STATE OF WISCONSIN DEPARTMENT OF ADMINISTRATION DOA-2049 (R03/2012) DIVISION OF EXECUTIVE BUDGET AND FINANCE 101 EAST WILSON STREET, 10TH FLOOR P.O. BOX 7864 MADISON, WI 53707-7864 FAX: (608) 267-0372

## ADMINISTRATIVE RULES Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis

Type of Estimate and Analysis     ☐ Original ☐ Updated ☐ Corrected		
2. Administrative Rule Chapter, Title and Number MPSW 10, 11, 12, 14		
3. Subject PC licensure, education, exam and supervised practice		
4. Fund Sources Affected ☐ GPR ☐ FED ☐ PRO ☐ PRS ☐ SEG ☐ SEG-S	5. Chapter 20, Stats. Appropriations Affected $20.165(1)(g)$	
6. Fiscal Effect of Implementing the Rule  ☐ No Fiscal Effect ☐ Increase Existing Revenues  ☐ Indeterminate ☐ Decrease Existing Revenues	☐ Increase Costs ☐ Could Absorb Within Agency's Budget ☐ Decrease Cost	
7. The Rule Will Impact the Following (Check All That Apply)  State's Economy  Dublic Utility Rate Payers  Small Businesses (if checked, complete Attachment A)		
8. Would Implementation and Compliance Costs Be Greater Than \$20 million?  ☐ Yes ☐ No		
9. Policy Problem Addressed by the Rule The proposed rule updates and clarifies provisions of the code relating to professional counselor licensure, education, applications and exams.		
10. Summary of the businesses, business sectors, associations representing business, local governmental units, and individuals that may be affected by the proposed rule that were contacted for comments. This rule was posted for 14 days for economic comments and none were received.		
11. Identify the local governmental units that participated in the development of this EIA.  None. It does not affect local governmental units.		
12. Summary of Rule's Economic and Fiscal Impact on Specific Businesses, Business Sectors, Public Utility Rate Payers, Local Governmental Units and the State's Economy as a Whole (Include Implementation and Compliance Costs Expected to be Incurred)  This rule will not have an economic or fiscal impact on specific businesses, business sectors, public utility rate payers, local governmental units or the State's economy as a whole.		
The Department of Safety and Professional Services will have a decrease in revenues as a result of the elimination of the examination requirement for new applicants for a professional counselor license. The estimated loss of revenues will be approximately \$18,750 which is based on 250 new applicants per year at a cost of \$75 per exam per applicant. The Marriage and Family Therapy, Professional Counseling and Social Work Examining Board does not have the statutory authority to require the examination for new applicants as this requirement is more restrictive than the requirements contained in the statutory provision.		
13. Benefits of Implementing the Rule and Alternative(s) to Implement The benefit is having rules which are clear, contain current e	<u> </u>	
14. Long Range Implications of Implementing the Rule The long range implication are rules which are current, recognize electronic communication and statutory compliant.		
15. Compare With Approaches Being Used by Federal Governmen None	t	

STATE OF WISCONSIN DEPARTMENT OF ADMINISTRATION DOA-2049 (R03/2012) DIVISION OF EXECUTIVE BUDGET AND FINANCE 101 EAST WILSON STREET, 10TH FLOOR P.O. BOX 7864 MADISON, WI 53707-7864 FAX: (608) 267-0372

## ADMINISTRATIVE RULES Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis

16. Compare With Approaches Being Used by Neighboring States (Illinois, Iowa, Michigan and Minnesota) Our surrounding states are substantially equivalent in their requirements for licensure, education and exams.	
17. Contact Name	18. Contact Phone Number
Sharon Henes	(608) 261-2377

This document can be made available in alternate formats to individuals with disabilities upon request.

STATE OF WISCONSIN DEPARTMENT OF ADMINISTRATION DOA-2049 (R03/2012) DIVISION OF EXECUTIVE BUDGET AND FINANCE 101 EAST WILSON STREET, 10TH FLOOR P.O. BOX 7864 MADISON, WI 53707-7864 FAX: (608) 267-0372

## ADMINISTRATIVE RULES Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis

## ATTACHMENT A

<ol> <li>Summary of Rule's Economic and Fiscal Impact on Small Businesses (Separately for each Small Business Sector, Include Implementation and Compliance Costs Expected to be Incurred)</li> </ol>
2. Summary of the data sources used to measure the Rule's impact on Small Businesses
<ul> <li>3. Did the agency consider the following methods to reduce the impact of the Rule on Small Businesses?</li> <li>Less Stringent Compliance or Reporting Requirements</li> <li>Less Stringent Schedules or Deadlines for Compliance or Reporting</li> <li>Consolidation or Simplification of Reporting Requirements</li> <li>Establishment of performance standards in lieu of Design or Operational Standards</li> <li>Exemption of Small Businesses from some or all requirements</li> <li>Other, describe:</li> </ul>
4. Describe the methods incorporated into the Rule that will reduce its impact on Small Businesses
5. Describe the Rule's Enforcement Provisions
6. Did the Agency prepare a Cost Benefit Analysis (if Yes, attach to form)  ☐ Yes ☐ No