

ADMINISTRATIVE RULES Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis

1. Type of Estimate and Analysis
 Original Updated Corrected

2. Administrative Rule Chapter, Title and Number
PT 10

3. Subject
Authority of physical therapists to order x-rays

4. Fund Sources Affected <input type="checkbox"/> GPR <input type="checkbox"/> FED <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> PRO <input type="checkbox"/> PRS <input type="checkbox"/> SEG <input type="checkbox"/> SEG-S	5. Chapter 20, Stats. Appropriations Affected 20.165(1)(g)
--	---

6. Fiscal Effect of Implementing the Rule
 No Fiscal Effect Increase Existing Revenues Increase Costs
 Indeterminate Decrease Existing Revenues Could Absorb Within Agency's Budget
 Decrease Cost

7. The Rule Will Impact the Following (Check All That Apply)
 State's Economy Specific Businesses/Sectors
 Local Government Units Public Utility Rate Payers
 Small Businesses **(if checked, complete Attachment A)**

8. Would Implementation and Compliance Costs Be Greater Than \$20 million?
 Yes No

9. Policy Problem Addressed by the Rule
Current rules of the Physical Therapy Examining Board address the practice of physical therapy in Wisconsin. As required by 2015 Wisconsin Act 375, the proposed rules specify the qualifications a physical therapist is required to satisfy to order X-rays.

10. Summary of the businesses, business sectors, associations representing business, local governmental units, and individuals that may be affected by the proposed rule that were contacted for comments.
The proposed rule was posted on the Department of Safety and Professional Services' website for 14 days in order to solicit comments from businesses, representative associations, local governmental units, and individuals that may be affected by the rule. No comments were received.

11. Identify the local governmental units that participated in the development of this EIA.
No local governmental units participated in the development of this EIA.

12. Summary of Rule's Economic and Fiscal Impact on Specific Businesses, Business Sectors, Public Utility Rate Payers, Local Governmental Units and the State's Economy as a Whole (Include Implementation and Compliance Costs Expected to be Incurred)
The proposed rule will not have a significant impact on specific businesses, business sectors, public utility rate payers, local governmental units or the state's economy as a whole.

13. Benefits of Implementing the Rule and Alternative(s) to Implementing the Rule
The benefit to implementing the rule is providing clarity and updated practice standards. If the rule is not implemented, it will continue to reference outdated practice standards.

14. Long Range Implications of Implementing the Rule
The long range implication of implementing the rule is clarity and updated practice standards.

15. Compare With Approaches Being Used by Federal Government
None

ADMINISTRATIVE RULES Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis

16. Compare With Approaches Being Used by Neighboring States (Illinois, Iowa, Michigan and Minnesota)
The following rules governing the practice of physical therapy in Illinois, Iowa, Michigan, and Minnesota do not explicitly authorize or prohibit the ordering of X-rays by physical therapists:

Illinois: 68 Ill. Adm. Code 1340

Iowa: 645 IAC 200 to 203

Michigan: Mich Admin Code, R 338.7101 to R 338.7150

Minnesota: Minnesota Rules, Chapter 5601

17. Contact Name

Dale Kleven

18. Contact Phone Number

(608) 261-4472

This document can be made available in alternate formats to individuals with disabilities upon request.