DIVISION OF EXECUTIVE BUDGET AND FINANCE 101 EAST WILSON STREET, 10TH FLOOR P.O. BOX 7864 MADISON, WI 53707-7864 FAX: (608) 267-0372

## ADMINISTRATIVE RULES Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis

Type of Estimate and Analysis	2. Date	
☑ Original ☐ Updated ☐Corrected	6/22/2022	
3. Administrative Rule Chapter, Title and Number (and Clearinghouse N	umber if applicable)	
Chapter EL 6, Correction of Absentee Ballot Certificate Envelopes, EL 6.06		
* 1		
4. Subject		
As provided in s. 6.87 (6d), Stats., an absentee ballot may not be counted if the certificate is missing the address of a		
witness. The Commission formally approved and issued guidance on this topic shortly after the creation of that statutory		
provision. This emergency rule would formally promulgate that g		
clerks to correct or seek correction of any missing address inform	ation for the witnesses signing the certificate on behalf	
of the voter.		
	Chapter 20, Stats. Appropriations Affected	
☐ GPR ☐ FED ☐ PRO ☐ PRS ☐ SEG ☐ SEG-S ☐ Wi	s. Stat. s. 20.510	
7. Fiscal Effect of Implementing the Rule		
•	Increase Costs	
<del>-</del>	Could Absorb Within Agency's Budget	
8. The Rule Will Impact the Following (Check All That Apply)		
State's Economy Specific Businesses/Sectors		
☐ Local Government Units ☐ Public Utility Rate Payers		
☐ Small Businesses (if checked, complete Attachment A)		
9. Estimate of Implementation and Compliance to Businesses, Local Governmental Units and Individuals, per s. 227.137(3)(b)(1).		
\$0.00		
10. Would Implementation and Compliance Costs Businesses, Local Governmental Units and Individuals Be \$10 Million or more Over		
Any 2-year Period, per s. 227.137(3)(b)(2)?		
☐ Yes ☐ No		
11. Policy Problem Addressed by the Rule		
This rule will mitigate voter disenfranchisement because of relatively preventable clerical errors in a witness address on		
an absentee certificate envelope. It also defines what precisely an "address" is, as it pertains to the applicable statutory		
requirements. The Commission determined that clerks must take corrective action in an attempt to remedy a witness		
address error. If clerks are reasonably able to discern some missing information from outside sources, clerks are not		
required to contact the voter before making that correction directly to the absentee certificate envelope.		
12. Summary of the Businesses, Business Sectors, Associations Representing Business, Local Governmental Units, and Individuals		
that may be Affected by the Proposed Rule that were Contacted for Comments.		
None, the proposed rule will affect clerks and election officials, although it is likely to provide necessary clarity,		
authority, and streamline certificate envelope correction processes. The proposed rule will do so by codifying existing		
practices and will require minimal compliance outreach and training to clerks because of their familiarity with the 2016		
guidance. As such, there will be little to no financial impact on local officials or small businesses.		
13. Identify the Local Governmental Units that Participated in the Development of this EIA.		
No local government units participated in the development of this EIA.		
14. Summary of Rule's Economic and Fiscal Impact on Specific Businesses, Business Sectors, Public Utility Rate Payers, Local		
Governmental Units and the State's Economy as a Whole (Include Implementation and Compliance Costs Expected to be Incurred)		
None. Local clerks and elections officials have likely already been performing these or similar functions, and this		
codification of the process will not result in additional economic burden.		
15. Benefits of Implementing the Rule and Alternative(s) to Implementing the Rule		
The Commission formally examined the risk of voter disenfranchisement in light of new statutory requirements, as it		
,	<i>5</i>	

DIVISION OF EXECUTIVE BUDGET AND FINANCE 101 EAST WILSON STREET, 10TH FLOOR P.O. BOX 7864 MADISON, WI 53707-7864 FAX: (608) 267-0372

## ADMINISTRATIVE RULES Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis

pertains to missing absentee ballot certificate information, several years ago. Commission staff were tasked with researching and presenting viable solutions. This review led to the conclusion that many instances of potential disenfranchisement could be avoided through proactive measures by the municipal clerk. The Commission's selected solution was issued in the form of 2016 guidance. That guidance was recently reassessed by the Commission against alternative solutions, and the original guidance was still found to be the best solution. The Commission thereby directed staff to promulgate the guidance into an emergency administrative rule. The alternative to this process is that the clerks and elections officials will not uniformly address certificate issues, and voters may be disenfranchised at a greater rate, while cost and time commitments associated with challenges may also be incurred without the rule.

while cost and time commitments associated with chancinges may also be incurred without the rule.		
16. Long Range Implications of Implementing the Rule		
The proposed rules do not impose any financial or compliance burdens that will have a significant effect on small		
businesses or a significant economic impact.		
17. Compare With Approaches Being Used by Federal Government		
No comparable information is available. This rule strictly applies to Wisconsin laws and procedures.		
18. Compare With Approaches Being Used by Neighboring States (Illinois, Iowa, Michigan and Minnesota) See "Comparison With Rules in Adjacent States" in the Commission's order adopting the emergency rule.		
19. Contact Name	20. Contact Phone Number	
Jim Witecha, Staff Attorney	608-266-0136	

This document can be made available in alternate formats to individuals with disabilities upon request.

STATE OF WISCONSIN DEPARTMENT OF ADMINISTRATION DOA-2049 (R09/2016) DIVISION OF EXECUTIVE BUDGET AND FINANCE 101 EAST WILSON STREET, 10TH FLOOR P.O. BOX 7864 MADISON, WI 53707-7864 FAX: (608) 267-0372

## ADMINISTRATIVE RULES Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis

## ATTACHMENT A

Summary of Rule's Economic and Fiscal Impact on Small Businesses (Separately for each Small Business Sector, Include Implementation and Compliance Costs Expected to be Incurred)     N/A
2. Summary of the data sources used to measure the Rule's impact on Small Businesses
3. Did the agency consider the following methods to reduce the impact of the Rule on Small Businesses?  Less Stringent Compliance or Reporting Requirements  Less Stringent Schedules or Deadlines for Compliance or Reporting  Consolidation or Simplification of Reporting Requirements  Establishment of performance standards in lieu of Design or Operational Standards  Exemption of Small Businesses from some or all requirements  Other, describe:
4. Describe the methods incorporated into the Rule that will reduce its impact on Small Businesses
5. Describe the Rule's Enforcement Provisions
6. Did the Agency prepare a Cost Benefit Analysis (if Yes, attach to form)  ☐ Yes ☐ No