STATE OF WISCONSIN DEPARTMENT OF ADMINISTRATION DOA-2049 (R09/2016) DIVISION OF EXECUTIVE BUDGET AND FINANCE 101 EAST WILSON STREET, 10TH FLOOR P.O. BOX 7864 MADISON, WI 53707-7864 FAX: (608) 267-0372

ADMINISTRATIVE RULES Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis

1. Type of Estimate and Analysis	2. Date
☑ Original ☐ Updated ☐ Corrected	November 12, 2020
 Administrative Rule Chapter, Title and Number (and Clearinghouse Number if applicable) CSB 2.76 	
4. Subject Scheduling norfentanyl	
5. Fund Sources Affected GPR FED PRO PRS SEG SEG-S	6. Chapter 20, Stats. Appropriations Affected
7. Fiscal Effect of Implementing the Rule ☑ No Fiscal Effect ☐ Increase Existing Revenues ☐ Indeterminate ☐ Decrease Existing Revenues	☐ Increase Costs ☐ Decrease Costs ☐ Could Absorb Within Agency's Budget
8. The Rule Will Impact the Following (Check All That Apply)	
☐ State's Economy ☐ Specif	ic Businesses/Sectors
	Utility Rate Payers
☐ Small	Businesses (if checked, complete Attachment A)
9. Estimate of Implementation and Compliance to Businesses, Local Governmental Units and Individuals, per s. 227.137(3)(b)(1). \$0	
10. Would Implementation and Compliance Costs Businesses, Local Governmental Units and Individuals Be \$10 Million or more Over Any 2-year Period, per s. 227.137(3)(b)(2)?	
☐ Yes ☐ No	
11. Policy Problem Addressed by the Rule	
On April 17, 2020, the Department of Justice, Drug Enforcement Administration published its final rule in the Federal	
Register placing norfentanyl into Schedule II of the federal Controlled Substances Act effective May 18, 2020. 12. Summary of the Businesses, Business Sectors, Associations Representing Business, Local Governmental Units, and Individuals	
that may be Affected by the Proposed Rule that were Contacted	for Comments.
The rule was posted on the department's website for 14 days to solicit economic impact comments from businesses,	
business sectors, associations representing business, local gov	ernmental units, and individuals. No comments were
received.	
13. Identify the Local Governmental Units that Participated in the Development of this EIA. None.	
14. Summary of Rule's Economic and Fiscal Impact on Specific Businesses, Business Sectors, Public Utility Rate Payers, Local Governmental Units and the State's Economy as a Whole (Include Implementation and Compliance Costs Expected to be Incurred)	
No impact.	
15. Benefits of Implementing the Rule and Alternative(s) to Implementing the Rule	
The benefit is for the federal and state controlled substances acts to be in conformity and alleviate confusion. In	
addition, it is in the best interest of Wisconsin citizens to schedule norfentanyl as a controlled substance.	
16. Long Range Implications of Implementing the Rule The long range implication of implementing the rule will be to schedule norfentanyl as a schedule II controlled substance.	
17. Compare With Approaches Being Used by Federal Government The federal government has scheduled norfentanyl as a schedule II controlled substance.	
18. Compare With Approaches Being Used by Neighboring States (II Illinois: Illinois has not scheduled norfentanyl.	

STATE OF WISCONSIN DEPARTMENT OF ADMINISTRATION DOA-2049 (R09/2016) DIVISION OF EXECUTIVE BUDGET AND FINANCE 101 EAST WILSON STREET, 10TH FLOOR P.O. BOX 7864 MADISON, WI 53707-7864 FAX: (608) 267-0372

ADMINISTRATIVE RULES Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis

Iowa: Iowa has not scheduled norfentanyl.

Michigan: Michigan has not scheduled norfentanyl.

Minnesota: Minnesota has not scheduled norfentanyl.

19. Contact Name 20. Contact Phone Number

Jon Derenne (608) 266-0955

This document can be made available in alternate formats to individuals with disabilities upon request.

STATE OF WISCONSIN DEPARTMENT OF ADMINISTRATION DOA-2049 (R09/2016) DIVISION OF EXECUTIVE BUDGET AND FINANCE 101 EAST WILSON STREET, 10TH FLOOR P.O. BOX 7864 MADISON, WI 53707-7864 FAX: (608) 267-0372

ADMINISTRATIVE RULES Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis

ATTACHMENT A

 Summary of Rule's Economic and Fiscal Impact on Small Businesses (Separately for each Small Business Sector, Include Implementation and Compliance Costs Expected to be Incurred)
2. Summary of the data sources used to measure the Rule's impact on Small Businesses
3. Did the agency consider the following methods to reduce the impact of the Rule on Small Businesses? Less Stringent Compliance or Reporting Requirements Less Stringent Schedules or Deadlines for Compliance or Reporting Consolidation or Simplification of Reporting Requirements Establishment of performance standards in lieu of Design or Operational Standards Exemption of Small Businesses from some or all requirements Other, describe:
4. Describe the methods incorporated into the Rule that will reduce its impact on Small Businesses
5. Describe the Rule's Enforcement Provisions
6. Did the Agency prepare a Cost Benefit Analysis (if Yes, attach to form) Yes No