

ADMINISTRATIVE RULES Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis

<p>1. Type of Estimate and Analysis <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Original <input type="checkbox"/> Updated <input type="checkbox"/> Corrected</p>	<p>2. Date January 29, 2018</p>
<p>3. Administrative Rule Chapter, Title and Number (and Clearinghouse Number if applicable) Chapter Adm 95 - Service Award Program</p>	
<p>4. Subject The proposed rule updates Wis. Admin. Code Ch. Adm. 95 to implement the recent amendments to the Service Award Program that were made in the 2017-19 state budget (2017 Wisconsin Act 59). Additionally, 2017 Wisconsin Act 12 made various terminology changes throughout the statutes, necessitating updates to the terms used in Ch. Adm. 95.</p>	
<p>5. Fund Sources Affected <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> GPR <input type="checkbox"/> FED <input type="checkbox"/> PRO <input type="checkbox"/> PRS <input type="checkbox"/> SEG <input type="checkbox"/> SEG-S</p>	<p>6. Chapter 20, Stats. Appropriations Affected Section 20.505 (4) (er), Wis. Stats.</p>
<p>7. Fiscal Effect of Implementing the Rule <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No Fiscal Effect <input type="checkbox"/> Increase Existing Revenues <input type="checkbox"/> Increase Costs <input type="checkbox"/> Decrease Costs <input type="checkbox"/> Indeterminate <input type="checkbox"/> Decrease Existing Revenues <input type="checkbox"/> Could Absorb Within Agency's Budget</p>	
<p>8. The Rule Will Impact the Following (Check All That Apply) <input type="checkbox"/> State's Economy <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Specific Businesses/Sectors <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Local Government Units <input type="checkbox"/> Public Utility Rate Payers <input type="checkbox"/> Small Businesses (if checked, complete Attachment A)</p>	
<p>9. Estimate of Implementation and Compliance to Businesses, Local Governmental Units and Individuals, per s. 227.137(3)(b)(1). \$ N/A</p>	
<p>10. Would Implementation and Compliance Costs Businesses, Local Governmental Units and Individuals Be \$10 Million or more Over Any 2-year Period, per s. 227.137(3)(b)(2)? <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No</p>	
<p>11. Policy Problem Addressed by the Rule Following recent legislation, various provisions of Ch. Adm 95 are inconsistent with current statutes. The proposed rule addresses this problem by updating and revising the chapter as necessary. The proposed rule also cleans up inconsistent terminology within the chapter where necessary.</p>	
<p>12. Summary of the Businesses, Business Sectors, Associations Representing Business, Local Governmental Units, and Individuals that may be Affected by the Proposed Rule that were Contacted for Comments. The Department consulted with the program administrators who contract with the state under s. 16.25(4), Stats.</p>	
<p>13. Identify the Local Governmental Units that Participated in the Development of this EIA. N/A</p>	
<p>14. Summary of Rule's Economic and Fiscal Impact on Specific Businesses, Business Sectors, Public Utility Rate Payers, Local Governmental Units and the State's Economy as a Whole (Include Implementation and Compliance Costs Expected to be Incurred) The Department is proposing this rule to update existing rules and interpretations of existing statutes. There is no anticipated economic impact from implementing the proposed rule. Nor will the proposed rule have an anticipated economic impact on small businesses.</p>	
<p>15. Benefits of Implementing the Rule and Alternative(s) to Implementing the Rule The proposed rules will make the program's procedural rules more internally consistent and consistent with recent law changes. The alternative of not promulgating the rule is not workable because rule provisions would be noncompliant with the statutes.</p>	

ADMINISTRATIVE RULES Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis

16. Long Range Implications of Implementing the Rule

The long-term implications of implementing the rule are that the rules will be consistent with the statutes, and the program will be able to function smoothly with the added clarity that the rule will provide to program administrators.

17. Compare With Approaches Being Used by Federal Government

N/A

18. Compare With Approaches Being Used by Neighboring States (Illinois, Iowa, Michigan and Minnesota)

Due to the differences in service award programs across states, few comparisons are possible. Minnesota does have a similar program; however, they allow volunteers to serve as little as 5 years to receive a partial vesting payment starting with 40% up to 20 years and 100%. Michigan has a service award program, but there are not specific statutory rules that govern the program at the state level similar to Wisconsin's program. Illinois has a volunteer incentive program; however, it is not similar to the one established in Wisconsin, Michigan, or Minnesota. Legislation to establish a service award program has been introduced to the Iowa legislature several times, but it has not passed.

19. Contact Name	20. Contact Phone Number
Dawn Vick	(608) 266-7043

This document can be made available in alternate formats to individuals with disabilities upon request.

ADMINISTRATIVE RULES
Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis

ATTACHMENT A

1. Summary of Rule's Economic and Fiscal Impact on Small Businesses (Separately for each Small Business Sector, Include Implementation and Compliance Costs Expected to be Incurred)

2. Summary of the data sources used to measure the Rule's impact on Small Businesses

3. Did the agency consider the following methods to reduce the impact of the Rule on Small Businesses?

- Less Stringent Compliance or Reporting Requirements
 - Less Stringent Schedules or Deadlines for Compliance or Reporting
 - Consolidation or Simplification of Reporting Requirements
 - Establishment of performance standards in lieu of Design or Operational Standards
 - Exemption of Small Businesses from some or all requirements
 - Other, describe:
-

4. Describe the methods incorporated into the Rule that will reduce its impact on Small Businesses

5. Describe the Rule's Enforcement Provisions

6. Did the Agency prepare a Cost Benefit Analysis (if Yes, attach to form)

- Yes No
-