



---

# SHAE SORTWELL

---

STATE REPRESENTATIVE • 2<sup>nd</sup> ASSEMBLY DISTRICT

**Hearing Testimony**  
**Assembly Committee on Judiciary**  
**March 4, 2025**  
**Assembly Bills 86 and 87**

Chairman Tusler and members of the Assembly Committee on Judiciary – Thank you for giving me the opportunity to testify on ABs 86 and 87, two bills that seek justice for human trafficking victims.

Human trafficking is one of the world's greatest atrocities, with lifetime repercussions to victims and ripple effects upon societies. In this modern slavery, victims are forced into various forms of exploitation, including labor, criminal activity, and sexual favors.

Wisconsin law should reflect the justice these victims deserve. Our law has not gone far enough to lock up the monsters that harm trafficked children. Assembly Bill 86 increases the penalty for child traffickers, where three or more children are victimized, from a Class C felony to a Class A, which carries a penalty of life imprisonment.

Under Assembly Bill 87, restitution is required to be paid immediately by the trafficker to their victims in Wisconsin upon their conviction. Currently, 27 states provide restitution for trafficked survivors, including Illinois, Iowa, Indiana, and Michigan. This restitution can help contribute to payments for medical and psychological services, housing, child care, property, or any costs of labor provided.

Furthermore, this legislation also requires all financial obligations imposed as part of a criminal sentence to be paid before a felon's right to vote is fully restored. This will ensure justice is entirely served and full accountability is given to those that still owe a debt to their victims, especially to those that are trafficked.

I appreciate the opportunity to testify on this legislation and would gladly answer any questions the committee may have.



**DAN FEYEN**

**STATE SENATOR**

20th Senate District  
(608) 266-5300, (888) 736-8720  
Sen.Feyen@legis.wi.gov

PO Box 7882, Madison, WI 53707-7882  
www.SenatorFeyen.com

To: The Assembly Committee on Judiciary  
From: Sen. Dan Feyen  
Re: Assembly Bill 87

Hello Chair and members of the committee, thank you for taking the time to hear testimony on AB 87.

Human Trafficking is a real problem taking place all over the country, including here in Wisconsin. According to the National Human Trafficking Hotline, 109 cases and 211 victims were identified in Wisconsin in 2023 alone. Over 2,000 Wisconsinites have been identified as victims since the hotline was established.

The State should be looking at all possible ways to combat human trafficking and help victims regain their lives after facing such tragedy.

Current law grants leeway to judges on when restitution must be paid to a trafficking victim; allowing judges to enforce immediate payment, installments, or payment by the end of parole, extended supervision, or probationary term.

AB 87 focuses on the victims of these crimes, requiring that restitution is not only paid, but paid without delay. The bill requires courts to order those convicted of human trafficking to pay restitution immediately upon sentencing. This provides much needed funds to the victims, to use for housing, medical or mental health care, or other necessary expenses. Without this change, victims often must wait until the completion of the perpetrators sentence or go through lengthy civil actions to collect restitution through wage garnishment or the seizure of property. Delaying restitution and forcing victims to jump through additional hoops is not what the state should be encouraging.

AB 87 also requires all restitution, fines, fees, surcharges, and community service to be paid in full prior to the restoration of voting rights. This is additional requirement is necessary to make sure crime victims are justly compensated and the perpetrators of these crimes are held accountable for their actions.

Thank you very much for holding a public hearing on this bill.



AMERICAN CIVIL LIBERTIES UNION

Wisconsin

207 East Buffalo Street, Ste 325  
Milwaukee, WI 53202  
(414) 272-1032  
aclu-wi.org

March 4, 2025

Chair Tusler, Vice-Chair Jacobson, and Honorable Members of the Assembly Committee on Judiciary:

**The American Civil Liberties Union of Wisconsin appreciates the opportunity to provide testimony in opposition to Assembly Bill 66, Assembly Bill 85, and Assembly Bill 87.**

We cannot continue to double down on harmful policies that have pushed our correctional system to a breaking point and failed to actually improve safety and material conditions in Wisconsin communities. As a reminder, we have over 23,000 people incarcerated in state prisons, about 12,000 in county jails, and over 63,000 people on probation, parole, and extended supervision.

