ADMINISTRATIVE RULES Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis

1. Type of Estimate and Analysis ⊠ Original □ Updated □Corrected	2. Date October 3, 2017	
3. Administrative Rule Chapter, Title and Number (and Clearinghouse Number if applicable) Chapter PI 25, Children at Risk Plan and Program		
4. Subject Children at Risk Plans		
5. Fund Sources Affected	6. Chapter 20, Stats. Appropriations Affected	
 7. Fiscal Effect of Implementing the Rule ☑ No Fiscal Effect ☐ Increase Existing Revenues ☐ Indeterminate ☐ Decrease Existing Revenues 	Increase Costs Could Absorb Within Agency's Budget	
Local Government Units Public	ific Businesses/Sectors c Utility Rate Payers Businesses (if checked, complete Attachment A)	
9. Estimate of Implementation and Compliance to Businesses, Local Governmental Units and Individuals, per s. 227.137(3)(b)(1). \$0		
 10. Would Implementation and Compliance Costs Businesses, Local Governmental Units and Individuals Be \$10 Million or more Over Any 2-year Period, per s. 227.137(3)(b)(2)? ☐ Yes ☐ No 		
11. Policy Problem Addressed by the Rule As a result of the Assembly Education Committee's Red Tap Department proposes to amend PI 25, relating to Children at requested by the Committee adds the email address, in addition that a parent or pupil can contact regarding the school district identified as a child at risk.	Risk Plan and Program. The proposed technical change on to his or her name and telephone number, of a person	
12. Summary of the Businesses, Business Sectors, Associations Representing Business, Local Governmental Units, and Individuals that may be Affected by the Proposed Rule that were Contacted for Comments. None		
13. Identify the Local Governmental Units that Participated in the De None	evelopment of this EIA.	
14. Summary of Rule's Economic and Fiscal Impact on Specific Bus Governmental Units and the State's Economy as a Whole (Inclu Incurred)State: No fiscal effect.		
Local: No fiscal effect.		
15. Benefits of Implementing the Rule and Alternative(s) to Implementing the Rule		

Chapter PI 25 defines children who are at risk of not graduating from high school, establishes criteria for school boards to consider when developing children at risk plans and sets forth the requirements for receipt of additional state aid in those districts. The rule, which has not been amended since 1994, provides that each pupil and his or her parent must be notified in writing whenever the pupil has been identified as a child at risk and that a notice must include the name and telephone number of the staff person designated by the school board for developing the school district's program for children at risk. The Assembly Education Committee requested that an email address be added, in addition to the

ADMINISTRATIVE RULES Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis

person's name and phone number, to this provision in the rule. Without a rule change, the Department will continue to administer the rule as it exists in PI 25.

16. Long Range Implications of Implementing the Rule The rule, as well as agency and school district practice, will be consistent.

17. Compare With Approaches Being Used by Federal Government
N/A

18. Compare With Approaches Being Used by Neighboring States (Illinois, Iowa, Michigan and Minnesota) $N\!/\!A$

19. Contact Name	20. Contact Phone Number
Carl Bryan	608-267-9127

This document can be made available in alternate formats to individuals with disabilities upon request.

ADMINISTRATIVE RULES Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis

ATTACHMENT A

1. Summary of Rule's Economic and Fiscal Impact on Small Businesses (Separately for each Small Business Sector, Include Implementation and Compliance Costs Expected to be Incurred)

2. Summary of the data sources used to measure the Rule's impact on Small Businesses

3. Did the agency consider the following methods to reduce the impact of the Rule on Small Businesses?

Less Stringent Compliance or Reporting Requirements

Less Stringent Schedules or Deadlines for Compliance or Reporting

Consolidation or Simplification of Reporting Requirements

Establishment of performance standards in lieu of Design or Operational Standards

Exemption of Small Businesses from some or all requirements

Other, describe:

4. Describe the methods incorporated into the Rule that will reduce its impact on Small Businesses

5. Describe the Rule's Enforcement Provisions

6. Did the Agency prepare a Cost Benefit Analysis (if Yes, attach to form) □ Yes □ No