

ADMINISTRATIVE RULES Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis

13. Benefits of Implementing the Rule and Alternative(s) to Implementing the Rule

The benefits of the new rules are that it updates and creates definitions in the administrative code. It also promulgates a rule provision to set prison population limits under Wis. Stat. 301.055. Finally, it creates a clear process for the department to contact sentencing courts regarding an uncertain sentence and a process for Extraordinary Health Condition and aged petition releases that may help improve staff efficiency.

14. Long Range Implications of Implementing the Rule

The department having a clear process for contacting the sentencing court regarding uncertain sentences and a clear process for Extraordinary health Condition and aged petition release may have a minimal amount of improved efficiency by staff. There is no foreseeable long range effect. Finally, changes to update definitions of terms will have no impact.

15. Compare With Approaches Being Used by Federal Government

There are no federal statutes or regulations that regulate the activities addressed by the proposed rule.

16. Compare With Approaches Being Used by Neighboring States (Illinois, Iowa, Michigan and Minnesota)

In most cases, requirements vary moderately between the adjacent states and those of Wisconsin as proposed. Some states do not apply intake and classification procedures to adults and juveniles. For a detailed analysis, please refer to the proposed rule-making order submitted by the Department associated with this rule.

17. Contact Name	18. Contact Phone Number
Jake Jokisch	608-240-5415

This document can be made available in alternate formats to individuals with disabilities upon request.

ADMINISTRATIVE RULES
Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis

ATTACHMENT A

1. Summary of Rule's Economic and Fiscal Impact on Small Businesses (Separately for each Small Business Sector, Include Implementation and Compliance Costs Expected to be Incurred)

2. Summary of the data sources used to measure the Rule's impact on Small Businesses

3. Did the agency consider the following methods to reduce the impact of the Rule on Small Businesses?

- Less Stringent Compliance or Reporting Requirements
- Less Stringent Schedules or Deadlines for Compliance or Reporting
- Consolidation or Simplification of Reporting Requirements
- Establishment of performance standards in lieu of Design or Operational Standards
- Exemption of Small Businesses from some or all requirements
- Other, describe:

4. Describe the methods incorporated into the Rule that will reduce its impact on Small Businesses

5. Describe the Rule's Enforcement Provisions

6. Did the Agency prepare a Cost Benefit Analysis (if Yes, attach to form)

- Yes No
-