DIVISION OF EXECUTIVE BUDGET AND FINANCE 101 EAST WILSON STREET, 10TH FLOOR P.O. BOX 7864 MADISON, WI 53707-7864 FAX: (608) 267-0372

#### ADMINISTRATIVE RULES Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis

Type of Estimate and Analysis	2. Date	
⊠Original □Updated □Corrected	09/05/2023	
3. Administrative Rule Chapter, Title and Number (and Clearinghouse Number if applicable) NR 20, Fishing: Inland and Outlying Waters; NR 26, Fish Refuges		
4. Subject FH-14-22, minor changes to fishing regulations on inland, outlying and boundary waters (the 2023 Fisheries Management housekeeping rule)		
5. Fund Sources Affected  ☐GPR ☐FED ☐PRO ☐PRS ☐SEG ☐SEG-S	6. Chapter 20, Stats. Appropriations Affected	
7. Fiscal Effect of Implementing the Rule  ⊠No Fiscal Effect □Increase Existing Revenues □Indeterminate □Decrease Existing Revenues	☐Increase Costs ☐Decrease Costs ☐Could Absorb Within Agency's Budget	
□Local Government Units □Publi	ific Businesses/Sectors c Utility Rate Payers I Businesses (if checked, complete Attachment A)	
9. Estimate of Implementation and Compliance to Businesses, Local G		
\$0  10. Would Implementation and Compliance Costs Businesses, Local Governmental Units and Individuals Be \$10 Million or more Over Any 2-year Period, per s. 227.137(3)(b)(2)?  ☐Yes ☒No		
11. Policy Problem Addressed by the Rule This rule will correct minor errors and inconsistencies and make minor governs fishing in inland, outlying, and boundary waters is accurate an		
12. Summary of the Businesses, Business Sectors, Associations Representing Business, Local Governmental Units, and Individuals that may be Affected by the Proposed Rule that were Contacted for Comments.		
This is a level 3 economic impact analysis (minimal economic impact of less than \$50,000). A notice for solicitation of comments on this analysis will posted on the department's website for review by businesses, sectors, governmental units, and individuals, with no economic comments anticipated.		
13. Identify the Local Governmental Units that Participated in the Deve	lopment of this EIA.	
This is a level 3 economic impact analysis (minimal economic impact of less than \$50,000). A notice for solicitation of comments on this analysis will be posted on the department's website for review by businesses, sectors, governmental units, and individuals. No local governmental units are expected to be impacted by this rule.		
<ol> <li>Summary of Rule's Economic and Fiscal Impact on Specific Busine Governmental Units and the State's Economy as a Whole (Include Incurred)</li> </ol>		
The proposed rule will have minimal impact on anglers, with no econor there will be a requirement for anglers to learn the new rules. How reflect existing policies for which anglers must already comply, or connected waters. The department works to notify the public of ne regulations pamphlets.	rever, a majority of this rule clarifies administrative code to reduces the complexity of fishing regulations on	
15. Benefits of Implementing the Rule and Alternative(s) to Implementi	· ·	
The rule is being pursued to ensure the rule language that governs fishing in inland, outlying, and boundary waters is accurate and properly reflects the desired management of Wisconsin waters. An alternative would be to leave the code as is, which leads to confusion among the public and law enforcement and may also result in inadequate management of fisheries resources.		

Fishing regulations, such as length and bag limits or season dates, are used as a tool to ensure good fishing exists into the future. The department has used different types of fishing regulations in order to: control angler impacts on fish populations; maintain numbers and

16. Long Range Implications of Implementing the Rule

DIVISION OF EXECUTIVE BUDGET AND FINANCE 101 EAST WILSON STREET, 10TH FLOOR P.O. BOX 7864 MADISON, WI 53707-7864 FAX: (608) 267-0372

### ADMINISTRATIVE RULES Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis

sizes of fish in a lake or stream; provide different types of fishing experiences, such as fishing for dinner or for a trophy fish; and make access to fishing as fair as possible.

This rule includes minor changes to administrative code that support existing policies and fisheries management goals

17. Compare With Approaches Being Used by Federal Government

Authority to promulgate fishing regulations is granted to states. None of the proposed changes violate or conflict with federal regulations.

18. Compare With Approaches Being Used by Neighboring States (Illinois, Iowa, Michigan and Minnesota)

Fisheries management rules are generally similar in the states surrounding Wisconsin. Each bordering state regulates fishing by the use of seasons, bag limits and size limits. Specific seasons and bag and size limits may differ for species among the surrounding states, but the general principles are the same. Michigan, Minnesota, Iowa, and Illinois all have statewide seasons and bag and size limits for fish species, along with special or experimental regulations on individual waters.

19. Contact Name	20. Contact Phone Number
Meredith Penthorn	608-316-0080

DIVISION OF EXECUTIVE BUDGET AND FINANCE 101 EAST WILSON STREET, 10TH FLOOR P.O. BOX 7864 MADISON, WI 53707-7864 FAX: (608) 267-0372

# ADMINISTRATIVE RULES Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis

This document can be made available in alternate formats to individuals with disabilities upon request.

DIVISION OF EXECUTIVE BUDGET AND FINANCE 101 EAST WILSON STREET, 10TH FLOOR P.O. BOX 7864 MADISON, WI 53707-7864 FAX: (608) 267-0372

# ADMINISTRATIVE RULES Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis

#### ATTACHMENT A

<ol> <li>Summary of Rule's Economic and Fiscal Impact on Small Businesses (Separately for each Small Business Sector, Include Implementation and Compliance Costs Expected to be Incurred)</li> </ol>
2. Summary of the data sources used to measure the Rule's impact on Small Businesses
3. Did the agency consider the following methods to reduce the impact of the Rule on Small Businesses?
Less Stringent Compliance or Reporting Requirements
Less Stringent Schedules or Deadlines for Compliance or Reporting
☐Consolidation or Simplification of Reporting Requirements
☐Establishment of performance standards in lieu of Design or Operational Standards
Exemption of Small Businesses from some or all requirements
☐ Other, describe:
4. Describe the methods incorporated into the Rule that will reduce its impact on Small Businesses
5. Describe the Rule's Enforcement Provisions
6. Did the Agency prepare a Cost Benefit Analysis (if Yes, attach to form)
□Yes □No