STATE OF WISCONSIN DEPARTMENT OF ADMINISTRATION DOA-2049 (R03/2012) DIVISION OF EXECUTIVE BUDGET AND FINANCE 101 EAST WILSON STREET, 10TH FLOOR P.O. BOX 7864 MADISON, WI 53707-7864 FAX: (608) 267-0372

ADMINISTRATIVE RULES Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis

1. Type of Estimate and Analysis ☑ Original ☐ Updated ☐Corrected		
 Administrative Rule Chapter, Title and Number DCF 154, Substance Abuse Screening, Testing, and Treatment 	nt for the Children First Program (permanent rule)	
3. Subject Substance abuse screening, testing, and treatment for the Children First program		
4. Fund Sources Affected ☐ GPR ☐ FED ☐ PRO ☐ PRS ☐ SEG ☐ SEG-S	5. Chapter 20, Stats. Appropriations Affected 20.437 (2) (em)	
6. Fiscal Effect of Implementing the Rule	_	
	☐ Increase Costs	
☐ Indeterminate ☐ Decrease Existing Revenues	□ Could Absorb Within Agency's Budget	
	☐ Decrease Cost	
7. The Rule Will Impact the Following (Check All That Apply)		
☐ State's Economy ☐ Spec	rific Businesses/Sectors	
□ Local Government Units □ Publi	Units Public Utility Rate Payers	
☐ Smal	Il Businesses (if checked, complete Attachment A)	
8. Would Implementation and Compliance Costs Be Greater Than \$	S20 million?	
☐ Yes No		
9. Policy Problem Addressed by the Rule		
Implementation of s. 49.162, Stats., as created by 2015 Act 55.		
- -		

Section 49.162, Stats., requires screening and, if indicated, testing and treatment for use of a controlled substance without a valid prescription as a condition of eligibility for the following DCF work experience programs:

- Transform Milwaukee Jobs (TMJ);
- Transitional Jobs:
- Wisconsin Works (W-2) services and benefits for noncustodial parents (NCPs), including W-2 NCP Trial Employment Match Program (TEMP); and
- Children First.

Note, proposed ch. DCF 154 covers only the work experience program under s. 49.36, also known as Children First. The other work experience programs administered by the department that are subject to s. 49.162, Stats., are covered in the emergency and proposed permanent rule chapter DCF 105.

Every individual who applies to participate in a DCF work experience program must first complete a questionnaire that screens for the abuse of a controlled substance. If answers to the questionnaire reveal a reasonable suspicion that the individual is abusing a controlled substance, the individual must complete a test for the use of a controlled substance. A positive test result will prompt a referral to substance abuse treatment, and the individual must participate in order to maintain program eligibility. Every individual referred to substance abuse treatment will be required to submit to random testing for the use of a controlled substance. If any test results are positive and the individual does not have a valid prescription, the individual may begin treatment again one time and will remain eligible as long as all test results are negative. If the individual tests negative following the completion of treatment, substance abuse testing and treatment requirements are considered fulfilled.

^{10.} Summary of the businesses, business sectors, associations representing business, local governmental units, and individuals that may be affected by the proposed rule that were contacted for comments.

DIVISION OF EXECUTIVE BUDGET AND FINANCE 101 EAST WILSON STREET, 10TH FLOOR P.O. BOX 7864 MADISON, WI 53707-7864 FAX: (608) 267-0372

ADMINISTRATIVE RULES Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis

All 71 county child support agencies were notified of the EIA comment period, and the Wisconsin Child Support Enforcement Association was notified at its December meeting.

11. Identify the local governmental units that participated in the development of this EIA.

All counties with Children First programs have participated in meetings and teleconferences regarding the substance abuse screening, testing, and treatment rule and have had the opportunity to discuss the appropriation for services and how to charge costs for administration of the program.

12. Summary of Rule's Economic and Fiscal Impact on Specific Businesses, Business Sectors, Public Utility Rate Payers, Local Governmental Units and the State's Economy as a Whole (Include Implementation and Compliance Costs Expected to be Incurred)

Fiscal and Economic costs associated with implementing §49.162 Stats. as created by 2015 Wis. Act 55 are not driven by the Administrative Rule. Rather, administrative costs are driven by the statutory requirements. DCF does not believe the rule imposes additional costs beyond those necessary to fulfill the requirements of §49.162.

Prior to the enactment of 2015 Wis. Act 55, Wisconsin statutes and administrative rules contained no provisions for screening, testing, and treatment for DCF work experience programs. Approximately 12 states have implemented legislation requiring drug testing and/or treatment for programs similar to W-2. Their experiences suggest that the number of individuals who are referred for testing and treatment is likely to be minimal. Further, the DCF work experience programs impacted by ch. DCF 154 will serve a significantly smaller numbers of participants compared to W-2 placements for custodial parents.

The maximum number of individuals that can be served annually by the Children First program within the limits of it \$1.14 million appropriation is 2,850.

Estimated Annual Cost of Administering Questionnaires – Assuming all 2,850 participants who can be served by the program are served, all 2,850 individuals will be screened annually for suspicion of abuse of controlled substances through a questionnaire. The administration of the questionnaire will be incorporated into assessment functions already carried out by agencies administering these programs at no additional cost. The department has approved two questionnaires, the 4-question portion of the barrier screening tool used to assess drug use barriers to employment in the W-2 program and the 10 question DAST-10© that will be used in the TMJ program beginning in November 2015. Administering and scoring these questionnaires will take less than 5 minutes per participant and will not have any added cost.