Devastatingly, Wisconsin has the highest Black incarceration rate in the country. Data shows that 1 in 36 Black Wisconsinites are currently incarcerated, meaning Black people are nearly 12 times more likely to be incarcerated than white people.<sup>1</sup> According to a study by the Wisconsin Court System, Native American men are 34% more likely and Black men are 28% more likely to be sentenced to prison than their white counterparts,<sup>2</sup> Wisconsin also has a higher percentage of people incarcerated for crimes committed as youth than any state in the country except Louisiana.<sup>3</sup>

We all want to live in safe and healthy communities, and legislation proposing changes to the criminal legal system and access to democracy for those impacted by the system should be focused on the most effective approaches to achieving that goal. AB-66, AB-85, and AB-87 would take us several enormous steps in the wrong direction.

### **Assembly Bill 66**

AB-66 would require approval from the court any time a local prosecutor wants to dismiss or amend a criminal charge for a series of offenses “only if the court finds the action is consistent with the public’s interest in deterring the commission of these crimes and with the legislature’s intent” to “encourage the vigorous prosecution of persons who commit offenses that are covered crimes.” Further, the bill would prohibit a prosecutor from entering into a deferred prosecution agreement “if a complaint or information is filed that alleges the person committed a covered crime or if the person is charged with a covered crime.”

---

<sup>1</sup> Clare Amari, *Wisconsin imprisons 1 in 36 Black adults. No state has a higher rate.*, Wisconsin Watch (Oct. 13, 2021), <https://wisconsinwatch.org/2021/10/wisconsin-imprisons-1-in-36-black-adults-no-state-has-a-higher-rate/>

<sup>2</sup> DRAFT: *Race and Prison Sentencing in Wisconsin: Initial Outcomes of Felony Convictions, 2009-2018* (Jan. 2020), [https://s3.documentcloud.org/documents/20478391/race-prison-sentence-felony-report-draft\\_2020\\_02\\_05.pdf](https://s3.documentcloud.org/documents/20478391/race-prison-sentence-felony-report-draft_2020_02_05.pdf).

<sup>3</sup> Alexander Shur, *Wisconsin has 2nd highest percentage of prisoners locked up for crimes committed as youth*, Wisconsin State Journal (May 23, 2023), [https://madison.com/news/state-regional/government-politics/wisconsin-has-2nd-highest-percentage-of-prisoners-locked-up-for-crimes-committed-as-youth/article\\_4a6c1600-f5b7-11ed-9186-ffd641c2443d.html](https://madison.com/news/state-regional/government-politics/wisconsin-has-2nd-highest-percentage-of-prisoners-locked-up-for-crimes-committed-as-youth/article_4a6c1600-f5b7-11ed-9186-ffd641c2443d.html).

There are a multitude of reasons why a charge may be dismissed or amended by a prosecutor, including the innocence of an individual charged with a crime, insufficient evidence for a charge to stand, or constitutional concerns with police action. Procedural justice, fairness, and upholding the constitutional rights of the accused are foundational principles of the criminal legal system, not solely “vigorous prosecution” and “deterrence.”

This bill would limit access to critically important diversion programs, particularly for individuals first charged with a crime as a young adult. Several jurisdictions throughout the state have implemented evidence-based early intervention programs that provide targeted interventions through diversion or deferred prosecution agreements that pair risk reduction strategies (such as therapy, community service, substance use treatment, and/or educational programming) with accountability measures. Research has shown that these programs maximize opportunities to support and encourage prosocial attitudes and behaviors among those who become involved in the system, while aiming to minimize collateral consequences for individuals who are system impacted.

In Wisconsin, approximately 1.4 million people have a criminal record,<sup>4</sup> resulting in countless collateral consequences<sup>5</sup> that make successful reentry a daunting task. People often struggle mightily to land a stable job, secure housing, access public benefits, and get an education. Criminal records live on well after a person has done their time, functioning as a penalty that follows people forever as they navigate a world in which meaningful opportunities for growth and self-improvement are closed off to them. By taking away local prosecutors’ discretion on the front end of the system to account for individual circumstances in cases when making charging decisions, entering plea agreements, and offering opportunities to engage in a deferred prosecution program, AB-66 will exacerbate the downstream social and economic harms of overcriminalization to individuals, families, and communities.

