

ADMINISTRATIVE RULES Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis

1. Type of Estimate and Analysis

Original Updated Corrected

2. Administrative Rule Chapter, Title and Number

Phar 2, 4

3. Subject

Application and Examination

4. Fund Sources Affected

GPR FED PRO PRS SEG SEG-S

5. Chapter 20, Stats. Appropriations Affected

6. Fiscal Effect of Implementing the Rule

No Fiscal Effect Increase Existing Revenues Increase Costs
 Indeterminate Decrease Existing Revenues Could Absorb Within Agency's Budget
 Decrease Cost

7. The Rule Will Impact the Following (Check All That Apply)

State's Economy Specific Businesses/Sectors
 Local Government Units Public Utility Rate Payers
 Small Businesses **(if checked, complete Attachment A)**

8. Would Implementation and Compliance Costs Be Greater Than \$20 million?

Yes No

9. Policy Problem Addressed by the Rule

The rule will revise the application rules to allow for the examination to be taken prior to completion of education pursuant to 20. In addition, the proposed rule updates and clarifies the application and examination requirements.

10. Summary of the businesses, business sectors, associations representing business, local governmental units, and individuals that may be affected by the proposed rule that were contacted for comments.

This rule was posted for economic impact comments for 14 days and none were received.

11. Identify the local governmental units that participated in the development of this EIA.

This rule does not impact local governmental units and none participated in the development of this EIA.

12. Summary of Rule's Economic and Fiscal Impact on Specific Businesses, Business Sectors, Public Utility Rate Payers, Local Governmental Units and the State's Economy as a Whole (Include Implementation and Compliance Costs Expected to be Incurred)

This rule does not have an economic or fiscal impact on specific businesses, business sectors, public utility rate payers, local governmental units or the State's economy as a whole.

13. Benefits of Implementing the Rule and Alternative(s) to Implementing the Rule

The benefit is to have rules which are clear and conform to statutes and are current practices.

14. Long Range Implications of Implementing the Rule

The long range implication is rules which are clear.

15. Compare With Approaches Being Used by Federal Government

None

16. Compare With Approaches Being Used by Neighboring States (Illinois, Iowa, Michigan and Minnesota)

Illinois: Illinois applicants are required to: pay a fee, provide proof of graduation and internship, and pass an examination. Applicants holding a license in another state applying for an Illinois license are required to show the requirements in the state they were licensed by examination were substantially equivalent to the requirements in Illinois.

ADMINISTRATIVE RULES Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis

Iowa: Iowa applicants are required to: pay a fee, provide proof of graduation and internship and pass the North American Pharmacist Licensure Examination and Multistate Pharmacy Jurisprudence Examination, Iowa Edition. Applicants holding a license in another state applying for an Iowa license are required to utilize the National Association of Boards of Pharmacy license transfer process.

Michigan: Michigan applicants are required to: pay a fee, provide proof of graduation and internship and pass the North American Pharmacist Licensure Examination and Multistate Pharmacy Jurisprudence Examination. Applicants holding a license in another state applying for a Michigan license are required to establish that they hold a license in another state and were licensed by exam in that state and pass the Multistate Pharmacy Jurisprudence Examination.

Minnesota: Minnesota applicants are required to: pay a fee, provide proof of graduation and internship and pass the North American Pharmacist Licensure Examination and Multistate Pharmacy Jurisprudence Examination. Applicants holding a license in another state applying for a Minnesota license requirements are: passing the Multistate Pharmacy Jurisprudence exam, Minnesota version; evidence of internship or work experience and the Board may compel applicants who have not engaged in the practice of pharmacy in the two years preceding the filing of their application to take the North American Pharmacist Licensure Examination.

17. Contact Name Sharon Henes	18. Contact Phone Number (608) 261-2377
----------------------------------	--

This document can be made available in alternate formats to individuals with disabilities upon request.

ADMINISTRATIVE RULES
Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis

ATTACHMENT A

1. Summary of Rule's Economic and Fiscal Impact on Small Businesses (Separately for each Small Business Sector, Include Implementation and Compliance Costs Expected to be Incurred)

2. Summary of the data sources used to measure the Rule's impact on Small Businesses

3. Did the agency consider the following methods to reduce the impact of the Rule on Small Businesses?

- Less Stringent Compliance or Reporting Requirements
 - Less Stringent Schedules or Deadlines for Compliance or Reporting
 - Consolidation or Simplification of Reporting Requirements
 - Establishment of performance standards in lieu of Design or Operational Standards
 - Exemption of Small Businesses from some or all requirements
 - Other, describe:
-

4. Describe the methods incorporated into the Rule that will reduce its impact on Small Businesses

5. Describe the Rule's Enforcement Provisions

6. Did the Agency prepare a Cost Benefit Analysis (if Yes, attach to form)

- Yes No
-