STATE OF WISCONSIN DEPARTMENT OF ADMINISTRATION DOA-2049 (R03/2012) DIVISION OF EXECUTIVE BUDGET AND FINANCE 101 EAST WILSON STREET, 10TH FLOOR P.O. BOX 7864 MADISON, WI 53707-7864 FAX: (608) 267-0372

## ADMINISTRATIVE RULES Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis

Type of Estimate and Analysis     Original ☐ Updated ☐ Corrected		
2. Administrative Rule Chapter, Title and Number N 8		
3. Subject Advanced Practice Nurse Prescribers		
4. Fund Sources Affected ☐ GPR ☐ FED ☐ PRO ☐ PRS ☐ SEG ☐ SEG-S	5. Chapter 20, Stats. Appropriations Affected 20.165 (g)	
6. Fiscal Effect of Implementing the Rule  ☐ No Fiscal Effect ☐ Increase Existing Revenues  ☐ Indeterminate ☐ Decrease Existing Revenues	<ul> <li>Increase Costs</li> <li>Could Absorb Within Agency's Budget</li> <li>□ Decrease Cost</li> </ul>	
☐ Local Government Units ☐ Publ	cific Businesses/Sectors ic Utility Rate Payers Il Businesses (if checked, complete Attachment A)	
8. Would Implementation and Compliance Costs Be Greater Than \$20 million?  Yes No		
9. Policy Problem Addressed by the Rule The Board did a comprehensive review, evaluation and updated the rules governing advanced practice nurse prescribers. The proposed rule updates language, continuing education requirements, including a provision requiring continuing education in responsible prescribing of controlled substances, and clarifies attention deficient hyperactivity disorder is included in hyperkinesis.		
<ol> <li>Summary of the businesses, business sectors, associations representing business, local governmental units, and individuals that may be affected by the proposed rule that were contacted for comments.</li> <li>This rule was posted for economic comments and none were received.</li> </ol>		
11. Identify the local governmental units that participated in the development of this EIA.  None. This does rule does not impact local governmental units.		
<ol> <li>Summary of Rule's Economic and Fiscal Impact on Specific Businesses, Business Sectors, Public Utility Rate Payers, Local Governmental Units and the State's Economy as a Whole (Include Implementation and Compliance Costs Expected to be Incurred)</li> <li>This rule does not have an economic or fiscal impact on specific businesses, business sectors, public utility rate payers, local governmental units or the State's economy as a whole.</li> </ol>		
13. Benefits of Implementing the Rule and Alternative(s) to Implementing the Rule The benefit to implementing the rule is providing clarity and updates to a rule which has not had a comprehensive review since 1995 when it was created.		
14. Long Range Implications of Implementing the Rule The long range implication of implementing the rule is clarit	y and updated standards.	
15. Compare With Approaches Being Used by Federal Governmen None	t	
16. Compare With Approaches Being Used by Neighboring States (Illinois, Iowa, Michigan and Minnesota)		

STATE OF WISCONSIN DEPARTMENT OF ADMINISTRATION DOA-2049 (R03/2012) DIVISION OF EXECUTIVE BUDGET AND FINANCE 101 EAST WILSON STREET, 10TH FLOOR P.O. BOX 7864 MADISON, WI 53707-7864 FAX: (608) 267-0372

## ADMINISTRATIVE RULES Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis

Iowa, Michigan and Minnesota do not have equivalent certification to the Wisconsin advance practice nurse prescriber certificate. Illinois requires an advanced practice nurse to additionally hold a separate license for prescribing of controlled substances based upon a collaborating physician delegating prescriptive authority to a nurse.

17. Contact Name	18. Contact Phone Number
Sharon Henes	(608) 261-2377

This document can be made available in alternate formats to individuals with disabilities upon request.

STATE OF WISCONSIN DEPARTMENT OF ADMINISTRATION DOA-2049 (R03/2012) DIVISION OF EXECUTIVE BUDGET AND FINANCE 101 EAST WILSON STREET, 10TH FLOOR P.O. BOX 7864 MADISON, WI 53707-7864 FAX: (608) 267-0372

## ADMINISTRATIVE RULES Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis

## ATTACHMENT A

<ol> <li>Summary of Rule's Economic and Fiscal Impact on Small Businesses (Separately for each Small Business Sector, Include Implementation and Compliance Costs Expected to be Incurred)</li> </ol>
2. Summary of the data sources used to measure the Rule's impact on Small Businesses
<ul> <li>3. Did the agency consider the following methods to reduce the impact of the Rule on Small Businesses?</li> <li>Less Stringent Compliance or Reporting Requirements</li> <li>Less Stringent Schedules or Deadlines for Compliance or Reporting</li> <li>Consolidation or Simplification of Reporting Requirements</li> <li>Establishment of performance standards in lieu of Design or Operational Standards</li> <li>Exemption of Small Businesses from some or all requirements</li> <li>Other, describe:</li> </ul>
4. Describe the methods incorporated into the Rule that will reduce its impact on Small Businesses
5. Describe the Rule's Enforcement Provisions
6. Did the Agency prepare a Cost Benefit Analysis (if Yes, attach to form)  ☐ Yes ☐ No