

## ADMINISTRATIVE RULES Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis

<p>1. Type of Estimate and Analysis <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Original   <input type="checkbox"/> Updated   <input type="checkbox"/> Corrected</p>	<p>2. Date November 28 2023</p>
<p>3. Administrative Rule Chapter, Title and Number (and Clearinghouse Number if applicable) DE 3 and 7</p>	
<p>4. Subject Topical Application of Anesthetics by a Dental Hygienist</p>	
<p>5. Fund Sources Affected <input type="checkbox"/> GPR   <input type="checkbox"/> FED   <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> PRO   <input type="checkbox"/> PRS   <input type="checkbox"/> SEG   <input type="checkbox"/> SEG-S</p>	<p>6. Chapter 20, Stats. Appropriations Affected s. 20.165 (1) (g)</p>
<p>7. Fiscal Effect of Implementing the Rule <input type="checkbox"/> No Fiscal Effect   <input type="checkbox"/> Increase Existing Revenues   <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Increase Costs   <input type="checkbox"/> Decrease Costs <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Indeterminate   <input type="checkbox"/> Decrease Existing Revenues   <input type="checkbox"/> Could Absorb Within Agency's Budget</p>	
<p>8. The Rule Will Impact the Following (Check All That Apply) <input type="checkbox"/> State's Economy   <input type="checkbox"/> Specific Businesses/Sectors <input type="checkbox"/> Local Government Units   <input type="checkbox"/> Public Utility Rate Payers <input type="checkbox"/> Small Businesses <b>(if checked, complete Attachment A)</b></p>	
<p>9. Estimate of Implementation and Compliance to Businesses, Local Governmental Units and Individuals, per s. 227.137(3)(b)(1). \$0</p>	
<p>10. Would Implementation and Compliance Costs Businesses, Local Governmental Units and Individuals Be \$10 Million or more Over Any 2-year Period, per s. 227.137(3)(b)(2)? <input type="checkbox"/> Yes   <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No</p>	
<p>11. Policy Problem Addressed by the Rule The proposed rule will update the Dentistry Examining Board's part of the administrative code to allow Dental Hygienists to apply topical anesthetics without the presence of a supervising Dentist.</p>	
<p>12. Summary of the Businesses, Business Sectors, Associations Representing Business, Local Governmental Units, and Individuals that may be Affected by the Proposed Rule that were Contacted for Comments. The rule was posted on the Department of Safety and Professional Service's (DSPS) website for 14 days to solicit public comment on economic impact, including how the proposed rules may affect businesses, local government units, and individuals. No comments were received.</p>	
<p>13. Identify the Local Governmental Units that Participated in the Development of this EIA. N/A</p>	
<p>14. Summary of Rule's Economic and Fiscal Impact on Specific Businesses, Business Sectors, Public Utility Rate Payers, Local Governmental Units and the State's Economy as a Whole (Include Implementation and Compliance Costs Expected to be Incurred) DSPS estimates a total of \$2,100 in one-time costs for staffing and an indeterminate one-time IT impact to implement the rule. The estimated one-time staffing need attributed to the implementation of this rule accounts for staff and board training, website and forms updates, and additional workload associated with a temporary increase in inquiries and community outreach. The one-time estimated costs cannot be absorbed in the currently appropriated agency budget.</p>	
<p>15. Benefits of Implementing the Rule and Alternative(s) to Implementing the Rule The benefit of implementing the rule is expanded practice capabilities for Dental Hygienists.</p>	
<p>16. Long Range Implications of Implementing the Rule The long range implications of implementing the rule are expedited patient care through services provided by Dental Hygienists that do not need to wait for a Dentist to be present to complete .</p>	
<p>17. Compare With Approaches Being Used by Federal Government None.</p>	

## ADMINISTRATIVE RULES Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis

---

18. Compare With Approaches Being Used by Neighboring States (Illinois, Iowa, Michigan and Minnesota)

**Illinois:** In Illinois, a dental hygienist may administer local anesthesia under the supervision of a dentist upon successful completion of a training program approved by the Illinois Department of Financial and Professional Regulation. [225 Illinois Compiled Statutes Section 18]. Additionally, the Illinois Administrative Code specifies that the dental hygienist must function under the supervision of a dentist who remains in the facility when administering local anesthesia [Illinois Administrative Code Title 68 Chapter VII Subchapter b Part 1220 Section 1220.240 (g)].

**Iowa:** In Iowa, administration of local anesthesia by a dental hygienist may only be performed under the direct supervision of a dentist [650 Iowa Administrative Code Chapter 10 Section 10.3 (4)].

**Michigan:** In Michigan, a dental hygienist may apply topical anesthetic agents by prescription of a dentist and subgingival medicaments under assignment from a dentist. Assignment means that the dentist has designated a patient and described the procedures to be performed but does not need to be physically present at the time of those procedures being performed. Michigan Administrative Rules R 338.11401 and 338.11411].

**Minnesota:** In Minnesota, a dental hygienist may administer local anesthesia and place subgingival medicaments under the general supervision of a dentist. Before a dental hygienist administers local anesthesia, they must successfully complete a didactic and clinical program accredited by the Commission on Dental Accreditation [Minnesota Administrative Rules 3100.8700 Subpart. 1].

---

19. Contact Name

Nilajah Hardin, Administrative Rules Coordinator

20. Contact Phone Number

(608) 267-7139

---

This document can be made available in alternate formats to individuals with disabilities upon request.

**ADMINISTRATIVE RULES**  
**Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis**

**ATTACHMENT A**

---

1. Summary of Rule's Economic and Fiscal Impact on Small Businesses (Separately for each Small Business Sector, Include Implementation and Compliance Costs Expected to be Incurred)

---

2. Summary of the data sources used to measure the Rule's impact on Small Businesses

---

3. Did the agency consider the following methods to reduce the impact of the Rule on Small Businesses?

- Less Stringent Compliance or Reporting Requirements
  - Less Stringent Schedules or Deadlines for Compliance or Reporting
  - Consolidation or Simplification of Reporting Requirements
  - Establishment of performance standards in lieu of Design or Operational Standards
  - Exemption of Small Businesses from some or all requirements
  - Other, describe:
- 

4. Describe the methods incorporated into the Rule that will reduce its impact on Small Businesses

---

5. Describe the Rule's Enforcement Provisions

---

6. Did the Agency prepare a Cost Benefit Analysis (if Yes, attach to form)

- Yes    No
-