DIVISION OF EXECUTIVE BUDGET AND FINANCE 101 EAST WILSON STREET, 10TH FLOOR P.O. BOX 7864 MADISON, WI 53707-7864 FAX: (608) 267-0372

## ADMINISTRATIVE RULES Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis

| Type of Estimate and Analysis     Original □ Updated □ Corrected  | 2. Date   |  |
|---|---|--|
| 3. Administrative Rule Chapter, Title and Number (and Clearinghouse Number if applicable) PI 38, State Grant Programs   |   |  |
| 4. Subject Technical changes to grants for tribal language revitalization as a result of 2017 Wisconsin Act 59  |   |  |
| 5. Fund Sources Affected 6. GPR FED PRO PRS SEG SEG-S   | Chapter 20, Stats. Appropriations Affected  |  |
| 7. Fiscal Effect of Implementing the Rule  ☑ No Fiscal Effect ☐ Increase Existing Revenues ☐ ☐ Indeterminate ☐ Decrease Existing Revenues ☐  8. The Rule Will Impact the Following (Check All That Apply)   | Increase Costs  Could Absorb Within Agency's Budget                               |  |
| ☐ State's Economy       ☐ Specific I         ☐ Local Government Units       ☐ Public Ut   | Businesses/Sectors ility Rate Payers sinesses (if checked, complete Attachment A) |  |
| 9. Estimate of Implementation and Compliance to Businesses, Local Go  |   |  |
| \$0  10. Would Implementation and Compliance Costs Businesses, Local Go Any 2-year Period, per s. 227.137(3)(b)(2)?  ☐ Yes ☒ No   | overnmental Units and Individuals Be \$10 Million or more Over                    |  |
| 11. Policy Problem Addressed by the Rule 2009 Wisconsin Act 28 originally created the tribal language revitalization grants, which is a program that provides grants to school districts and CESAs, in conjunction with a tribal authority, for the purpose of supporting effective instruction in one or more American Indian languages. Section 115.745 (3), Stats., provides that the State Superintendent is given the authority to promulgate rules to implement the statutes relating to the tribal revitalization grants, which became effective under Chapter PI 39 on June 1, 2010, and was renumbered Subchapter VI of PI 38 on January 1, 2018. Since then, 2017 Wisconsin Act 59, the 2017-19 biennial budget, made a change to the statutes which permitted Head Start agencies to apply for the tribal language revitalization grants. The proposed rule makes a technical change to the rules governing tribal language revitalization grants in order to conform Chapter PI 38 of the DPI Administrative Code to recent changes in statute. |   |  |
| 12. Summary of the Businesses, Business Sectors, Associations Representing Business, Local Governmental Units, and Individuals that may be Affected by the Proposed Rule that were Contacted for Comments. None.  |   |  |
| 13. Identify the Local Governmental Units that Participated in the Development of this EIA.  None.  |   |  |
| 14. Summary of Rule's Economic and Fiscal Impact on Specific Businesses, Business Sectors, Public Utility Rate Payers, Local Governmental Units and the State's Economy as a Whole (Include Implementation and Compliance Costs Expected to be Incurred) State: No fiscal impact.   |   |  |
| Local: There is no fiscal impact to local units of government with change created to align administrative code with statute.  | n respect to the rule because the rule is a technical                             |  |
| 15. Benefits of Implementing the Rule and Alternative(s) to Implementin<br>The proposed rule needs to be revised to align PI 38 of the DPI A  |   |  |

DIVISION OF EXECUTIVE BUDGET AND FINANCE 101 EAST WILSON STREET, 10TH FLOOR P.O. BOX 7864 MADISON, WI 53707-7864 FAX: (608) 267-0372

## ADMINISTRATIVE RULES Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis

Stats., as a result of 2017 Wisconsin Act 59, the 2017-19 biennial budget. Without a rule, statute and rule will not be consistent

| consistent.   |  |  |
|---|--|--|
| 16. Long Range Implications of Implementing the Rule The proposed rule will align PI 38 of the DPI Administrative Code with recent changes in the underlying statutes as a result of 2017 Wisconsin Act 59, the 2017-19 biennial budget. Statute and rule will be consistent. |  |  |
| 17. Compare With Approaches Being Used by Federal Government $\ensuremath{N/A}$   |  |  |
| 18. Compare With Approaches Being Used by Neighboring States (Illinois, Iowa, Michigan and Minnesota) N/A   |  |  |
| 19. Contact Name  | 20. Contact Phone Number   |  |
| Carl Bryan, Wisconsin Department of Public Instruction<br>Administrative Rules Coordinator  | (608) 267-9127   |  |
|   | The state of the s |  |

This document can be made available in alternate formats to individuals with disabilities upon request.

STATE OF WISCONSIN DEPARTMENT OF ADMINISTRATION DOA-2049 (R09/2016) DIVISION OF EXECUTIVE BUDGET AND FINANCE 101 EAST WILSON STREET, 10TH FLOOR P.O. BOX 7864 MADISON, WI 53707-7864 FAX: (608) 267-0372

## ADMINISTRATIVE RULES Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis

## **ATTACHMENT A**

| <ol> <li>Summary of Rule's Economic and Fiscal Impact on Small Businesses (Separately for each Small Business Sector, Include<br/>Implementation and Compliance Costs Expected to be Incurred)</li> </ol> |
|---|
| 2. Summary of the data sources used to measure the Rule's impact on Small Businesses  |
| 3. Did the agency consider the following methods to reduce the impact of the Rule on Small Businesses?  |
| ☐ Less Stringent Compliance or Reporting Requirements   |
| ☐ Less Stringent Schedules or Deadlines for Compliance or Reporting   |
| ☐ Consolidation or Simplification of Reporting Requirements   |
| ☐ Establishment of performance standards in lieu of Design or Operational Standards   |
| ☐ Exemption of Small Businesses from some or all requirements   |
| ☐ Other, describe:  |
|   |
| 4. Describe the methods incorporated into the Rule that will reduce its impact on Small Businesses  |
| 5. Describe the Rule's Enforcement Provisions   |
| 6. Did the Agency prepare a Cost Benefit Analysis (if Yes, attach to form)  |
| ☐ Yes ☐ No  |