

ADMINISTRATIVE RULES Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis

1. Type of Estimate and Analysis <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Original <input type="checkbox"/> Updated <input type="checkbox"/> Corrected	2. Date April 19, 2023
3. Administrative Rule Chapter, Title and Number (and Clearinghouse Number if applicable) Phar 7 and 10	
4. Subject Consumer Disclosures	
5. Fund Sources Affected <input type="checkbox"/> GPR <input type="checkbox"/> FED <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> PRO <input type="checkbox"/> PRS <input type="checkbox"/> SEG <input type="checkbox"/> SEG-S	6. Chapter 20, Stats. Appropriations Affected s. 20.165 (1) (hg)
7. Fiscal Effect of Implementing the Rule <input type="checkbox"/> No Fiscal Effect <input type="checkbox"/> Increase Existing Revenues <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Increase Costs <input type="checkbox"/> Decrease Costs <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Indeterminate <input type="checkbox"/> Decrease Existing Revenues <input type="checkbox"/> Could Absorb Within Agency's Budget	
8. The Rule Will Impact the Following (Check All That Apply) <input type="checkbox"/> State's Economy <input type="checkbox"/> Specific Businesses/Sectors <input type="checkbox"/> Local Government Units <input type="checkbox"/> Public Utility Rate Payers <input type="checkbox"/> Small Businesses (if checked, complete Attachment A)	
9. Estimate of Implementation and Compliance to Businesses, Local Governmental Units and Individuals, per s. 227.137(3)(b)(1). \$0	
10. Would Implementation and Compliance Costs Businesses, Local Governmental Units and Individuals Be \$10 Million or more Over Any 2-year Period, per s. 227.137(3)(b)(2)? <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	
11. Policy Problem Addressed by the Rule The objective of the proposed rule is to implement the statutory changes from 2021 Wisconsin Act 9	
12. Summary of the Businesses, Business Sectors, Associations Representing Business, Local Governmental Units, and Individuals that may be Affected by the Proposed Rule that were Contacted for Comments. The rule will was posted for 14 days on the Department of Safety and Professional Services' website to solicit comments on the potential economic impact. No comments were received.	
13. Identify the Local Governmental Units that Participated in the Development of this EIA. None.	
14. Summary of Rule's Economic and Fiscal Impact on Specific Businesses, Business Sectors, Public Utility Rate Payers, Local Governmental Units and the State's Economy as a Whole (Include Implementation and Compliance Costs Expected to be Incurred) The rule will not have an economic or fiscal impact on specific businesses, business sectors, public utility rate payers, local governmental units or the state's economy as a whole. The Department of Safety and Professional Services estimates a total of \$1,200 in one-time costs to implement the rule. The estimated costs may not be absorbed in the agency budget.	
15. Benefits of Implementing the Rule and Alternative(s) to Implementing the Rule The benefits to implementing this rule are clear and specific rules for licensees regarding required disclosures to consumers. The alternative to implementing the rule is to continue to rely on the statute for guidance on required consumer disclosures in pharmacies.	
16. Long Range Implications of Implementing the Rule The long range implications of implementing the rule are better pharmacy practice due to posting and maintenance of consumer disclosures as required by rule and statute in Wisconsin.	
17. Compare With Approaches Being Used by Federal Government Federal Regulations part: 21 CFR Subchapter D covers regulations for the FDA on Drugs for Human Use.	

ADMINISTRATIVE RULES

Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis

18. Compare With Approaches Being Used by Neighboring States (Illinois, Iowa, Michigan and Minnesota)

Illinois: The Illinois Department of Financial and Professional Regulation (IDFPR) under the State Board of Pharmacy, regulates pharmacists. All consumer disclosures relating to prescription medication and pharmacy benefits management are regulated by the State Department of Insurance. Currently, the Illinois Board of Pharmacy is not responsible, nor regularly provides consumer disclosures regarding generic or prescription medication.

Iowa: Iowa pharmacists are regulated by the Board of Pharmacists. All consumer disclosures relating to prescription medication and pharmacy benefits management are regulated by the State Department of Insurance. Currently, the Iowa Board of Pharmacists is not responsible, nor regularly provides consumer disclosures regarding generic or prescription medication.

Michigan: The Michigan Department of Licensing and Regulatory Affairs (MDLRA) regulates pharmacists under the authority of the Michigan Board of Pharmacy. All consumer disclosures relating to prescription medication and pharmacy benefits management are regulated by the State Department of Insurance. Currently, the Michigan Board of Pharmacy is not responsible, nor regularly provides consumer disclosures regarding generic or prescription medication.

Minnesota: In Minnesota, pharmacists are regulated by the Minnesota Department of Health, with input from the Minnesota Board of Pharmacy. All consumer disclosures relating to prescription medication and pharmacy benefits management are regulated by the State Department of Insurance. Currently, the Minnesota Board of Pharmacy is not responsible, nor regularly provides consumer disclosures regarding generic or prescription medication.

19. Contact Name

Nilajah Hardin, Administrative Rules Coordinator

20. Contact Phone Number

608-267-7139

This document can be made available in alternate formats to individuals with disabilities upon request.

ADMINISTRATIVE RULES
Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis

ATTACHMENT A

1. Summary of Rule's Economic and Fiscal Impact on Small Businesses (Separately for each Small Business Sector, Include Implementation and Compliance Costs Expected to be Incurred)

2. Summary of the data sources used to measure the Rule's impact on Small Businesses

3. Did the agency consider the following methods to reduce the impact of the Rule on Small Businesses?

- Less Stringent Compliance or Reporting Requirements
 - Less Stringent Schedules or Deadlines for Compliance or Reporting
 - Consolidation or Simplification of Reporting Requirements
 - Establishment of performance standards in lieu of Design or Operational Standards
 - Exemption of Small Businesses from some or all requirements
 - Other, describe:
-

4. Describe the methods incorporated into the Rule that will reduce its impact on Small Businesses

5. Describe the Rule's Enforcement Provisions

6. Did the Agency prepare a Cost Benefit Analysis (if Yes, attach to form)

- Yes No
-