STATE OF WISCONSIN DEPARTMENT OF ADMINISTRATION DOA-2049 (R09/2016) DIVISION OF EXECUTIVE BUDGET AND FINANCE 101 EAST WILSON STREET, 10TH FLOOR P.O. BOX 7864 MADISON, WI 53707-7864 FAX: (608) 267-0372

ADMINISTRATIVE RULES Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis

1. Type of Estimate and Analysis			2. Date			
	Original Updated Corrected					
	Administrative Rule Chapter, Title and Number (and Clearinghouse Chapter PI 11, Children with disabilities	Number if a	applicable)			
4.	Subject					
	Changes to criteria relating to specific learning disabilities					
5. Fund Sources Affected			20, Stats. Appropriations Affected			
	GPR ☐ FED ☐ PRO ☐ PRS ☐ SEG ☐ SEG-S					
7.	Fiscal Effect of Implementing the Rule	_ .				
	□ No Fiscal Effect □ Increase Existing Revenues □	Increase				
	☐ Indeterminate ☐ Decrease Existing Revenues ☐	Could Ab	osorb Within Agency's Budget			
8. The Rule Will Impact the Following (Check All That Apply)						
☐ State's Economy☐ Specific Businesses/Sectors☐ Local Government Units☐ Public Utility Rate Payers						
			(if checked, complete Attachment A)			
9.	Estimate of Implementation and Compliance to Businesses, Local $\$0$	Government	tal Units and Individuals, per s. 227.137 (3) (b) 1., Stats.			
	Would Implementation and Compliance Costs Businesses, Local Governmental Units and Individuals Be \$10 Million or more Over Any 2-year Period, per s. 227.137 (3) (b) 2., Stats.?					
14	Yes No					
11.	1. Policy Problem Addressed by the Rule					
	The proposed rule seeks to update ch. PI 11 of the Wisconsin Administrative Code with respect to eligibility criteria for children with specific learning disabilities.					
12.	Summary of the Businesses, Business Sectors, Associations Representing Business, Local Governmental Units, and Individuals that may be Affected by the Proposed Rule that were Contacted for Comments					
	The department held a preliminary public hearing and comment period on the scope statement for the proposed rule. The comments received were considered in the development of this economic impact analysis.					
	Additionally, during the development of this rule, education stakeholder groups were contacted for feedback on the rule, such as the Wisconsin RTI Center, Wisconsin State Reading Association, Wisconsin Council of Administrators of Special Services, Wisconsin Association of School District Administrators, Association of Wisconsin School Administrators, Wisconsin Family Assistance Center for Education, Training & Support, Wisconsin School Psychologists Association, Wisconsin Chapter of The Council on Exceptional Children, CESA 2, CESA 9, Wisconsin International Dyslexia Association, Decoding Dyslexia Wisconsin, Wisconsin Reading Coalition, the Dyslexia Guidebook Advisory Committee, representatives from school districts including Wrightstown Community School District, Suring Public Schools, Verona Area School District, Prentice School District, Green Bay Area Public School District, Milwaukee Public Schools, Iowa-Grant School District, School District of Superior, Madison Metropolitan School District, Appleton Area School District, and representatives from institutions of higher education including UW-Madison, UW-La Crosse, UW-Stout, UW-Milwaukee, Carroll College, Alverno College.					
	Identify the Local Governmental Units that Participated in the Deve	lopment of t	his EIA			
	None.					
14.	Summary of Rule's Economic and Fiscal Impact on Specific Businesses, Business Sectors, Public Utility Rate Payers, Local Governmental Units and the State's Economy as a Whole (Include Implementation and Compliance Costs Expected to be Incurred)					
	Local: This proposed rule updates ch. PI 11 with respect to disability and determining eligibility for services. The proposed					

DIVISION OF EXECUTIVE BUDGET AND FINANCE 101 EAST WILSON STREET, 10TH FLOOR P.O. BOX 7864 MADISON, WI 53707-7864 FAX: (608) 267-0372

ADMINISTRATIVE RULES Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis

flexibility for identifying children with a specific learning disability across educational settings in order to properly address student needs. The effect of the rule change is indeterminate but it is expected that the economic impact on school districts serving children under this rule will be minimal.

State: None.

