STATE OF WISCONSIN	
DEPARTMENT OF ADMINISTRATIO	N
DOA 2049 (R 07/2011)	

ADMINISTRATIVE RULES FISCAL ESTIMATE AND ECONOMIC IMPACT ANALYSIS

Type of Estimate and Analysis Original	ECONOMIC IMPACT ANALYSIS
Administrative Rule Chapter, Title and Number PI 11, Children with Disabilities PI 18, High School Graduation Standards Subject Rule Changes Needed As A Result of 2011 Wisconsin Act 105 Find Sources Affected Chapter 20, Stats. Appropriations Affected GPR FED PRO PRS SEG SEG-S Fiscal Effect of Implementing the Rule No Fiscal Effect Increase Existing Revenues Could Absorb Within Agency's Budget Decrease Costs Indeterminate Could Absorb Within Agency's Budget Decrease Costs The Rule Will Impact the Following (Check All That Apply) State's Economy Local Government Units State's Foonomy Public Utility Rate Payers Would Implementation and Compliance Costs Be Greater Than \$20 million? Yes No Policy Problem Addressed by the Rule This proposed rule change will align PI 11 and 18 with the statutory changes made as a result of 2011 Wisconsin Act 105. Summary of Rule's Economic and Fiscal Impact on Specific Businesses, Business Sectors, Public Utility Rate Payers, Local Governmental Units and the State's Economy as a Whole (Include Implementation and Compliance Costs Expected to be Incurred) Local: None. Revision of the rule to align it with statute will have no fiscal effect. State: No fiscal effect. Benefits of Implementing the Rule and Alternative(s) to Implementing the Rule The rule needs to be revised to align it with the statutory changes made in 2011 Wisconsin Act 105. Otherwise, the rule will not reflect current law. Long Range Implications of Implementing the Rule This will help align PI 11 and 18 of the DPI Administrative Code with the Wisconsin Statutes. Compare With Approaches Being Used by Federal Government	Type of Estimate and Analysis
PI 11, Children with Disabilities PI 18, High School Graduation Standards Subject Rule Changes Needed As A Result of 2011 Wisconsin Act 105 Fund Sources Affected	
Rule Changes Needed As A Result of 2011 Wisconsin Act 105 Fund Sources Affected Chapter 20, Stats. Appropriations Affected GPR FED PRO PRS SEG SEG-S Fiscal Effect Implementing the Rule No Fiscal Effect Decrease Existing Revenues Decrease Existing Revenues Decrease Costs Could Absorb Within Agency's Budget Decrease Costs Could Absorb Within Agency's Budget Decrease Costs Would Impact the Following (Check All That Apply) State's Economy Docal Government Units Would Implemention and Compliance Costs Be Greater Than \$20 million? Ves No Policy Problem Addressed by the Rule This proposed rule change will align P1 11 and 18 with the statutory changes made as a result of 2011 Wisconsin Act 105. Summary of Rule's Economic and Fiscal Impact on Specific Businesses, Business Sectors, Public Utility Rate Payers, Local Governmental Units and the State's Economy as a Whole (Include Implementation and Compliance Costs Expected to be Incurred) Local: None. Revision of the rule to align it with statute will have no fiscal effect. State: No fiscal effect. Benefits of Implementing the Rule and Alternative(s) to Implementing the Rule The rule needs to be revised to align it with the statutory changes made in 2011 Wisconsin Act 105. Otherwise, the rule will not reflect current law. Long Range Implications of Implementing the Rule This will help align P111 and 18 of the DPI Administrative Code with the Wisconsin Statutes. Compare With Approaches Being Used by Federal Government	Administrative Rule Chapter, 11tle and Number
Rule Changes Needed As A Result of 2011 Wisconsin Act 105 Fund Sources Affected Chapter 20, Stats. Appropriations Affected GPR FED PRO PRS SEG SEG-S Fiscal Effect of Implementing the Rule No Fiscal Effect Decrease Existing Revenues Could Absorb Within Agency's Budget Decrease Costs Indeterminate Decrease Existing Revenues State's Economy State's Economy Would Implementation and Compliance Costs Be Greater Than \$20 million? Yes No Policy Problem Addressed by the Rule This proposed rule change will align PI 11 and 18 with the statutory changes made as a result of 2011 Wisconsin Act 105. Summary of Rule's Economic and Fiscal Impact on Specific Businesses, Business Sectors, Public Utility Rate Payers, Local Governmental Units and the State's Economy as a Whole (Include Implementation and Compliance Costs Expected to be Incurred) Local: None. Revision of the rule to align it with statute will have no fiscal effect. State: No fiscal effect. Benefits of Implementing the Rule and Alternative(s) to Implementing the Rule The rule needs to be revised to align it with the statutory changes made in 2011 Wisconsin Act 105. Otherwise, the rule will not reflect current law. Long Range Implications of Implementing the Rule This will help align PI 11 and 18 of the DPI Administrative Code with the Wisconsin Statutes. Compare With Approaches Being Used by Federal Government	·
