded that of most other
 - states and has been more significant than in most other states
- Unique opportunity to compare two groups of low-income helpseeking parents/caregivers in one urban area.
- Parents studied at the time they either sought (in the case of W-2) or were referred to (in the case of Safety Services) government-supported family support services, albeit services with distinctly different foci
- We assessed the characteristics of the two populations at roughly the same time
- Service systems were well along in terms of implementation

| Parenting S | Table Stress Reported afety Services S | d by Parent | s in W-2 and les |
|---|--|--|------------------------------------|
| _Parenting Co | ncern | % Safety Services Parents (n = 324) | % of W-2 Parents (n = 1,075) |
| | ey are giving up et child's needs | 26.2 | 36.6 |
| Parent feels tr responsibilities | | 23.8 | 19.8 |
| Parent feels th their child is m pleasure | at taking care of ore work than | 24.4 | 19.1 |
| Parent really b things their chi | | 29.9 | 20.0 |
| Parent loses p child | atience with their | 13.3 | 9.1 |
| Parent feels ar child | ngry with their | 10.8 | 6.0 |
| Parent feels th been quite a b of trouble to ra | it or a great deal | 22.6 | 8.9 |
| Parent feels ch care for than m children | hild is harder to host other | 22.2 | 12.0 |

100

Groups

| • | Caregivers need to find employment to support their |
|---|--|
| | families yet face significant human capital deficits |

Summary of Findings: Similarities Between Groups

Summary of Findings: Differences Between

Some psychosocial problems appear more common among recipients of Safety
Services than among applicants for TANF
Children in the families receiving Safety Services may be more troubled than those

in the W-2 applicant families

- The level of poverty and the economic hardships that go along with it attest to the difficulty the parents in both groups are having succeeding in the labor market
- Many parents in both groups experience psychosocial and health problems that might affect their ability to hold down a job or parent effectively
- Many of their children are having problems in school and exhibit other behavioral problems
- Many of these parents report significant stress
 associated with parenting

| 120000000000000000000000000000000000000 |
|---|
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| 100000 |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |

| Services Recipients at Intake (n = 324) | | | | |
|--|--------|------------|--|--|
| Need identified | Number | Percentage | | |
| Help with transportation | 177 | 54.6 | | |
| Help applying for financial | | 54.0 | | |
| assistance or income support | 163 | 50.3 | | |
| Help with basic food or clothing needs | 157 | 48.5 | | |
| Housing services, such as home repair/maintenance | 154 | 47.5 | | |
| Counseling (any reason) | 152 | 46.9 | | |
| Help finding a place to live | 149 | 46.0 | | |
| Parenting classes | 144 | 44.4 | | |
| Help with finding and maintaining employment | 142 | 43.8 | | |
| Day care services | 116 | 35.8 | | |
| Home management skills | 109 | 33.6 | | |
| Respite care | 80 | 24.7 | | |
| Medications for | | | | |
| emotional/mental health reasons | 81 | 25.0 | | |
| Substance abuse treatment | 27 | 8.3 | | |
| Psychiatric hospitalization | 18 | 5.6 | | |
| | | | | |

Table 6: Service Needs Identified by Safety

3

The Most Important Similarity: Child Welfare Services Involvement

- 63.8 percent of our sample had been investigated for alleged maltreatment by child welfare authorities in Milwaukee between 1989 and 2003
- Over one-quarter (38.2 percent) had been investigated since they had applied for W-2; 59.8 percent of those with prior investigations
- 16.4 percent had one or more children placed in out-ofhome since applying for W-2

- These rates are much higher than pre-TANF rates reported in Milwaukee and other states
- Our data suggest that these higher rates result largely from changes in the characteristics of the entering population