Estimated Cost of Testing for Use of Controlled Substances – The department's experience in assessing W-2 participants for employment barriers relating to drug abuse, its research on the incidence of drug use in public assistance populations, and the experience of other states carrying out similar screening, testing, and treatment in their TANF programs suggest we can expect a maximum of 5% (140 individuals) to respond to the screening questionnaire as having experienced problems with controlled substances in the previous 12 months. The cost of testing individuals for use of controlled substances is estimated to be \$45 per person, however individuals tested in other state programs, including testing by the DOC, will be able to use existing test results to satisfy the testing requirement. Assuming 35 (25%) of these individuals will be able to submit a test carried out by another program, the department estimates agencies administering the Children First program will carry out 105 tests, for a total annual cost of \$4,725.

Estimated Cost of Treatment for Use of Controlled Substances – Of the 105 individuals the department estimates to be subject to testing, it estimates that 42 (40%) will actually test positive for current use of a controlled substance. This drop-off reflects the structure of screening questionnaires that generally ask about behavior in the past 12 months, while laboratory tests only show current substance abuse. Since many individuals eligible for registration in the Children First

DIVISION OF EXECUTIVE BUDGET AND FINANCE 101 EAST WILSON STREET, 10TH FLOOR P.O. BOX 7864 MADISON, WI 53707-7864 FAX: (608) 267-0372

ADMINISTRATIVE RULES Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis

programs administered by DCF have low incomes (150% of FPL or lower), of the 42 individuals likely to test positive for current use of a controlled substance, 30 are estimated to be eligible either for state and federally-funded community-based drug treatment or for drug treatment reimbursable through BadgerCare. As the payer of last resort for the treatment required by §49.162, the department estimates the cost of treatment for 12 individuals on an annual basis will be \$9,600 based on the fiscal estimate for 2015 Act 55 which estimated the cost of treatment to be \$800 per person. The maximum impact, if all 42 individuals are ineligible for other funding, is \$33,600.

Estimated Annual Cost of Screening, Testing, and Treatment for Use of Controlled Substances – Based on the above assumptions, the department estimates the total annual cost of the statute and rule to be no more than \$48,325.

Act 55 created an annual appropriation that provides \$250,000 in FY 2016 and FY 2017 for drug screening, testing, and treatment costs. DCF is required to pay for all costs of substance abuse treatment not otherwise covered by medical assistance, private insurance, or another type of coverage. Local government units may be responsible for the cost of treatment for individuals who do not have coverage through the public or private insurance system under §51.42, Stats., on the same basis as other county residents, however this estimate assumes the department will pay for all treatment.

The rule will not have an economic effect on the state's economy, specific businesses/sectors, public utilities or their tax payers, or small businesses.

- 13. Benefits of Implementing the Rule and Alternative(s) to Implementing the Rule
- 49.162 (7), as created by 2015 Act 55, directed the department to implement the substance abuse screening, testing, and treatment requirements under 49.162.
- 14. Long Range Implications of Implementing the Rule

The proposed rule does not impose any financial or compliance burdens that will have a significant effect on small businesses or a significant economic impact.

- 15. Compare With Approaches Being Used by Federal Government
- 21 USC 862b provides that the federal government may not prohibit states from requiring drug tests for welfare recipients nor from sanctioning welfare recipients who fail such tests. 42 USC 608 (b) permits states to require substance abuse treatment as a component of an individual responsibility plan and to apply a penalty for noncompliance in the absence of good cause.
- 16. Compare With Approaches Being Used by Neighboring States (Illinois, Iowa, Michigan and Minnesota)

The only adjacent state planning drug testing is Michigan. In 1999, Michigan passed a law requiring all applicants for Michigan's Temporary Assistance for Needy Families program, the Family Independence Program, to undergo drug testing. That law was declared unconstitutional because the mandatory suspicionless drug testing was not intended to address a risk to public safety. In 2014, the Michigan legislature authorized a new three-county pilot project to evaluate drug testing of applicants for public assistance based on suspicion, and the bills were signed into law in December 2014. There are no administrative rules for this project, which is scheduled to run for one-year ending September 2016.

17. Contact Name	18. Contact Phone Number
Kris Randal	(608) 422-6187

This document can be made available in alternate formats to individuals with disabilities upon request.

STATE OF WISCONSIN DEPARTMENT OF ADMINISTRATION DOA-2049 (R03/2012) DIVISION OF EXECUTIVE BUDGET AND FINANCE 101 EAST WILSON STREET, 10TH FLOOR P.O. BOX 7864 MADISON, WI 53707-7864 FAX: (608) 267-0372

ADMINISTRATIVE RULES Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis

ATTACHMENT A

 Summary of Rule's Economic and Fiscal Impact on Small Businesses (Separately for each Small Business Sector, Include Implementation and Compliance Costs Expected to be Incurred)
None
2. Summary of the data sources used to measure the Rule's impact on Small Businesses
n/a. The administering agencies responsible for this rule do not meet the definition of a small business.
3. Did the agency consider the following methods to reduce the impact of the Rule on Small Businesses?
Less Stringent Compliance or Reporting Requirements
Less Stringent Schedules or Deadlines for Compliance or Reporting
☐ Consolidation or Simplification of Reporting Requirements
☐ Establishment of performance standards in lieu of Design or Operational Standards
☐ Exemption of Small Businesses from some or all requirements
Other, describe:
n/a
4. Describe the methods incorporated into the Rule that will reduce its impact on Small Businesses
n/a
5. Describe the Rule's Enforcement Provisions
The requirements to complete a questionnaire, controlled substance testing, and controlled substance treatment are
enforced only against individuals participating in work experience programs, and enforcement is based on the provisions
of §49.162, Stats. No enforcement provisions are created by ch. DCF 154.
6. Did the Agency prepare a Cost Benefit Analysis (if Yes, attach to form)
☐ Yes ☐ No