### **Assembly Bill 85**

This bill would require DOC to recommend revoking a person’s probation, parole, or extended supervision for just being charged with—and not convicted of—a crime. In Wisconsin, the number of people on extended supervision exceeds the national average, and the typical length of supervision is nearly twice the national average.<sup>6</sup> As a report from the Badger Institute notes, “There is little evidence that society benefits from such lengthy periods of supervision.”<sup>7</sup> Revocations are already the primary driver of incarceration in Wisconsin—revocations for rule violations and revocations resulting in new convictions accounted for an extraordinary 60% of the total 8,155 new prison admissions in 2024.<sup>8</sup>

---

<sup>4</sup> “A Fresh Start: Wisconsin’s Atypical Expungement Law and Options for Reform,” Wisconsin Policy Forum (June 2018), <https://wispolicyforum.org/research/a-fresh-start-wisconsins-atypical-expungement-law-and-options-for-reform/>.

<sup>5</sup> National Inventory of Collateral Consequences of Conviction, <https://niccc.nationalreentryresourcecenter.org/consequences>; Wisconsin Snapshot of Employment-Related Collateral Consequences, <https://csgjusticecenter.org/wp-content/uploads/2021/02/collateral-consequences-wisconsin.pdf>.

<sup>6</sup> “The Wisconsin Community Corrections Story,” Columbia University Justice Lab (January 2019), <https://justicelab.columbia.edu/sites/default/files/content/Wisconsin%20Community%20Corrections%20Story%20final%20online%20copy.pdf>.

<sup>7</sup> “Ex-Offenders Under Watch,” Badger Institute (July 2019), <https://www.badgerinstitute.org/wp-content/uploads/2022/08/RevocationPDF.pdf>.

<sup>8</sup> <https://doc.wi.gov/Pages/DataResearch/PrisonAdmissions.aspx>

### **Lowering the Constitutional Burden for Conviction**

Taking away discretion from DOC agents and automatically initiating an administrative revocation to send a person to prison for being charged with a crime raises constitutional concerns. If an individual on supervision is charged with a new crime, and as a result of this bill, the new crime is handled as an administrative revocation rather than a new circuit court case, the practical burden of proof required for a period of incarceration on a new charge would essentially become “probable cause” (the standard for issuing the charge itself) rather than “beyond a reasonable doubt.”

The reality is the overwhelming majority of revocation proceedings decided by an administrative law judge result in re-incarceration. While AB-85 would mandate a revocation *recommendation*, in light of the conditions of release and the lower burdens and standards of the administrative revocation process, this functionally means mandatory revocation in most cases.

### **Two Billion Dollar Price Tag**

According to the Fiscal Estimate completed by the Department of Corrections, for a previous iteration of this bill (2023 SB 309) this proposal would cost a fortune:

- **Over \$1.7 million annually** for increased revocation cases adjudicated by the Department of Administration’s Division of Hearings and Appeals;
- **\$1.3 to \$1.67 billion** for the construction of two new prisons to accommodate the significant increase in the incarcerated population;
- **Over \$72.7 million** in increased operations costs during the first year of enactment
- **Over \$209 million** in a permanent increased operations costs after the population is annualized during the second year of enactment.

Rather than spending billions in taxpayer dollars to trap people in a revolving door of incarceration and supervision, people on parole, probation, or extended supervision should be given the support and opportunities they need to thrive in their community.

### **Assembly Bill 87**

In part, AB-87 would prevent people with felony convictions from regaining their constitutional right to vote until they have paid all “fines, costs, fees, surcharges, and restitution” imposed as part of their sentence. Put simply, this proposal would create a modern-day poll tax in Wisconsin.

Voting is the cornerstone of our democracy and the fundamental right upon which all our civil liberties rest. Before the Supreme Court outlawed them in the 1960s, poll taxes had been widespread in American elections throughout history, imposed as a means of systematically disenfranchising populations that those in power wanted to keep from voting – namely Black people, women, and poor people. Today, most Americans rightfully look back at poll taxes as a disgraceful and racist stain on our democracy, which is what makes the emerging effort to reinvent them for the 21<sup>st</sup> century so horrifying.

In light of the profound racial disparities in Wisconsin’s criminal legal system mentioned at the beginning of this testimony, we know exactly who AB-87 will disenfranchise the most.

