

ADMINISTRATIVE RULES Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis

1. Type of Estimate and Analysis <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Original <input type="checkbox"/> Updated <input type="checkbox"/> Corrected	2. Date 03/28/2024
3. Administrative Rule Chapter, Title and Number (and Clearinghouse Number if applicable) Chir 12	
4. Subject Nutritional Counseling Certification	
5. Fund Sources Affected <input type="checkbox"/> GPR <input type="checkbox"/> FED <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> PRO <input type="checkbox"/> PRS <input type="checkbox"/> SEG <input type="checkbox"/> SEG-S	6. Chapter 20, Stats. Appropriations Affected s.20.165(1)(g)
7. Fiscal Effect of Implementing the Rule <input type="checkbox"/> No Fiscal Effect <input type="checkbox"/> Increase Existing Revenues <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Increase Costs <input type="checkbox"/> Decrease Costs <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Indeterminate <input type="checkbox"/> Decrease Existing Revenues <input type="checkbox"/> Could Absorb Within Agency's Budget	
8. The Rule Will Impact the Following (Check All That Apply) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> State's Economy <input type="checkbox"/> Specific Businesses/Sectors <input type="checkbox"/> Local Government Units <input type="checkbox"/> Public Utility Rate Payers <input type="checkbox"/> Small Businesses (if checked, complete Attachment A)	
9. Estimate of Implementation and Compliance to Businesses, Local Governmental Units and Individuals, per s. 227.137(3)(b)(1). \$0	
10. Would Implementation and Compliance Costs Businesses, Local Governmental Units and Individuals Be \$10 Million or more Over Any 2-year Period, per s. 227.137(3)(b)(2)? <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	
11. Policy Problem Addressed by the Rule The objective of the proposed rule is to implement the statutory changes from 2021 Wisconsin Act 253. The Chiropractic Examining board recently passed a rule on Chir 12, however, further discussion on the chapter and the passage of 2021 Wisconsin Act 253 has resulted in a need for the chapter to be revised again. The Chiropractic Examining Board has reviewed the Nutritional Counseling Certification program requirements and has determined to: <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Create a definition of “program sponsor” referencing the Statutes.• Repeal and recreate two outdated notes with current information on how to submit application through the department’s website.• Repeal subsections in chapter 12 that were creating confusion with stakeholders.• Reduce the nutritional counseling education program application deadline from 75 to 60 days prior to the program date.	
12. Summary of the Businesses, Business Sectors, Associations Representing Business, Local Governmental Units, and Individuals that may be Affected by the Proposed Rule that were Contacted for Comments. The proposed rule was posted for a period of 14 days to solicit public comment on economic impact, including how the proposed rules may affect businesses, local government units, and individuals. No comments were received.	
13. Identify the Local Governmental Units that Participated in the Development of this EIA. None.	
14. Summary of Rule's Economic and Fiscal Impact on Specific Businesses, Business Sectors, Public Utility Rate Payers, Local Governmental Units and the State's Economy as a Whole (Include Implementation and Compliance Costs Expected to be Incurred) DSPS estimates a total of \$3,900 in one-time costs for implemetning this rule.The estimated funds support the equivalent of a 0.1 limited term employee and their associated overhead for rulemaking activites and form and website updates. These one-time costs cannot be absorbed in the currently appropriated agency budget.	

ADMINISTRATIVE RULES Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis

15. Benefits of Implementing the Rule and Alternative(s) to Implementing the Rule

The benefits of implementing this rule are that chiropractors will have more clarity in the requirements for nutritional counseling certification and the Statutes.

16. Long Range Implications of Implementing the Rule

The long range implications of implementing this rule are improved practice for Chiropractors in Wisconsin ensuring that the nutritional counseling certification program requirements are consistent with current and academic practice for Chiropractic.

17. Compare With Approaches Being Used by Federal Government

None.

18. Compare With Approaches Being Used by Neighboring States (Illinois, Iowa, Michigan and Minnesota)

Illinois: Illinois does not certify chiropractors in nutritional counseling. The statutory definition of chiropractic physician provides that a chiropractor is not prohibited from providing advice regarding the use of non-prescription products (225 ILCS 60/2).

Iowa: Iowa does not certify chiropractors in nutritional counseling. Iowa statutes provide that licensed chiropractors who make dietetic or nutritional assessments or give dietetic or nutritional advice in the normal practice of their profession are exempted from the requirement to be licensed to practice dietetics (Iowa Code 2017, section 152A.3).

Michigan: Michigan does not certify chiropractors in nutritional counseling. By statutory definition, the practice of chiropractic includes the use of nutritional advice (MCL 333.16401).

Minnesota: Minnesota does not certify chiropractors in nutritional counseling. Minnesota statutes provide no person may engage in dietetics or nutrition practice unless the person is licensed as a dietitian or nutritionist (Minnesota Statutes 2016, section 148.630).

19. Contact Name	20. Contact Phone Number
Sofia Anderson, Administrative Rules Coordinator	608-261-4463

This document can be made available in alternate formats to individuals with disabilities upon request.

ADMINISTRATIVE RULES
Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis

ATTACHMENT A

1. Summary of Rule's Economic and Fiscal Impact on Small Businesses (Separately for each Small Business Sector, Include Implementation and Compliance Costs Expected to be Incurred)

2. Summary of the data sources used to measure the Rule's impact on Small Businesses

3. Did the agency consider the following methods to reduce the impact of the Rule on Small Businesses?

- Less Stringent Compliance or Reporting Requirements
- Less Stringent Schedules or Deadlines for Compliance or Reporting
- Consolidation or Simplification of Reporting Requirements
- Establishment of performance standards in lieu of Design or Operational Standards
- Exemption of Small Businesses from some or all requirements
- Other, describe:

4. Describe the methods incorporated into the Rule that will reduce its impact on Small Businesses

5. Describe the Rule's Enforcement Provisions

6. Did the Agency prepare a Cost Benefit Analysis (if Yes, attach to form)

- Yes No
-