ADMINISTRATIVE RULES Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis

1. Type of Estimate and Analysis	2. Date	
⊠ Original □ Updated □Corrected	08/15/2024	
3. Administrative Rule Chapter, Title and Number (and Clearinghouse Number if applicable) ATCP 52, Producer Led Watershed Protection Grants		
4. Subject		
Emergency rule providing crop insurance premium rebates for planting cover crops		
5. Fund Sources Affected □ GPR □ FED □ PRO □ PRS ⊠ SEG □ SEG-S	6. Chapter 20, Stats. Appropriations Affected s. 20.115 (7) qf	
7. Fiscal Effect of Implementing the Rule		
□ No Fiscal Effect □ Increase Existing Revenues	☐ Increase Costs	
Indeterminate Decrease Existing Revenues	🛛 Could Absorb Within Agency's Budget	
8. The Rule Will Impact the Following (Check All That Apply)		
□ State's Economy		
Local Government Units Public Utility Rate Payers		
	I Businesses (if checked, complete Attachment A)	
9. Estimate of Implementation and Compliance to Businesses, Local Governmental Units and Individuals, per s. 227.137(3)(b)(1).		
\$0		
 10. Would Implementation and Compliance Costs Businesses, Local Governmental Units and Individuals Be \$10 Million or more Over Any 2-year Period, per s. 227.137(3)(b)(2)? ☐ Yes ⊠ No 		
11. Policy Problem Addressed by the Rule		
An EIA is not required for emergency rules promulgated under s. 227.24, Wis. Stats., per s. 227.137(5), Wis. Stats.		
12. Summary of the Businesses, Business Sectors, Associations Representing Business, Local Governmental Units, and Individuals that may be Affected by the Proposed Rule that were Contacted for Comments.		
An EIA is not required for emergency rules promulgated under s. 227.24, Wis. Stats., per s. 227.137(5), Wis. Stats.		
13. Identify the Local Governmental Units that Participated in the Development of this EIA. An EIA is not required for emergency rules promulgated under s. 227.24, Wis. Stats., per s. 227.137(5), Wis. Stats.		
 Summary of Rule's Economic and Fiscal Impact on Specific Businesses, Business Sectors, Public Utility Rate Payers, Local Governmental Units and the State's Economy as a Whole (Include Implementation and Compliance Costs Expected to be Incurred) 		
An EIA is not required for emergency rules promulgated under s. 227.24, Wis. Stats., per s. 227.137(5), Wis. Stats.		
15. Benefits of Implementing the Rule and Alternative(s) to Implementing the Rule		
An EIA is not required for emergency rules promulgated under s. 227.24, Wis. Stats., per s. 227.137(5), Wis. Stats.		
16. Long Range Implications of Implementing the Rule This is a temporary, emergency rule and there are no related long-term implications.		
17. Compare With Approaches Being Used by Federal Government		
The Pandemic Cover Crop Program (PCCP) was established by USDA to help producers maintain their cover crop		
systems amid a financially challenging time due to the COVID-19 pandemic. PCCP was part of USDA's Pandemic		
Assistance for Producers initiative, through which USDA established programs and efforts to bring financial assistance		
to farmers, ranchers, and producers who felt the impact of COVID-19 market disruptions. The program ended in 2022.		
18. Compare With Approaches Being Used by Neighboring States (Illinois, Iowa, Michigan and Minnesota) Illinois - The Illinois Department of Agriculture runs a Cover Crop Premium Discount Program for acres of cover crops		
installed outside of state and federal program incentives (e.g., EQIP, CSP and state cost share) in partnership with		
instance outside of state and rederat program incentives (e.g., EQIP, CSP and state cost share) in partnership with		

ADMINISTRATIVE RULES Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis

USDA-RMA. Interested participants may enroll acres planted to cover crops in the fall which will be planted to an insurable crop in the following growing season. Eligible applicants will receive a \$5/acre insurance premium discount on the following year's crop insurance invoice for every acre of cover crop enrolled and verified in the program.

Iowa - The Iowa Department of Agriculture and Land Stewardship provides up to \$5/acre for cover crops to eligible applicants. Funding will be provided through USDA-RMA as an additional insurance premium discount through normal crop insurance processes. The premium reduction will be available for fall-planted cover crops with a spring-planted cash crop. The program is offered for acres of cover crops installed outside of state/federal program incentives (WQI, IFIP, state cost-share, EQIP, CSP, etc.). Participating farmers must follow all existing good farming practices required by their policy and work with their insurance agent to maintain eligibility.

Michigan – Michigan does not offer a similar program.

Minnesota – Minnesota does not offer a similar program.

19. Contact Name	20. Contact Phone Number
Alex Elias	(608) 224-6338

This document can be made available in alternate formats to individuals with disabilities upon request.

ADMINISTRATIVE RULES Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis

ATTACHMENT A

1. Summary of Rule's Economic and Fiscal Impact on Small Businesses (Separately for each Small Business Sector, Include Implementation and Compliance Costs Expected to be Incurred)

2. Summary of the data sources used to measure the Rule's impact on Small Businesses

- 3. Did the agency consider the following methods to reduce the impact of the Rule on Small Businesses?
- Less Stringent Compliance or Reporting Requirements
- Less Stringent Schedules or Deadlines for Compliance or Reporting
- Consolidation or Simplification of Reporting Requirements
- Establishment of performance standards in lieu of Design or Operational Standards
- Exemption of Small Businesses from some or all requirements

Other, describe:

4. Describe the methods incorporated into the Rule that will reduce its impact on Small Businesses

- 5. Describe the Rule's Enforcement Provisions
- 6. Did the Agency prepare a Cost Benefit Analysis (if Yes, attach to form)

🗌 Yes 🗌 No