

## ADMINISTRATIVE RULES Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis

|   |   |
|---|---|
| 1. Type of Estimate and Analysis<br><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Original <input type="checkbox"/> Updated <input type="checkbox"/> Corrected  | 2. Date<br>August 18, 2020                    |
| 3. Administrative Rule Chapter, Title and Number (and Clearinghouse Number if applicable)<br>SPS 305  |   |
| 4. Subject<br>Continuing education  |   |
| 5. Fund Sources Affected<br><input type="checkbox"/> GPR <input type="checkbox"/> FED <input type="checkbox"/> PRO <input type="checkbox"/> PRS <input type="checkbox"/> SEG <input type="checkbox"/> SEG-S   | 6. Chapter 20, Stats. Appropriations Affected |
| 7. Fiscal Effect of Implementing the Rule<br><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No Fiscal Effect <input type="checkbox"/> Increase Existing Revenues <input type="checkbox"/> Increase Costs <input type="checkbox"/> Decrease Costs<br><input type="checkbox"/> Indeterminate <input type="checkbox"/> Decrease Existing Revenues <input type="checkbox"/> Could Absorb Within Agency's Budget  |   |
| 8. The Rule Will Impact the Following (Check All That Apply)<br><input type="checkbox"/> State's Economy <input type="checkbox"/> Specific Businesses/Sectors<br><input type="checkbox"/> Local Government Units <input type="checkbox"/> Public Utility Rate Payers<br><input type="checkbox"/> Small Businesses <b>(if checked, complete Attachment A)</b>  |   |
| 9. Estimate of Implementation and Compliance to Businesses, Local Governmental Units and Individuals, per s. 227.137(3)(b)(1).<br>\$0   |   |
| 10. Would Implementation and Compliance Costs Businesses, Local Governmental Units and Individuals Be \$10 Million or more Over Any 2-year Period, per s. 227.137(3)(b)(2)?<br><input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No  |   |
| 11. Policy Problem Addressed by the Rule<br>Current administrative rules require an enrolled registered electrician to complete at least 24 hours of continuing education in order to renew or reacquire their enrollment. The proposed rule will revise s. SPS 305.45 to exempt individuals participating in a youth apprenticeship program under s. 106.13, Stats., from this requirement.  |   |
| 12. Summary of the Businesses, Business Sectors, Associations Representing Business, Local Governmental Units, and Individuals that may be Affected by the Proposed Rule that were Contacted for Comments.<br>The proposed rule was posted on the Department of Safety and Professional Services' website for 14 days in order to solicit comments from businesses, representative associations, local governmental units, and individuals that may be affected by the rule. No comments were received. |   |
| 13. Identify the Local Governmental Units that Participated in the Development of this EIA.<br>No local governmental units participated in the development of this EIA.   |   |
| 14. Summary of Rule's Economic and Fiscal Impact on Specific Businesses, Business Sectors, Public Utility Rate Payers, Local Governmental Units and the State's Economy as a Whole (Include Implementation and Compliance Costs Expected to be Incurred)<br>The proposed rule will not have a significant impact on specific businesses, business sectors, public utility rate payers, local governmental units, or the state's economy as a whole.   |   |
| 15. Benefits of Implementing the Rule and Alternative(s) to Implementing the Rule<br>The benefit to implementing the rule is the removal of an additional educational requirement for individuals who, as high school juniors and seniors participating in youth apprenticeship programs, already have a significant academic workload. If the rule is not implemented, high school juniors and seniors may be less likely to join and complete youth apprenticeship programs.                          |   |
| 16. Long Range Implications of Implementing the Rule<br>The long range implication of implementing the rule is the removal of an additional educational requirement for individuals who, as high school juniors and seniors participating in youth apprenticeship programs, already have a significant academic workload.   |   |

## ADMINISTRATIVE RULES

### Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis

---

17. Compare With Approaches Being Used by Federal Government  
None

---

18. Compare With Approaches Being Used by Neighboring States (Illinois, Iowa, Michigan and Minnesota)  
Illinois: There is no statewide oversight of the licensing of electricians in Illinois. Licensing requirements, if any, for electricians are established by the municipality in which electrical work is performed.

Iowa: Iowa high school students may participate in an electrical pre-apprenticeship program. As an electrical pre-apprenticeship program combines classroom learning and limited hands-on experience, a participating individual is not required to be licensed.

Michigan: Michigan high school students may participate in a high school electrical apprenticeship program. An individual participating in a high school electrical apprenticeship program is required to be registered as an electrical apprentice. Registration as an electrical apprentice must be renewed annually, completion of continuing education is not required for renewal.

Minnesota: Minnesota high school students may participate in a youth electrical apprenticeship program. An individual participating in a youth electrical apprenticeship program is not required to be licensed.

---

|                                 |  |
|---------------------------------|--|
| 19. Contact Name<br>Dale Kleven | 20. Contact Phone Number<br>(608) 261-4472 |
|---------------------------------|--|

---

This document can be made available in alternate formats to individuals with disabilities upon request.

**ADMINISTRATIVE RULES**  
**Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis**

**ATTACHMENT A**

---

1. Summary of Rule's Economic and Fiscal Impact on Small Businesses (Separately for each Small Business Sector, Include Implementation and Compliance Costs Expected to be Incurred)

---

2. Summary of the data sources used to measure the Rule's impact on Small Businesses

---

3. Did the agency consider the following methods to reduce the impact of the Rule on Small Businesses?

- Less Stringent Compliance or Reporting Requirements
- Less Stringent Schedules or Deadlines for Compliance or Reporting
- Consolidation or Simplification of Reporting Requirements
- Establishment of performance standards in lieu of Design or Operational Standards
- Exemption of Small Businesses from some or all requirements
- Other, describe:

---

4. Describe the methods incorporated into the Rule that will reduce its impact on Small Businesses

---

5. Describe the Rule's Enforcement Provisions

---

6. Did the Agency prepare a Cost Benefit Analysis (if Yes, attach to form)

- Yes    No
-