## ADMINISTRATIVE RULES Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis

1. Type of Estimate and Analysis		2. Date		
Original Updated Corrected	12/06/23			
3. Administrative Rule Chapter, Title and Number (and Clearinghouse Number if applicable) CSB 2.96				
4. Subject Scheduling Amineptine				
5. Fund Sources Affected ☐ GPR ☐ FED  ☐ PRO  ☐ PRS  ☐ SEG  ☐ SEG-S		20, Stats. Appropriations Affected 1) (g) and (hg)		
7. Fiscal Effect of Implementing the Rule				
□ No Fiscal Effect □ Increase Existing Revenues	Increase	—		
☐ Indeterminate ☐ Decrease Existing Revenues	Could Al	bsorb Within Agency's Budget		
8. The Rule Will Impact the Following (Check All That Apply)				
	cific Business			
	ic Utility Rate			
		(if checked, complete Attachment A)		
9. Estimate of Implementation and Compliance to Businesses, Loca	a Governmen			
\$0		tal Unite and Individuals Do \$10 Million or more Over		
10. Would Implementation and Compliance Costs Businesses, Loc Any 2-year Period, per s. 227.137(3)(b)(2)?	al Governmer	ital Onits and Individuals Be \$10 Million of more Over		
$\Box$ Yes $\boxtimes$ No				
11. Policy Problem Addressed by the Rule				
On November 17, 2022, the Department of Justice, Drug Ent	forcement A	dministration published its interim final rule in		
the Federal Register listing Amineptine into schedule I of the	e federal Con	ntrolled Substances Act. The scheduling action		
is effective December 19, 2022.				
12. Summary of the Businesses, Business Sectors, Associations Representing Business, Local Governmental Units, and Individuals that may be Affected by the Proposed Rule that were Contacted for Comments.				
The rule was posted on the Department's website for 14 days to solicit public comment on economic impact, including				
how the proposed rules may affect businesses, local government units, and individuals. No comments were received.				
13. Identify the Local Governmental Units that Participated in the Development of this EIA.				
14. Summary of Rule's Economic and Fiscal Impact on Specific Businesses, Business Sectors, Public Utility Rate Payers, Local Governmental Units and the State's Economy as a Whole (Include Implementation and Compliance Costs Expected to be Incurred)				
This rule aligns Wisconsin statute with federal scheduling and classifies Amineptine as a schedule I controlled substance.				
DSPS estimates a total of \$3,500 in one-time staffing costs to implement the rule. The estimated need for 0.1 limited				
term employee (LTE) is for rule drafting and communications necessary for implementation. The estimated costs may				
not be absorbed in the currently appropriated budget.				
15. Benefits of Implementing the Rule and Alternative(s) to Implementing the Rule				
The benefit is that the federal and state controlled substances acts will be uniform to avoid confusion.				
16. Long Range Implications of Implementing the Rule The long range implications of implementing the rule are that Amineptine will be added to Wis. Stat. ch. 961 as a schedule I				
controlled substance.				
17. Compare With Approaches Being Used by Federal Government				
The federal government has scheduled Amineptine as schedule I controlled substance.				

## ADMINISTRATIVE RULES Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis

18. Compare With Approaches Being Used by Neighboring States (Illinois, Iowa, Michigan and Minnesota) Illinois: Illinois has not listed Amineptine as a schedule I controlled substance [720 Illinois Compiled Statutes 570/204].

Iowa: Iowa has not listed Amineptine as a schedule I controlled substance [Iowa Code 124.204].

Michigan: Michigan has not listed Amineptine as a schedule I controlled substance [Michigan Compiled Laws s. 333.7212].

Minnesota: Minnesota has not listed Amineptine as a schedule I control	olled substance [Minnesota Statutes 152.02 (2)].
	00 Ocidente et Dhaman Numerican

19. Contact Name	20. Contact Phone Number
Nilajah Hardin, Administrative Rules Coordinator	608-267-7139

This document can be made available in alternate formats to individuals with disabilities upon request.

## ADMINISTRATIVE RULES Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis

## ATTACHMENT A

1. Summary of Rule's Economic and Fiscal Impact on Small Businesses (Separately for each Small Business Sector, Include Implementation and Compliance Costs Expected to be Incurred)

2. Summary of the data sources used to measure the Rule's impact on Small Businesses

- 3. Did the agency consider the following methods to reduce the impact of the Rule on Small Businesses?
- Less Stringent Compliance or Reporting Requirements
- Less Stringent Schedules or Deadlines for Compliance or Reporting
- Consolidation or Simplification of Reporting Requirements
- Establishment of performance standards in lieu of Design or Operational Standards
- Exemption of Small Businesses from some or all requirements

Other, describe:

4. Describe the methods incorporated into the Rule that will reduce its impact on Small Businesses

- 5. Describe the Rule's Enforcement Provisions
- 6. Did the Agency prepare a Cost Benefit Analysis (if Yes, attach to form)

🗌 Yes 🗌 No