ADMINISTRATIVE RULES Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis

1. Type of Estimate and Analysis	2. Date
Original Updated Corrected	
3. Administrative Rule Chapter, Title and Number (and Clearinghou	
PI 15, Revenue Limit Exemptions for Energy Efficiencies	
4. Subject	
Changes to PI 15 as a result of 2017 Wisconsin Act 59	
5. Fund Sources Affected	6. Chapter 20, Stats. Appropriations Affected
GPR FED PRO PRS SEG SEG-S	
7. Fiscal Effect of Implementing the Rule	
No Fiscal Effect	Increase Costs Decrease Costs
Indeterminate Decrease Existing Revenues	Could Absorb Within Agency's Budget
8. The Rule Will Impact the Following (Check All That Apply)	
	cific Businesses/Sectors
	lic Utility Rate Payers Il Businesses (if checked, complete Attachment A)
 9. Estimate of Implementation and Compliance to Businesses, Loca 	
\$0	
10. Would Implementation and Compliance Costs Businesses, Lo	cal Governmental Units and Individuals Be \$10 Million or more
Over Any 2-year Period, per s. 227.137 (3) (b) 2., Stats.?	
11. Policy Problem Addressed by the Rule	
This rule change will align PI 15 to recent changes in state bioppial budget. The abanges set forth in this rule order pa	
biennial budget. The changes set forth in this rule order pr for costs associated with an energy efficiency project, in a	
the resolution, without incurring additional revenue limit	
	an amount less than the amount specified in the resolution
in any given year.	r
 Summary of the Businesses, Business Sectors, Associations R that may be Affected by the Proposed Rule that were Contacted 	
None.	
13. Identify the Local Governmental Units that Participated in the Dev	velopment of this EIA
None.	
14. Summary of Rule's Economic and Fiscal Impact on Specific I Governmental Units and the State's Economy as a Whole (Incurred)	Businesses, Business Sectors, Public Utility Rate Payers, Local Include Implementation and Compliance Costs Expected to be
State:	
No fiscal impact.	

Local:

Current law generally limits the total amount of revenue per pupil a school district may receive from general school aids and property taxes in a school year to the amount of revenue received per pupil in the previous school year. However, there are several exceptions ("exemptions") to the revenue limit. For example, school boards were authorized under prior law to adopt a resolution to exceed the school district's revenue limit in any school year by an

ADMINISTRATIVE RULES Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis

amount spent by the school district in that school year on a project to implement energy efficiency measures or to purchase energy efficiency products if the energy efficiency project is governed by a performance contract.

Since then, Act 59 modified the statutes governing the revenue limit exemption for energy efficiencies and prohibited school boards from considering a resolution to utilize the revenue limit exemption for energy efficiencies after December 31, 2017, until December 3018. This rule change will better implement the statutes as modified by Act 59 and eliminate barriers for school boards in carrying out resolutions that were passed before the enactment of Act 59. For example, school boards may be allowed under this rule change to expend funds associated with an energy efficiency project in an amount no greater than the maximum levy specified in the resolution and will allow a school board to expend costs in an amount less than the amount specified in the resolution in any given year without incurring a revenue limit penalty. However, the Department is not able to anticipate how many school boards may take advantage of this change; therefore, the fiscal impact to school districts as a result of this rule is indeterminate.

15. Benefits of Implementing the Rule and Alternative(s) to Implementing the Rule

This rule will have the effect of giving additional flexibility to school boards that have passed energy efficiency resolutions prior to the enactment of Act 59, thereby allowing school boards to spend less and efficiently use the maximum authority allowed under the resolution. Otherwise, the rule will not be consistent with current law and school boards would be required to spend up to the resolution amounts in accordance with the current rule.

- 16. Long-Range Implications of Implementing the Rule Rule and agency practice will be consistent with the statutes as modified by 2017 Wisconsin Act 59.
- 17. Compare With Approaches Being Used by Federal Government $N\!/\!A$

18. Compare With Approaches Being Used by Neighboring States (Illinois, Iowa, Michigan and Minnesota) Since revenue limits and their applicable exemptions are a unique function of the school funding system in Wisconsin, there are no comparable rules or programs governing revenue limit exemptions for energy efficiency projects that exist in adjacent states, based on the Department's review.

19. Contact Name	20. Contact Phone Number
Carl Bryan, Administrative Rules Coordinator	(608) 267-9127
Department of Public Instruction	

This document can be made available in alternate formats to individuals with disabilities upon request.