## ADMINISTRATIVE RULES Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis

1. Type of Estimate and Analysis	2. Date	
⊠ Original □ Updated □Corrected	July 16, 2019	
3. Administrative Rule Chapter, Title and Number (and Clearinghouse Number if applicable) ETF 50 and 52		
4. Subject Updating ETF rules to reflect the closure of the Long-Term Disability Insurance program to new claims and making technical changes to the ETF rules related to the Duty Disability Program established by Wis. Stat. § 40.65.		
5. Fund Sources Affected	6. Chapter 20, Stats. Appropriations Affected	
7. Fiscal Effect of Implementing the Rule ⊠ No Fiscal Effect ☐ Increase Existing Revenues ☐ Indeterminate ☐ Decrease Existing Revenues	Increase Costs Could Absorb Within Agency's Budget	
Local Government Units     Public	ific Businesses/Sectors c Utility Rate Payers I Businesses <b>(if checked, complete Attachment A)</b>	
9. Estimate of Implementation and Compliance to Businesses, Local Governmental Units and Individuals, per s. 227.137(3)(b)(1). \$0.00		
10. Would Implementation and Compliance Costs Businesses, Local Governmental Units and Individuals Be \$10 Million or more Over Any 2-year Period, per s. 227.137(3)(b)(2)?		
Yes No		
11. Policy Problem Addressed by the Rule The purpose of this rule is to make technical updates to account for the previous closure of the Long-Term Disability Insurance program (LTDI) to new claims effective January 1, 2018. This consists of changes to ETF 50 such as repealing sections of the rules that are no longer in effect due to the closure of LTDI, changing verb tenses to past tense, removing references in ETF 50 to sections of ETF 50 that are being repealed, and updating the percentages used to calculate annual adjustments to benefits to reflect current percentages.		
Additionally, ETF also proposes minor technical modifications to the regulations related to the Duty Disability Program established by Wis. Stat. § 40.65. These modifications to ETF 52 add clarification to the current language in ETF 52, such as adding language to clarify the meaning of earnings for purposes of offsetting duty disability benefits.		
<ul> <li>12. Summary of the Businesses, Business Sectors, Associations Representing Business, Local Governmental Units, and Individuals that may be Affected by the Proposed Rule that were Contacted for Comments.</li> <li>Information, including the proposed rule language, will be made available by posting on the ETF website and the Wisconsin administrative rules website and by submitting the information to the Governor's Office of Regulatory Compliance. In addition, a comment period and preliminary public hearing was noticed and held on March 21, 2019, pursuant to s. 227.136 (1) Stats.</li> </ul>		

13. Identify the Local Governmental Units that Participated in the Development of this EIA. None.

14. Summary of Rule's Economic and Fiscal Impact on Specific Businesses, Business Sectors, Public Utility Rate Payers, Local Governmental Units and the State's Economy as a Whole (Include Implementation and Compliance Costs Expected to be Incurred)

No substantive impact is anticipated.

15. Benefits of Implementing the Rule and Alternative(s) to Implementing the Rule

## ADMINISTRATIVE RULES Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis

Implementation of the Rule will update ETF administrative code provisions to be consistent with recent programatic changes. This will enhance clarity and minimize confusion for the general public and public employers.

16. Long Range Implications of Implementing the Rule Implementation will bring the affected ETF rules into compliance with recent programatic changes, update affected ETF rules to reflect current administrative practices and delete obsolete language from ETF rules.

17. Compare With Approaches Being Used by Federal Government Not applicable.

18. Compare With Approaches Being Used by Neighboring States (Illinois, Iowa, Michigan and Minnesota) Periodically, retirement systems in adjacent states promulgate technical rules to update existing administrative rules.

19. Contact Name	20. Contact Phone Number
David Nispel	(608) 264-6936

This document can be made available in alternate formats to individuals with disabilities upon request.

## ADMINISTRATIVE RULES Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis

## ATTACHMENT A

1. Summary of Rule's Economic and Fiscal Impact on Small Businesses (Separately for each Small Business Sector, Include Implementation and Compliance Costs Expected to be Incurred)

2. Summary of the data sources used to measure the Rule's impact on Small Businesses

3. Did the agency consider the following methods to reduce the impact of the Rule on Small Businesses?

Less Stringent Compliance or Reporting Requirements

Less Stringent Schedules or Deadlines for Compliance or Reporting

Consolidation or Simplification of Reporting Requirements

Establishment of performance standards in lieu of Design or Operational Standards

Exemption of Small Businesses from some or all requirements

Other, describe:

4. Describe the methods incorporated into the Rule that will reduce its impact on Small Businesses

5. Describe the Rule's Enforcement Provisions

6. Did the Agency prepare a Cost Benefit Analysis (if Yes, attach to form) □ Yes □ No