- 15. Benefits of Implementing the Rule and Alternative(s) to Implementing the Rule
 - The proposed rule brings ch. PI 11 in conformity with current best practices for identifying children that demonstrate a specific learning disability. The proposed rule reduces the prescriptive nature of the current rule and creates flexibility for identifying children with a specific learning disability across educational settings in order to properly address student needs. Without a rule change, the department will continue to implement ch. PI 11 as written.
- 16. Long-Range Implications of Implementing the Rule
 Revising criteria for specific learning disabilities under ch. PI 11 will help school districts more appropriately identify children that meet this disability criteria and provide for appropriate educational services.
- 17. Compare With Approaches Being Used by Federal Government
 - "Specific learning disability" is defined under the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA) as a disorder in one or more of the basic psychological processes involved in understanding or in using language, spoken or written, that may manifest itself in the imperfect ability to listen, think, speak, read, write, spell, or to do mathematical calculations, including conditions such as perceptual disabilities, brain injury, minimal brain dysfunction, dyslexia, and developmental aphasia. Specific learning disabilities, however, do not include learning problems that are primarily the result of visual, hearing, or motor disabilities, of intellectual disability, of emotional disturbance, or of environmental, cultural, or economic disadvantage [34 CFR § 300.8(c)(10)].

Regulations pertaining to the identification of children with specific learning disabilities under the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act must also include the following: (1) the identifying criteria must not require the use of a severe discrepancy between intellectual ability and achievement for determining whether a child has a specific learning disability; (2) the identifying criteria must permit the use of a process based on the child's response to scientific, research-based intervention; and (3) the identifying criteria may permit the use of other alternative research-based procedures for determining whether a child has a specific learning disability. A public agency must use the State criteria adopted pursuant to 34 CFR § 300.307 (a) in determining whether a child has a specific learning disability. [34 CFR § 300.309].

18. Compare With Approaches Being Used by Neighboring States (Illinois, Iowa, Michigan and Minnesota)
Illinois: Under Illinois Admin. Code Title 23, Chap. 1, Subchapter F, Sect. 226.130, the school district shall adhere to the procedures set forth at 34 CFR 300.307, 300.308, 300.309, 300.310, and 300.311 when evaluating a student who is suspected of, or who has previously been identified as having, a specific learning disability as described in 34 CFR 300.8.

Iowa: Under Iowa Admin. Code Ch. 41 281.41.50(10), "specific learning disability" means a disorder in one or more of the basic psychological processes involved in understanding or in using language, spoken or written, that may manifest itself in the imperfect ability to listen, think, speak, read, write, spell, or to do mathematical calculations, including conditions such as perceptual disabilities, brain injury, minimal brain dysfunction, dyslexia, and developmental aphasia. Specific learning disability does not include learning problems that are primarily the result of visual, hearing, or motor disabilities, of intellectual disability, of emotional disturbance, or of environmental, cultural, or economic disadvantage.

Michigan: Under Michigan Admin. Code R. 340.1713 (1), "specific learning disability" means a disorder in 1 or more of the basic psychological processes involved in understanding or in using language, spoken or written, that may manifest itself in the imperfect ability to listen, think, speak, read, write, spell, or to do mathematical

STATE OF WISCONSIN DEPARTMENT OF ADMINISTRATION DOA-2049 (R09/2016) DIVISION OF EXECUTIVE BUDGET AND FINANCE 101 EAST WILSON STREET, 10TH FLOOR P.O. BOX 7864 MADISON, WI 53707-7864 FAX: (608) 267-0372

ADMINISTRATIVE RULES Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis

calculations, including conditions such as perceptual disabilities, brain injury, minimal brain dysfunction, dyslexia, and developmental aphasia. Specific learning disability does not include learning problems that are primarily the result of visual, hearing, or motor disabilities, of cognitive impairment, of emotional impairment, of autism spectrum disorder, or of environmental, cultural, or economic disadvantage.

Minnesota: Under Minnesota Admin. Rules 3525.1341, "specific learning disability" means a disorder in one or more of the basic psychological processes involved in understanding or in using language, spoken or written, that may manifest itself in the imperfect ability to listen, think, speak, read, write, spell, or to do mathematical calculations, including conditions such as perceptual disabilities, brain injury, minimal brain dysfunction, dyslexia, and developmental aphasia.

19. Contact Name	20. Contact Phone Number
Carl Bryan, Administrative Rules Coordinator	(608) 266-3275
Department of Public Instruction	

This document can be made available in alternate formats to individuals with disabilities upon request.