STATE OF WISCONSIN DEPARTMENT OF ADMINISTRATION DOA-2049 (R09/2016) DIVISION OF EXECUTIVE BUDGET AND FINANCE 101 EAST WILSON STREET, 10TH FLOOR P.O. BOX 7864 MADISON, WI 53707-7864 FAX: (608) 267-0372

ADMINISTRATIVE RULES Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis

1. Type of Estimate and Analysis	2. Date	
☐ Original ☐ Updated ☐ Corrected	December 20, 2018	
 Administrative Rule Chapter, Title and Number (and Clearinghouse Number if applicable) RAD 1 and 4 		
4. Subject Scope of practice		
5. Fund Sources Affected GPR FED PRO PRS SEG SEG-S	6. Chapter 20, Stats. Appropriations Affected	
7. Fiscal Effect of Implementing the Rule ☑ No Fiscal Effect ☐ Increase Existing Revenues ☐ Indeterminate ☐ Decrease Existing Revenues	☐ Increase Costs ☐ Decrease Costs ☐ Could Absorb Within Agency's Budget	
☐ Local Government Units ☐ Publi	cific Businesses/Sectors c Utility Rate Payers Il Businesses (if checked, complete Attachment A)	
9. Estimate of Implementation and Compliance to Businesses, Local Governmental Units and Individuals, per s. 227.137(3)(b)(1). \$0		
10. Would Implementation and Compliance Costs Businesses, Loca Any 2-year Period, per s. 227.137(3)(b)(2)?☐ Yes ☒ No	al Governmental Units and Individuals Be \$10 Million or more Over	
11. Policy Problem Addressed by the Rule The scope of practice standards for radiographers and limited X-ray machine operators in current rules are a reproduction of the standards for scope of practice established by the American Society of Radiologic Technologists (ASRT) in 2016. ASRT periodically updates its standards, most recently in 2017. The proposed rules update the scope of practice standards in ss. RAD 4.01 and 4.02 to be a reproduction of the standards for scope of practice established by the ASRT in 2017.		
The proposed rules also update the definitions in s. RAD 1.02 as follows: • A definition of "As Low As Reasonably Achievable" or "ALARA" is created. The meaning given this term in s. RAD 1.02 (1m) is the same as in the 2017 ASRT standards for scope of practice (Glossary, Practice Standards for Medical Imaging and Radiation Therapy, 2017 American Society of Radiologic Technologists). The notes in ss. RAD 4.01 (2) and 4.02 (2) referencing the ASRT definition are repealed. • A definition of "licensed practitioner" replaces a definition of "licensed independent practitioner" to reflect a change of terminology in ch. RAD 4 and the 2017 ASRT scope of practice standards.		
12. Summary of the Businesses, Business Sectors, Associations Rethat may be Affected by the Proposed Rule that were Contacted. The proposed rule was posted on the Department of Sefety or	for Comments.	
The proposed rule was posted on the Department of Safety as solicit comments from businesses, representative association affected by the rule. No comments were received.	· ·	
13. Identify the Local Governmental Units that Participated in the Do No local governmental units participated in the development		

^{14.} Summary of Rule's Economic and Fiscal Impact on Specific Businesses, Business Sectors, Public Utility Rate Payers, Local Governmental Units and the State's Economy as a Whole (Include Implementation and Compliance Costs Expected to be Incurred)

DIVISION OF EXECUTIVE BUDGET AND FINANCE 101 EAST WILSON STREET, 10TH FLOOR P.O. BOX 7864 MADISON, WI 53707-7864 FAX: (608) 267-0372

ADMINISTRATIVE RULES Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis

This proposed rule will not have a significant impact on specific businesses, business sectors, public utility rate payers, local governmental units, or the state's economy as a whole.

15. Benefits of Implementing the Rule and Alternative(s) to Implementing the Rule

The benefit to implementing the rule is providing clarity and updated scope of practice standards. If the rule is not implemented, it will continue to reference outdated scope of practice standards.

16. Long Range Implications of Implementing the Rule

The long range implication of implementing the rule is clarity and updated scope of practice standards.

- 17. Compare With Approaches Being Used by Federal Government None
- 18. Compare With Approaches Being Used by Neighboring States (Illinois, Iowa, Michigan and Minnesota) Illinois:
- 32 Ill. Admin. Code 401, which provides for accreditation in the practice of medical radiation technology in Illinois, does not explicitly define scope of practice. However, scope of practice is addressed in definitions of the categories of accreditation in the practice of medical radiation technology and the techniques of applying radiation (32 Ill. Admin. Code 401.20). These definitions do not reference the standards established by the American Society of Radiologic Technologists.

Iowa:

641 IAC 42, which provides for permits to operate ionizing radiation producing machines or administer radioactive materials in Iowa, does not explicitly define scope of practice. However, scope of practice is addressed in definitions of the categories of permits to practice and the techniques of using ionizing radiation producing machines and administering radioactive materials (641 IAC 42.2). In addition, the rules provide the scope within which a limited radiologic technologist with categories of chest, spine, extremities, shoulder, and pediatric shall perform radiography (641 IAC 42.9). The rules do not reference the standards established by the American Society of Radiologic Technologists.

Michigan:

The State of Michigan does not license operators of X-ray machines, nor does it have any requirements relative to the licensure or credentialing of X-ray machine operators except for radiologic technologists who perform mammographic examinations (Mich Admin Code, R 333.5630). These rules do not define or otherwise address scope of practice.

Minnesota:

Minn. Stat. 144.121, Subds. 5a. and 5b., provide the scope of practice of a limited X-ray machine operator (LXMO) and a means of granting a variance to a facility for the scope of practice of an LXMO. The statutes do not reference the standards established by the American Society of Radiologic Technologists.

19. Contact Name	20. Contact Phone Number
Dale Kleven	(608) 261-4472

This document can be made available in alternate formats to individuals with disabilities upon request.

STATE OF WISCONSIN DEPARTMENT OF ADMINISTRATION DOA-2049 (R09/2016) DIVISION OF EXECUTIVE BUDGET AND FINANCE 101 EAST WILSON STREET, 10TH FLOOR P.O. BOX 7864 MADISON, WI 53707-7864 FAX: (608) 267-0372

ADMINISTRATIVE RULES Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis

ATTACHMENT A

 Summary of Rule's Economic and Fiscal Impact on Small Businesses (Separately for each Small Business Sector, Include Implementation and Compliance Costs Expected to be Incurred)
2. Summary of the data sources used to measure the Rule's impact on Small Businesses
3. Did the agency consider the following methods to reduce the impact of the Rule on Small Businesses? Less Stringent Compliance or Reporting Requirements Less Stringent Schedules or Deadlines for Compliance or Reporting Consolidation or Simplification of Reporting Requirements Establishment of performance standards in lieu of Design or Operational Standards Exemption of Small Businesses from some or all requirements Other, describe:
4. Describe the methods incorporated into the Rule that will reduce its impact on Small Businesses
5. Describe the Rule's Enforcement Provisions
6. Did the Agency prepare a Cost Benefit Analysis (if Yes, attach to form) ☐ Yes ☐ No