Rule Changes Needed As A Result of 2011 Wisconsin Act 105 Fund Sources Affected Chapter 20, Stats. Appropriations Affected GPR FED PRO PRS SEG SEG-S Fiscal Effect of Implementing the Rule No Fiscal Effect Increase Existing Revenues Could Absorb Within Agency's Budget Decrease Costs The Rule Will Impact the Following (Check All That Apply) State's Economy Occal Government Units Specific Businesses/Sectors Docal Government Units Public Utility Rate Payers Would Implementation and Compliance Costs Be Greater Than \$20 million? Yes No Policy Problem Addressed by the Rule This proposed rule change will align PI 11 and 18 with the statutory changes made as a result of 2011 Wisconsin Act 105. Summary of Rule's Economic and Fiscal Impact on Specific Businesses, Business Sectors, Public Utility Rate Payers, Local Governmental Units and the State's Economy as a Whole (Include Implementation and Compliance Costs Expected to be Incurred) Local: None. Revision of the rule to align it with statute will have no fiscal effect. State: No fiscal effect. Benefits of Implementing the Rule and Alternative(s) to Implementing the Rule The rule needs to be revised to align it with the statutory changes made in 2011 Wisconsin Act 105. Otherwise, the rule will not reflect current law. Long Range Implications of Implementing the Rule This will help align PI 11 and 18 of the DPI Administrative Code with the Wisconsin Statutes. Compare With Approaches Being Used by Federal Government	
Chapter 20, Stats. Appropriations Affected GPR FED PRO PRS SEG SEG-S	Subject
GPR	Rule Changes Needed As A Result of 2011 Wisconsin Act 105
Fiscal Effect of Implementing the Rule No Fiscal Effect Indeterminate Decrease Existing Revenues Indeterminate Decrease Existing Revenues Decrease Costs Decrease Costs Decrease Costs The Rule Will Impact the Following (Check All That Apply) State's Economy Decrease Existing Revenues Decrease Costs The Rule Will Impact the Following (Check All That Apply) Decrease Costs The Rule Will Impact the Following (Check All That Apply) Decrease Costs The Rule Will Impact the Following (Check All That Apply) Decrease Costs The Rule Will Impact the Following (Check All That Apply) Decrease Costs The Rule Will Impact the Following (Check All That Apply) Decrease Costs The Rule Will Impact the Following (Check All That Apply) Decrease Costs The Rule Will Impact the Following (Check All That Apply) Decrease Costs Decre	Fund Sources Affected Chapter 20, Stats. Appropriations Affected
No Fiscal Effect	☐ GPR ☐ FED ☐ PRO ☐ PRS ☐ SEG SEG-S
Indeterminate Decrease Existing Revenues Could Absorb Within Agency's Budget Decrease Costs	Fiscal Effect of Implementing the Rule
The Rule Will Impact the Following (Check All That Apply) State's Economy Local Government Units Would Implementation and Compliance Costs Be Greater Than \$20 million? Yes No Policy Problem Addressed by the Rule This proposed rule change will align PI 11 and 18 with the statutory changes made as a result of 2011 Wisconsin Act 105. Summary of Rule's Economic and Fiscal Impact on Specific Businesses, Business Sectors, Public Utility Rate Payers, Local Governmental Units and the State's Economy as a Whole (Include Implementation and Compliance Costs Expected to be Incurred) Local: None. Revision of the rule to align it with statute will have no fiscal effect. State: No fiscal effect. Benefits of Implementing the Rule and Alternative(s) to Implementing the Rule The rule needs to be revised to align it with the statutory changes made in 2011 Wisconsin Act 105. Otherwise, the rule will not reflect current law. Long Range Implications of Implementing the Rule This will help align PI 11 and 18 of the DPI Administrative Code with the Wisconsin Statutes. Compare With Approaches Being Used by Federal Government	
