	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
1. Type of Estimate and Analysis	2. Date			
⊠ Original □ Updated □Corrected	February 7, 2024			
3. Administrative Rule Chapter, Title and Number (and Clearinghouse Number if applicable) A-E 2, 7, and 8				
4. Subject Sealing and Stamping of Documents				
5. Fund Sources Affected □ GPR □ FED ⊠ PRO □ PRS □ SEG □ SEG-S	6. Chapter 20, Stats. Appropriations Affected s.20.165(1)(g), & s.20.165(2)(j)			
7. Fiscal Effect of Implementing the Rule Image: No Fiscal Effect Increase Existing Revenues Image: Indeterminate Image: Decrease Existing Revenues	 ☑ Increase Costs ☑ Decrease Costs ☑ Could Absorb Within Agency's Budget 			
8. The Rule Will Impact the Following (Check All That Apply) State's Economy Specific Businesses/Sectors Local Government Units Public Utility Rate Payers				
9. Estimate of Implementation and Compliance to Businesses, Local Governmental Units and Individuals, per s. 227.137(3)(b)(1).				
9. Estimate of implementation and compliance to businesses, Local Governmental Onits and Individuals, per S. 227.137(3)(b)(1). \$0				
 10. Would Implementation and Compliance Costs Businesses, Local Governmental Units and Individuals Be \$10 Million or more Over Any 2-year Period, per s. 227.137(3)(b)(2)? □ Yes ☑ No 				
11. Policy Problem Addressed by the Rule This rule provides clarification within code chapters A-E 2, 7, and 8 relating to sealing and signing and eliminates redundant words or sentences that might bring confusion to stakeholders.				
 Summary of the Businesses, Business Sectors, Associations Representing Business, Local Governmental Units, and Individuals that may be Affected by the Proposed Rule that were Contacted for Comments. None. 				
13. Identify the Local Governmental Units that Participated in the Development of this EIA. N/A				
14. Summary of Rule's Economic and Fiscal Impact on Specific Businesses, Business Sectors, Public Utility Rate Payers, Local Governmental Units and the State's Economy as a Whole (Include Implementation and Compliance Costs Expected to be Incurred)				
DSPS estimates a total of \$4,900 in one-time costs for staffing to implement the rule. The estimated need for 0.1 limited term employee is attributed to rule promulgation and website and form updates. The estimated costs cannot be absorbed in the currently appropriated agency budget.				
15. Benefits of Implementing the Rule and Alternative(s) to Implementing the Rule This rule provides clarification within code chapters A-E 2, 7, and 8 relating to regulations governing sealing and signing of official plans and specs. If this rule is not implemented, there may still be confusion and outdated regulations around the rules for how official plans need to be sealed and signed, especially with electronic seals and signatures.				
16. Long Range Implications of Implementing the Rule The long range implication of implementing the rule is to provide c designers, landscape architects, land surveyors, and registered inter				
17. Compare With Approaches Being Used by Federal Government None.				
18. Compare With Approaches Being Used by Neighboring States (Illinois, Iowa, Michigan and Minnesota)				

Illinois:

Rules of the Illinois Department of Financial and Professional Regulation provide seal requirement standards for architects [68 Ill. Adm. Code 1150], professional engineers [68 Ill. Adm. Code 1380.295], and professional land surveyors [68 Ill. Adm. Code 1270.58].

Licensed Architects and Professional Engineers must have a reproducible seal, which may be electronically affixed, or, at the licensed professional's discretion, an original signature in the licensee's handwriting, a scanned copy of documents bearing an original signature, or a signature generated by a computer.

Land surveyors must have a reproducible seal or facsimile, which may be computer generated, the impression of which shall contain the name of the land surveyor, his or her place of business, the license number of the professional land surveyor, and the words "Professional Land Surveyor, State of Illinois." They may also provide an original signature in the licensee's handwriting, a scanned copy of the document bearing an original signature, or a signature generated by a computer.

Iowa:

Rules covering seal requirements for architects, engineers, professional land surveyors, and landscape architects are specified by the Iowa Architectural Examining Board [193B IAC 4.6], the Iowa Engineering and Land Surveying Examining Board [193C IAC 6.1(1) to 6.1(9)], and the Iowa Landscape Architectural Examining Board [193D IAC 4.1(7)]. Iowa currently does not have seal requirements for Interior Designers [193G IAC]. Architects, Landscape Architects and Professional Land Surveyors may provide seals presented as a legible rubber stamp, an electronic image or any other facsimile of the seal. The information requested in each information block must be typed or legibly printed in permanent ink or a secure electronic signature which has been defined in Iowa Code section 554D.103(14), which includes digital signature technology.

Michigan:

Rules of the Michigan Department of Licensing and Regulatory Affairs provide seal requirements for architects [Mich Admin Code, R 339.15404], professional engineers [Mich Admin Code, R 339.16031 to R 339.16034], professional surveyors [Mich Admin Code, R 339.17301], and landscape architects [Mich Admin Code, R 339.19041]. In the State of Michigan rules, there are no differences specified between the types of seals or stamps.

Minnesota:

The Minnesota Board of Architecture, Engineering, Land Surveying, Landscape Architecture, Geoscience, and Interior Design provide seal requirements for licensed architects, licensed professional engineers, licensed land surveyors, and licensed landscape architects [Minnesota Rules, part 1800.4300].

Within the State of Minnesota, professional certifications and signatures on plans, drawings, specifications, plats, reports, and other documents may be electronic, or provided via facsimile or digitally. Plans, specifications, plats, reports, and other documents may be stamped with the seal during the life of a licensee's license or certificate holder's certificate if it remains unrevoked, has not expired, or has not been suspended.

19. Contact Name			20. Contact Phone Number
Jake Pelegrin, Administrative Rules Coordinator		(608) 267-0989	

This document can be made available in alternate formats to individuals with disabilities upon request.

ATTACHMENT A

1. Summary of Rule's Economic and Fiscal Impact on Small Businesses (Separately for each Small Business Sector, Include Implementation and Compliance Costs Expected to be Incurred)

2. Summary of the data sources used to measure the Rule's impact on Small Businesses

- 3. Did the agency consider the following methods to reduce the impact of the Rule on Small Businesses?
- Less Stringent Compliance or Reporting Requirements
- Less Stringent Schedules or Deadlines for Compliance or Reporting
- Consolidation or Simplification of Reporting Requirements
- Establishment of performance standards in lieu of Design or Operational Standards
- Exemption of Small Businesses from some or all requirements

Other, describe:

4. Describe the methods incorporated into the Rule that will reduce its impact on Small Businesses

- 5. Describe the Rule's Enforcement Provisions
- 6. Did the Agency prepare a Cost Benefit Analysis (if Yes, attach to form)

🗌 Yes 🗌 No