A patchwork of state felony disenfranchisement laws, varying in severity from state to state, prevent an estimated 4.4 million Americans with felony convictions from voting.<sup>9</sup> Confusion about and misapplication of these laws de facto disenfranchise countless other Americans.

Under current law, Wisconsinites who have past felony convictions can legally vote once they have finished serving their sentence and are no longer on probation, parole, or extended supervision—also known as being “off-paper.” According to DOC, 45,060 people were on some form of supervision for a felony as of June 2024.<sup>10</sup> This means in addition to the 23,000 Wisconsinites incarcerated in DOC institutions, over 45,000 Wisconsinites are disenfranchised because they are “on paper.” AB-87 would add the additional stipulation making restoration of their voting rights contingent upon the full repayment of any fines, costs, fees, surcharges, and restitution related to their convictions. In effect, the constitutional rights of thousands of people in Wisconsin would come at a cost.

The combined carceral debt of formerly incarcerated people in the United States adds up to about \$50 billion.<sup>11</sup> This is a staggering figure, considering the average returning citizen with a job earns only a little more than \$10,000 in their first year back in the community.

In Wisconsin, the mountain of system-imposed debt begins pre-trial, as state statutes give counties discretion to charge incarcerated people a fee for their incarceration, including booking fees or a daily rate for room and board. In 2019, Wisconsin Watch found that at least 23 Wisconsin counties assess “pay-to-stay” fees.<sup>12</sup> Further, Wisconsin jails and telecommunications companies extract more money from incarcerated people and their families, with rates for phone calls as high as \$14.77 for a 15-minute call in some counties.<sup>13</sup> While the Federal Communications Commission voted to enact new rules to lower the cost of phone and video calls, the timeline for bringing prisons and jails into compliance could extend into 2026.

For individuals incarcerated in DOC institutions, their families often go into debt to help cover the cost of phone calls and electronic messages with loved ones and assist with the cost of basic needs items in commissary outside of the tiny bar of soap, tiny tube of toothpaste, and single stamped envelope received every two weeks. Notably, depending on job classification, those incarcerated in DOC institutions earn between \$0.05 per hour to \$0.12 per hour; and for a small proportion with extra security clearance, \$0.42 per hour.

---

<sup>9</sup> “Locked Out 2022: Estimates of People Denied Voting Rights Due to a Felony Conviction,” The Sentencing Project (October 2022), <https://www.sentencingproject.org/app/uploads/2024/03/Locked-Out-2022-Estimates-of-People-Denied-Voting.pdf>.

<sup>10</sup> “Division of Community Corrections, 2024: A Year in Review,” Wisconsin Department of Corrections, <https://doc.wi.gov/DataResearch/DataAndReports/DCCYearInReview.pdf>.

<sup>11</sup> “You’ve Served Your Time. Now Here’s Your Bill,” HuffPost (Sept. 2018), [https://www.huffpost.com/entry/opinion-prison-strike-labor-criminal-justice\\_n\\_5b9bfla1e4b013b0977a7d74](https://www.huffpost.com/entry/opinion-prison-strike-labor-criminal-justice_n_5b9bfla1e4b013b0977a7d74).

<sup>12</sup> Izabela Zaluska, *Pay-to-stay, other fees, can put jail inmates hundreds or thousands in debt*, Wisconsin Watch (Sept. 15, 2019), <https://wisconsinwatch.org/2019/09/pay-to-stay/>.

<sup>13</sup> Wanda Bertram, *New data: Wisconsin jails and telecom giants profiting from high phone rates that keep families apart*, Prison Policy Initiative (Sept. 10, 2021), <https://www.prisonpolicy.org/blog/2021/09/10/wisconsin-phones/>.

AB-87 is presumably modeled after Florida's SB 7066—a bill signed into law to subvert Amendment 4, a referendum passed by voters to overturn a Jim Crow Era law and re-enfranchise formerly incarcerated people. Similar to Florida's disenfranchisement scheme, AB-87 would be extraordinarily difficult to implement because Wisconsin does not have a centralized database identifying the precise amount of an individual's financial obligation that must be satisfied for re-enfranchisement. This would make it nearly impossible for some individuals to determine what they owe, if anything, and whether they would be eligible to vote.

The ACLU of Wisconsin strongly urges committee members to vote against these proposals that would exacerbate mass incarceration and the damage it inflicts on our communities, our families, our economy, and our democracy.