State's Economy □ Local Government Units □ Yes ☑ No Policy Problem Addressed by the Rule This proposed rule change will align PI 11 and 18 with the statutory changes made as a result of 2011 Wisconsin Act 105. Summary of Rule's Economic and Fiscal Impact on Specific Businesses, Business Sectors, Public Utility Rate Payers, Local Governmental Units and the State's Economy as a Whole (Include Implementation and Compliance Costs Expected to be Incurred) Local: None. Revision of the rule to align it with statute will have no fiscal effect. State: No fiscal effect. Benefits of Implementing the Rule and Alternative(s) to Implementing the Rule The rule needs to be revised to align it with the statutory changes made in 2011 Wisconsin Act 105. Otherwise, the rule will not reflect current law. Long Range Implications of Implementing the Rule This will help align PI 11 and 18 of the DPI Administrative Code with the Wisconsin Statutes. Compare With Approaches Being Used by Federal Government	
State's Economy □ Local Government Units □ Yes ☑ No Policy Problem Addressed by the Rule This proposed rule change will align PI 11 and 18 with the statutory changes made as a result of 2011 Wisconsin Act 105. Summary of Rule's Economic and Fiscal Impact on Specific Businesses, Business Sectors, Public Utility Rate Payers, Local Governmental Units and the State's Economy as a Whole (Include Implementation and Compliance Costs Expected to be Incurred) Local: None. Revision of the rule to align it with statute will have no fiscal effect. State: No fiscal effect. Benefits of Implementing the Rule and Alternative(s) to Implementing the Rule The rule needs to be revised to align it with the statutory changes made in 2011 Wisconsin Act 105. Otherwise, the rule will not reflect current law. Long Range Implications of Implementing the Rule This will help align PI 11 and 18 of the DPI Administrative Code with the Wisconsin Statutes. Compare With Approaches Being Used by Federal Government	The Rule Will Impact the Following (Check All That Apply)
Would Implementation and Compliance Costs Be Greater Than \$20 million? Yes No Policy Problem Addressed by the Rule This proposed rule change will align PI 11 and 18 with the statutory changes made as a result of 2011 Wisconsin Act 105. Summary of Rule's Economic and Fiscal Impact on Specific Businesses, Business Sectors, Public Utility Rate Payers, Local Governmental Units and the State's Economy as a Whole (Include Implementation and Compliance Costs Expected to be Incurred) Local: None. Revision of the rule to align it with statute will have no fiscal effect. State: No fiscal effect. Benefits of Implementing the Rule and Alternative(s) to Implementing the Rule The rule needs to be revised to align it with the statutory changes made in 2011 Wisconsin Act 105. Otherwise, the rule will not reflect current law. Long Range Implications of Implementing the Rule This will help align PI 11 and 18 of the DPI Administrative Code with the Wisconsin Statutes. Compare With Approaches Being Used by Federal Government	-
Policy Problem Addressed by the Rule This proposed rule change will align PI 11 and 18 with the statutory changes made as a result of 2011 Wisconsin Act 105. Summary of Rule's Economic and Fiscal Impact on Specific Businesses, Business Sectors, Public Utility Rate Payers, Local Governmental Units and the State's Economy as a Whole (Include Implementation and Compliance Costs Expected to be Incurred) Local: None. Revision of the rule to align it with statute will have no fiscal effect. State: No fiscal effect. Benefits of Implementing the Rule and Alternative(s) to Implementing the Rule The rule needs to be revised to align it with the statutory changes made in 2011 Wisconsin Act 105. Otherwise, the rule will not reflect current law. Long Range Implications of Implementing the Rule This will help align PI 11 and 18 of the DPI Administrative Code with the Wisconsin Statutes. Compare With Approaches Being Used by Federal Government	_
This proposed rule change will align PI 11 and 18 with the statutory changes made as a result of 2011 Wisconsin Act 105. Summary of Rule's Economic and Fiscal Impact on Specific Businesses, Business Sectors, Public Utility Rate Payers, Local Governmental Units and the State's Economy as a Whole (Include Implementation and Compliance Costs Expected to be Incurred) Local: None. Revision of the rule to align it with statute will have no fiscal effect. State: No fiscal effect. Benefits of Implementing the Rule and Alternative(s) to Implementing the Rule The rule needs to be revised to align it with the statutory changes made in 2011 Wisconsin Act 105. Otherwise, the rule will not reflect current law. Long Range Implications of Implementing the Rule This will help align PI 11 and 18 of the DPI Administrative Code with the Wisconsin Statutes. Compare With Approaches Being Used by Federal Government	
