STATE OF WISCONSIN DEPARTMENT OF ADMINISTRATION DOA-2049 (R03/2012)

DIVISION OF EXECUTIVE BUDGET AND FINANCE 101 EAST WILSON STREET, 10TH FLOOR P.O. BOX 7864 MADISON, WI 53707-7864 FAX: (608) 267-0372

# ADMINISTRATIVE RULES Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis

Type of Estimate and Analysis     Original □ Updated □ Corrected	
Administrative Rule Chapter, Title and Number	
Pod 2	
3. Subject	
Overtreatment of patients	
4. Fund Sources Affected  GPR FED PRO PRS SEG SEG-S	5. Chapter 20, Stats. Appropriations Affected
6. Fiscal Effect of Implementing the Rule	_
☐ No Fiscal Effect ☐ Increase Existing Revenues	☐ Increase Costs
☐ Indeterminate ☐ Decrease Existing Revenues	☐ Could Absorb Within Agency's Budget ☐ Decrease Cost
7. The Rule Will Impact the Following (Check All That Apply)	
	cific Businesses/Sectors
<del></del>	ic Utility Rate Payers  Il Businesses (if checked, complete Attachment A)
8. Would Implementation and Compliance Costs Be Greater Than \$	
9. Policy Problem Addressed by the Rule	
An issue that is prevalent in the health care system is overtre care professionals. Overtreatment and excessive use of diagn costs to patients as well as exposure to increased risk of infect Credentialing Board recognized this issue and decided to add to add a provision to the Unprofessional Conduct chapter Wi	ostic testing and surgical procedures result in increased ction, diseases, and complications. The Podiatry Affiliated dress it with these proposed rules. The proposed rule seeks
<ol> <li>Summary of the businesses, business sectors, associations rep may be affected by the proposed rule that were contacted for contac</li></ol>	
This proposed rule was posted on the Department of Safety a government website for 14 business days to solicit comments associations representing business, local governmental units, rule during that time period	s from the public. No businesses, business sectors,
11. Identify the local governmental units that participated in the dev	elopment of this EIA.
None. This rule does not affect local government units.	
12. Summary of Rule's Economic and Fiscal Impact on Specific Bus Governmental Units and the State's Economy as a Whole (Incl Incurred)	
This proposed rule will not have a significant impact on speclocal governmental units or the state's economy as a whole.	rific businesses, business sectors, public utility rate payers,

13. Benefits of Implementing the Rule and Alternative(s) to Implementing the Rule

DIVISION OF EXECUTIVE BUDGET AND FINANCE 101 EAST WILSON STREET, 10TH FLOOR P.O. BOX 7864 MADISON, WI 53707-7864 FAX: (608) 267-0372

### ADMINISTRATIVE RULES Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis

			protection fron					
,	1000110	 	 pro <b>ces</b>	Pulling	~~~~	 	 ,	 

14. Long Range Implications of Implementing the Rule

Implementing this rule will result in better patient protection from overtreatment and excessive diagnostic testing.

15. Compare With Approaches Being Used by Federal Government

#### None

16. Compare With Approaches Being Used by Neighboring States (Illinois, Iowa, Michigan and Minnesota)

Illinois does not list excessive evaluation or treatment of a patient as conduct that would be considered grounds for disciplinary action under 225 ILCS 100/4.

Iowa does not list excessive evaluation or treatment as conduct that would subject a podiatrist to discipline under 645 IAC 224.2.

Michigan does not list excessive evaluation or treatment as conduct that would subject a podiatrist to discipline under MCLS § 333.16221.

Minnesota does not list excessive evaluation or treatment as conduct that would subject a podiatrist to discipline under Minn. Stat. § 153.19.

17. Contact Name	18. Contact Phone Number
Katie Paff	(608) 261-4472

This document can be made available in alternate formats to individuals with disabilities upon request.

STATE OF WISCONSIN DEPARTMENT OF ADMINISTRATION DOA-2049 (R03/2012) DIVISION OF EXECUTIVE BUDGET AND FINANCE 101 EAST WILSON STREET, 10TH FLOOR P.O. BOX 7864 MADISON, WI 53707-7864 FAX: (608) 267-0372

# ADMINISTRATIVE RULES Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis

### **ATTACHMENT A**

<ol> <li>Summary of Rule's Economic and Fiscal Impact on Small Businesses (Separately for each Small Business Sector, Include Implementation and Compliance Costs Expected to be Incurred)</li> </ol>
2. Summary of the data sources used to measure the Rule's impact on Small Businesses
3. Did the agency consider the following methods to reduce the impact of the Rule on Small Businesses?  Less Stringent Compliance or Reporting Requirements  Less Stringent Schedules or Deadlines for Compliance or Reporting  Consolidation or Simplification of Reporting Requirements  Establishment of performance standards in lieu of Design or Operational Standards  Exemption of Small Businesses from some or all requirements  Other, describe:
4. Describe the methods incorporated into the Rule that will reduce its impact on Small Businesses
5. Describe the Rule's Enforcement Provisions
6. Did the Agency prepare a Cost Benefit Analysis (if Yes, attach to form)  Yes No