

ADMINISTRATIVE RULES Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis

| | |
|--|--|
| <p>1. Type of Estimate and Analysis <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Original <input type="checkbox"/> Updated <input type="checkbox"/> Corrected</p> | <p>2. Date May 22, 2018</p> |
| <p>3. Administrative Rule Chapter, Title and Number (and Clearinghouse Number if applicable) A-E 1</p> | |
| <p>4. Subject Relating to Authority</p> | |
| <p>5. Fund Sources Affected <input type="checkbox"/> GPR <input type="checkbox"/> FED <input type="checkbox"/> PRO <input type="checkbox"/> PRS <input type="checkbox"/> SEG <input type="checkbox"/> SEG-S</p> | <p>6. Chapter 20, Stats. Appropriations Affected</p> |
| <p>7. Fiscal Effect of Implementing the Rule <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No Fiscal Effect <input type="checkbox"/> Increase Existing Revenues <input type="checkbox"/> Increase Costs <input type="checkbox"/> Decrease Costs <input type="checkbox"/> Indeterminate <input type="checkbox"/> Decrease Existing Revenues <input type="checkbox"/> Could Absorb Within Agency's Budget</p> | |
| <p>8. The Rule Will Impact the Following (Check All That Apply) <input type="checkbox"/> State's Economy <input type="checkbox"/> Specific Businesses/Sectors <input type="checkbox"/> Local Government Units <input type="checkbox"/> Public Utility Rate Payers <input type="checkbox"/> Small Businesses (if checked, complete Attachment A)</p> | |
| <p>9. Estimate of Implementation and Compliance to Businesses, Local Governmental Units and Individuals, per s. 227.137(3)(b)(1). \$0</p> | |
| <p>10. Would Implementation and Compliance Costs Businesses, Local Governmental Units and Individuals Be \$10 Million or more Over Any 2-year Period, per s. 227.137(3)(b)(2)? <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No</p> | |
| <p>11. Policy Problem Addressed by the Rule To clarify that the A-E Rules Committee is an optional step in the rule process. Currently, rule projects undergo three layers of review within the Board structure: the Section, the A-E Rules Committee, and the full A-E Board. Many recent rule projects have focused on one profession, and the respective Section has been able to determine what rule projects are needed and draft preliminary rules during their meetings. Thus, under this change, it is clarified that if the Rules Committee has limited business or no quorum, having no A-E Rules Committee review will not prevent rule projects from being considered by the full A-E Board.</p> | |
| <p>12. Summary of the Businesses, Business Sectors, Associations Representing Business, Local Governmental Units, and Individuals that may be Affected by the Proposed Rule that were Contacted for Comments. The proposed rule was posted on the Department of Safety and Professional Services' website for 14 days in order to solicit comments from businesses, representative associations, local governmental units, and individuals that may be affected by the rule. No comments were received.</p> | |
| <p>13. Identify the Local Governmental Units that Participated in the Development of this EIA. No local governmental units participated in the development of the EIA.</p> | |
| <p>14. Summary of Rule's Economic and Fiscal Impact on Specific Businesses, Business Sectors, Public Utility Rate Payers, Local Governmental Units and the State's Economy as a Whole (Include Implementation and Compliance Costs Expected to be Incurred) This proposed rule will not have a significant impact on specific businesses, business sectors, public utility rate payers, local governmentnal units, or the state's economy as a whole.</p> | |
| <p>15. Benefits of Implementing the Rule and Alternative(s) to Implementing the Rule Under this change, it is clarified that if the Rules Committee has limited business or no quorum, having no A-E Rules Committee review will not prevent rule projects from being considered by the full A-E Board.</p> | |
| <p>16. Long Range Implications of Implementing the Rule The rule change will improve efficiency for the A-E Board rule-making process.</p> | |

ADMINISTRATIVE RULES Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis

17. Compare With Approaches Being Used by Federal Government
None.

18. Compare With Approaches Being Used by Neighboring States (Illinois, Iowa, Michigan and Minnesota)
Comparison with rules in adjacent states:

Illinois:

Illinois has several boards that oversee these professions, including the Architecture Licensing Board, Board of Professional Engineers, Structural Engineering Board, Landscape Architect Registration Board, and the Land Surveyors Licensing Board. Additional boards work under the Illinois Department of Financial and Professional Regulation, but there is no specific board for designers. There are no standing Rule Committees as a part of these Boards.

Iowa:

Iowa has several boards that oversee these professions, including the Engineering & Land Surveying Examining Board, Architectural Examining Board, and the Landscape Architectural Examining Board. Additional boards work under the Iowa Professional Licensing Bureau, but there is no specific board for designers. There are no standing Rule Committees as a part of these boards.

Michigan:

Michigan has several boards that oversee the various professions, including the Board of Architects, Board of Professional Engineers, and the Board of Professional Surveyors. These three boards require members from each of these professions, so each board has a representative from each of the above-listed professions. Additional boards work under the Department of Licensing and Regulatory Affairs, but there is no specific board for designers or landscape architects. There are no standing Rule Committees as a part of these boards.

Minnesota:

Minnesota has a similar joint board, the Board of Architecture, Engineering, Land Surveying, Landscape Architecture, Geoscience and Interior Design. The Board has a standing Rules Committee, however, it is not required by administrative rule or statute.

19. Contact Name

Helen Leong, Administrative Rules Coordinator

20. Contact Phone Number

(608) 266-0797

This document can be made available in alternate formats to individuals with disabilities upon request.

ADMINISTRATIVE RULES Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis

ATTACHMENT A

1. Summary of Rule's Economic and Fiscal Impact on Small Businesses (Separately for each Small Business Sector, Include Implementation and Compliance Costs Expected to be Incurred)

2. Summary of the data sources used to measure the Rule's impact on Small Businesses

3. Did the agency consider the following methods to reduce the impact of the Rule on Small Businesses?

- Less Stringent Compliance or Reporting Requirements
 - Less Stringent Schedules or Deadlines for Compliance or Reporting
 - Consolidation or Simplification of Reporting Requirements
 - Establishment of performance standards in lieu of Design or Operational Standards
 - Exemption of Small Businesses from some or all requirements
 - Other, describe:
-

4. Describe the methods incorporated into the Rule that will reduce its impact on Small Businesses

5. Describe the Rule's Enforcement Provisions

6. Did the Agency prepare a Cost Benefit Analysis (if Yes, attach to form)

- Yes No
-