ADMINISTRATIVE RULES Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis

4. Turne of Estimate and Analysia	
1. Type of Estimate and Analysis ☑ Original □ Updated □Corrected	2. Date
	May 22, 2023
3. Administrative Rule Chapter, Title and Number (and Clearinghouse Number if applicable) Chapter DWD 310 - Fees for General Aptitude Test Battery Services	
4. Subject Repealing obsolete ch. DWD 310	
5. Fund Sources Affected	6. Chapter 20, Stats. Appropriations Affected None
7. Fiscal Effect of Implementing the Rule ☑ No Fiscal Effect ☐ Increase Existing Revenues ☐ Indeterminate ☐ Decrease Existing Revenues	Increase Costs Decrease Costs Could Absorb Within Agency's Budget
8. The Rule Will Impact the Following (Check All That Apply)	
☐ State's Economy ☐ Specific Businesses/Sectors ☐ Local Government Units ☐ Public Utility Rate Payers	
Eucla Government Units Public Utility Rate Payers Small Businesses (if checked, complete Attachment A)	
9. Estimate of Implementation and Compliance to Businesses, Local Governmental Units and Individuals, per s. 227.137(3)(b)(1).	
\$-0-	
10. Would Implementation and Compliance Costs Businesses, Local Governmental Units and Individuals Be \$10 Million or more Over Any 2-year Period, per s. 227.137(3)(b)(2)?	
11. Policy Problem Addressed by the Rule Chapter DWD 310 establishes fees for training services and materials related to the general aptitude test battery (GATB) that the Department furnishes to governmental and nonprofit organizations. However, use of the GATB was discontinued more than 20 years ago and the Department no longer provides GATB-related training services and materials. This rule repeals ch. DWD 310 because the chapter is obsolete.	
 12. Summary of the Businesses, Business Sectors, Associations Representing Business, Local Governmental Units, and Individuals that may be Affected by the Proposed Rule that were Contacted for Comments. The Department solicited comments on economic impact during the 14-day period ending April 17, 2023. No comments were received. No specific businesses were contacted. 	
13. Identify the Local Governmental Units that Participated in the Development of this EIA. None.	
 14. Summary of Rule's Economic and Fiscal Impact on Specific Businesses, Business Sectors, Public Utility Rate Payers, Local Governmental Units and the State's Economy as a Whole (Include Implementation and Compliance Costs Expected to be Incurred) No economic or fiscal impact is anticipated. 	
15. Benefits of Implementing the Rule and Alternative(s) to Implementing the Rule The benefit is removing an obsolete chapter from the Wisconsin Administrative Code. The alternative is to retain the obsolete chapter in the Wisconsin Administrative Code.	
16. Long Range Implications of Implementing the Rule No long-range implications are anticipated.	
17. Compare With Approaches Being Used by Federal Government Not applicable because federal statutes and regulations do not refer to the GATB.	

ADMINISTRATIVE RULES Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis

18. Compare With Approaches Being Used by Neighboring States (Illinois, Iowa, Michigan and Minnesota)Not applicable because, except for Iowa, statutes and administrative rules in neighboring states do not refer to the
GATB. Iowa has an administrative rule that refers to GATB for a purpose that is not related to this rule.19. Contact Name20. Contact Phone NumberSteve Laesch, Assistant Administrator for the Division of
Employment Training(608) 733-3897

This document can be made available in alternate formats to individuals with disabilities upon request.

ADMINISTRATIVE RULES Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis

ATTACHMENT A

1. Summary of Rule's Economic and Fiscal Impact on Small Businesses (Separately for each Small Business Sector, Include Implementation and Compliance Costs Expected to be Incurred)

2. Summary of the data sources used to measure the Rule's impact on Small Businesses

3. Did the agency consider the following methods to reduce the impact of the Rule on Small Businesses?

Less Stringent Compliance or Reporting Requirements

Less Stringent Schedules or Deadlines for Compliance or Reporting

Consolidation or Simplification of Reporting Requirements

Establishment of performance standards in lieu of Design or Operational Standards

Exemption of Small Businesses from some or all requirements

Other, describe:

4. Describe the methods incorporated into the Rule that will reduce its impact on Small Businesses

5. Describe the Rule's Enforcement Provisions

6. Did the Agency prepare a Cost Benefit Analysis (if Yes, attach to form) □ Yes □ No