

## ADMINISTRATIVE RULES Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis

|  |   |
|--|---|
| 1. Type of Estimate and Analysis<br><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Original <input type="checkbox"/> Updated <input type="checkbox"/> Corrected   | 2. Date<br>6 July 2021                        |
| 3. Administrative Rule Chapter, Title and Number (and Clearinghouse Number if applicable)<br>ATCP 160.92 (6)   |   |
| 4. Subject<br>Fair Organizations   |   |
| 5. Fund Sources Affected<br><input type="checkbox"/> GPR <input type="checkbox"/> FED <input type="checkbox"/> PRO <input type="checkbox"/> PRS <input type="checkbox"/> SEG <input type="checkbox"/> SEG-S  | 6. Chapter 20, Stats. Appropriations Affected |
| 7. Fiscal Effect of Implementing the Rule<br><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No Fiscal Effect <input type="checkbox"/> Increase Existing Revenues <input type="checkbox"/> Increase Costs <input type="checkbox"/> Decrease Costs<br><input type="checkbox"/> Indeterminate <input type="checkbox"/> Decrease Existing Revenues <input type="checkbox"/> Could Absorb Within Agency's Budget   |   |
| 8. The Rule Will Impact the Following (Check All That Apply)<br><input type="checkbox"/> State's Economy <input type="checkbox"/> Specific Businesses/Sectors<br><input type="checkbox"/> Local Government Units <input type="checkbox"/> Public Utility Rate Payers<br><input type="checkbox"/> Small Businesses <b>(if checked, complete Attachment A)</b>   |   |
| 9. Estimate of Implementation and Compliance to Businesses, Local Governmental Units and Individuals, per s. 227.137(3)(b)(1).<br>\$0.00   |   |
| 10. Would Implementation and Compliance Costs Businesses, Local Governmental Units and Individuals Be \$10 Million or more Over Any 2-year Period, per s. 227.137(3)(b)(2)?<br><input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No   |   |
| 11. Policy Problem Addressed by the Rule<br>Section ATCP 160.92 (6) contains a provision requiring a copy of the fair organization's annual financial report as published in the newspaper to be submitted to the Department. 2015 Wisconsin Act 207 repealed the requirement for a fair organization to publish a financial statement as a class I notice [s. 93.23 (1) (d), Stats. (2013)]. This rule removes the obsolete provision within ATCP 160.92 (6). |   |
| 12. Summary of the Businesses, Business Sectors, Associations Representing Business, Local Governmental Units, and Individuals that may be Affected by the Proposed Rule that were Contacted for Comments.<br>This rule was posted on the Department website for 14 days to obtain economic impact comments and none were received..   |   |
| 13. Identify the Local Governmental Units that Participated in the Development of this EIA.<br>None  |   |
| 14. Summary of Rule's Economic and Fiscal Impact on Specific Businesses, Business Sectors, Public Utility Rate Payers, Local Governmental Units and the State's Economy as a Whole (Include Implementation and Compliance Costs Expected to be Incurred)<br>This rule does not have an economic or fiscal impact on specific businesses, business sectors, public utility rate payers, local governmental units or the State's Economy as a Whole.             |   |
| 15. Benefits of Implementing the Rule and Alternative(s) to Implementing the Rule<br>The benefit to implementing the rule is to remove an obsolete provision resulting in rule clarity. The alternative is to retain in rule a provision that the legislature repealed.  |   |
| 16. Long Range Implications of Implementing the Rule<br>The long range implication of implementing the rule is statutory compliance.   |   |
| 17. Compare With Approaches Being Used by Federal Government<br>None   |   |
| 18. Compare With Approaches Being Used by Neighboring States (Illinois, Iowa, Michigan and Minnesota)  |   |

**ADMINISTRATIVE RULES**  
**Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis**

In Iowa, a fair is required to annually publish in one newspaper of the county a financial statement of receipts and disbursements for the current year. In Illinois, Michigan and Minnesota, a financial statement is not required to be published in a newspaper.

---

|                                  |  |
|----------------------------------|--|
| 19. Contact Name<br>Sharon Henes | 20. Contact Phone Number<br>(608) 381-2808 |
|----------------------------------|--|

---

This document can be made available in alternate formats to individuals with disabilities upon request.

**ADMINISTRATIVE RULES**  
**Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis**

**ATTACHMENT A**

---

1. Summary of Rule's Economic and Fiscal Impact on Small Businesses (Separately for each Small Business Sector, Include Implementation and Compliance Costs Expected to be Incurred)

---

2. Summary of the data sources used to measure the Rule's impact on Small Businesses

---

3. Did the agency consider the following methods to reduce the impact of the Rule on Small Businesses?

- Less Stringent Compliance or Reporting Requirements
- Less Stringent Schedules or Deadlines for Compliance or Reporting
- Consolidation or Simplification of Reporting Requirements
- Establishment of performance standards in lieu of Design or Operational Standards
- Exemption of Small Businesses from some or all requirements
- Other, describe:

---

4. Describe the methods incorporated into the Rule that will reduce its impact on Small Businesses

---

5. Describe the Rule's Enforcement Provisions

---

6. Did the Agency prepare a Cost Benefit Analysis (if Yes, attach to form)

- Yes    No
-