This proposed rule change will align PI 11 and 18 with the statutory changes made as a result of 2011 Wisconsin Act 105. Summary of Rule's Economic and Fiscal Impact on Specific Businesses, Business Sectors, Public Utility Rate Payers, Local Governmental Units and the State's Economy as a Whole (Include Implementation and Compliance Costs Expected to be Incurred) Local: None. Revision of the rule to align it with statute will have no fiscal effect. State: No fiscal effect. Benefits of Implementing the Rule and Alternative(s) to Implementing the Rule The rule needs to be revised to align it with the statutory changes made in 2011 Wisconsin Act 105. Otherwise, the rule will not reflect current law. Long Range Implications of Implementing the Rule This will help align PI 11 and 18 of the DPI Administrative Code with the Wisconsin Statutes. Compare With Approaches Being Used by Federal Government	Policy Problem Addressed by the Rule
Governmental Units and the State's Economy as a Whole (Include Implementation and Compliance Costs Expected to be Incurred) Local: None. Revision of the rule to align it with statute will have no fiscal effect. State: No fiscal effect. Benefits of Implementing the Rule and Alternative(s) to Implementing the Rule The rule needs to be revised to align it with the statutory changes made in 2011 Wisconsin Act 105. Otherwise, the rule will not reflect current law. Long Range Implications of Implementing the Rule This will help align PI 11 and 18 of the DPI Administrative Code with the Wisconsin Statutes. Compare With Approaches Being Used by Federal Government	
None. Revision of the rule to align it with statute will have no fiscal effect. State: No fiscal effect. Benefits of Implementing the Rule and Alternative(s) to Implementing the Rule The rule needs to be revised to align it with the statutory changes made in 2011 Wisconsin Act 105. Otherwise, the rule will not reflect current law. Long Range Implications of Implementing the Rule This will help align PI 11 and 18 of the DPI Administrative Code with the Wisconsin Statutes. Compare With Approaches Being Used by Federal Government	
Benefits of Implementing the Rule and Alternative(s) to Implementing the Rule The rule needs to be revised to align it with the statutory changes made in 2011 Wisconsin Act 105. Otherwise, the rule will not reflect current law. Long Range Implications of Implementing the Rule This will help align PI 11 and 18 of the DPI Administrative Code with the Wisconsin Statutes. Compare With Approaches Being Used by Federal Government	
The rule needs to be revised to align it with the statutory changes made in 2011 Wisconsin Act 105. Otherwise, the rule will not reflect current law. Long Range Implications of Implementing the Rule This will help align PI 11 and 18 of the DPI Administrative Code with the Wisconsin Statutes. Compare With Approaches Being Used by Federal Government	
Long Range Implications of Implementing the Rule This will help align PI 11 and 18 of the DPI Administrative Code with the Wisconsin Statutes. Compare With Approaches Being Used by Federal Government	Benefits of Implementing the Rule and Alternative(s) to Implementing the Rule
This will help align PI 11 and 18 of the DPI Administrative Code with the Wisconsin Statutes. Compare With Approaches Being Used by Federal Government	
Compare With Approaches Being Used by Federal Government	Long Range Implications of Implementing the Rule
	This will help align PI 11 and 18 of the DPI Administrative Code with the Wisconsin Statutes.
	Compare With Approaches Being Used by Federal Government
N/A.	N/A.
Compare With Approaches Being Used by Neighboring States (Illinois, Iowa, Michigan and Minnesota)	Compare With Approaches Being Used by Neighboring States (Illinois, Iowa, Michigan and Minnesota)
N/A.	N/A.

Name and Phone Number of Contact Person

Carl Bryan, Department of Public Instruction Administrative Rules Coordinator, (608) 267-9127.