

ADMINISTRATIVE RULES Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis

1. Type of Estimate and Analysis

Original Updated Corrected

2. Administrative Rule Chapter, Title and Number

PSC 133 Construction, installation, and placing in operation of facilities by gas utilities

3. Subject

This rulemaking will bring Wis. Admin. Code ch. PSC 133 into conformity with 2013 Wisconsin Act 300. 2013 Wisconsin Act 300 allows a natural gas public utility to serve in another gas utility's territory under certain circumstances.

4. Fund Sources Affected

GPR FED PRO PRS SEG SEG-S

5. Chapter 20, Stats. Appropriations Affected

6. Fiscal Effect of Implementing the Rule

No Fiscal Effect Increase Existing Revenues Increase Costs
 Indeterminate Decrease Existing Revenues Could Absorb Within Agency's Budget
 Decrease Cost

7. The Rule Will Impact the Following (Check All That Apply)

State's Economy Specific Businesses/Sectors
 Local Government Units Public Utility Rate Payers
 Small Businesses (if checked, complete Attachment A)

8. Would Implementation and Compliance Costs Be Greater Than \$20 million?

Yes No

9. Policy Problem Addressed by the Rule

Brings the rule into conformity with the statute. Streamlines the process for limited territorial agreements.

10. Summary of the businesses, business sectors, associations representing business, local governmental units, and individuals that may be affected by the proposed rule that were contacted for comments.

All natural gas utilities, National Federation of Independent Business, Citizens Utility Board, League of Wisconsin Municipalities, Wisconsin Counties Association and Wisconsin Towns Association.

11. Identify the local governmental units that participated in the development of this EIA

League of Wisconsin Municipalities, Wisconsin Counties Association and Wisconsin Towns Association

12. Summary of Rule's Economic and Fiscal Impact on Specific Businesses, Business Sectors, Public Utility Rate Payers, Local Governmental Units and the State's Economy as a Whole (Include Implementation and Compliance Costs Expected to be Incurred)

Minimal or none.

13. Benefits of Implementing the Rule and Alternative(s) to Implementing the Rule

Brings the rule into conformity with the statute. Streamlines the process for limited territorial agreements.

14. Long Range Implications of Implementing the Rule

Brings the rule into conformity with the statute. Streamlines the process for limited territorial agreements

15. Compare With Approaches Being Used by Federal Government

There is no comparable federal program.

16. Compare With Approaches Being Used by Neighboring States (Illinois, Iowa, Michigan and Minnesota)

Surrounding states do not have provisions that allow such territorial agreements.

17. Contact Name Lisa Farrell

18. Contact Phone Number 608-267-9086

ATTACHMENT A

1. Summary of Rule's Economic and Fiscal Impact on Small Businesses (Separately for each Small Business Sector, Include Implementation and Compliance Costs Expected to be Incurred)

NA

2. Summary of the data sources used to measure the Rule's impact on Small Businesses

3. Did the agency consider the following methods to reduce the impact of the Rule on Small Businesses?

- Less Stringent Compliance or Reporting Requirements
- Less Stringent Schedules or Deadlines for Compliance or Reporting
- Consolidation or Simplification of Reporting Requirements
- Establishment of performance standards in lieu of Design or Operational Standards
- Exemption of Small Businesses from some or all requirements
- Other, describe:

4. Describe the methods incorporated into the Rule that will reduce its impact on Small Businesses

5. Describe the Rule's Enforcement Provisions

6. Did the Agency prepare a Cost Benefit Analysis (if Yes, attach to form)

Yes No
