ADMINISTRATIVE RULES Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis

1. Type of Estimate and Analysis ⊠ Original □ Updated □Corrected		
2. Administrative Rule Chapter, Title and Number REEB 11-24		
3. Subject Real Estate Practice		
4. Fund Sources Affected ☐ GPR ☐ FED ⊠ PRO ☐ PRS ☐ SEG ☐ SEG-S	5. Chapte 20.165 (er 20, Stats. Appropriations Affected 1) (g)
6. Fiscal Effect of Implementing the Rule ⊠ No Fiscal Effect □ Increase Existing Revenues □ Indeterminate □ Decrease Existing Revenues	Could	se Costs Absorb Within Agency's Budget ase Cost
7. The Rule Will Impact the Following (Check All That Apply) State's Economy Specific Businesses/Sectors Local Government Units Public Utility Rate Payers Small Businesses (if checked, complete Attachment A)		
8. Would Implementation and Compliance Costs Be Greater Than \$20 million?		
9. Policy Problem Addressed by the Rule This rule implements 2015 Act 258.		
 Summary of the businesses, business sectors, associations representing business, local governmental units, and individuals that may be affected by the proposed rule that were contacted for comments. This rule was posted for 14 days for economic comments and none were received 		
11. Identify the local governmental units that participated in the development of this EIA. None		
 Summary of Rule's Economic and Fiscal Impact on Specific Businesses, Business Sectors, Public Utility Rate Payers, Local Governmental Units and the State's Economy as a Whole (Include Implementation and Compliance Costs Expected to be Incurred) 		
This rule will not have an economic or fiscal impact on specific businesses, business sectors, public utility rate payers, local governmental units or the State's economy as a whole.		
13. Benefits of Implementing the Rule and Alternative(s) to Implementing the Rule The benefit is to update the current code to reflect the changes to terminology and the practice of real estate due to 2015 Act 2015.		
14. Long Range Implications of Implementing the Rule The long range implication is having the code and statute in conformity.		
15. Compare With Approaches Being Used by Federal Government None		
16. Compare With Approaches Being Used by Neighboring States (Illinois, Iowa, Michigan and Minnesota) Our surrounding states do not use terminology relating to firm. The surrounding states do not have a predetermination process regarding criminal convictions.		
17. Contact Name		18. Contact Phone Number
Sharon Henes		(608) 261-2377

ADMINISTRATIVE RULES Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis

This document can be made available in alternate formats to individuals with disabilities upon request.

ADMINISTRATIVE RULES Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis

ATTACHMENT A

1. Summary of Rule's Economic and Fiscal Impact on Small Businesses (Separately for each Small Business Sector, Include Implementation and Compliance Costs Expected to be Incurred)

2. Summary of the data sources used to measure the Rule's impact on Small Businesses

- 3. Did the agency consider the following methods to reduce the impact of the Rule on Small Businesses?
- Less Stringent Compliance or Reporting Requirements
- Less Stringent Schedules or Deadlines for Compliance or Reporting
- Consolidation or Simplification of Reporting Requirements
- Establishment of performance standards in lieu of Design or Operational Standards
- Exemption of Small Businesses from some or all requirements

Other, describe:

4. Describe the methods incorporated into the Rule that will reduce its impact on Small Businesses

5. Describe the Rule's Enforcement Provisions

6. Did the Agency prepare a Cost Benefit Analysis (if Yes, attach to form)

🗌 Yes 🗌 No