

ADMINISTRATIVE RULES Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis

| | |
|---|--|
| 1. Type of Estimate and Analysis <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Original <input type="checkbox"/> Updated <input type="checkbox"/> Corrected | 2. Date 4/3/2023 |
| 3. Administrative Rule Chapter, Title and Number (and Clearinghouse Number if applicable) DCF 150, Child support standard | |
| 4. Subject Child support guidelines quadrennial review | |
| 5. Fund Sources Affected <input type="checkbox"/> GPR <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> FED <input type="checkbox"/> PRO <input type="checkbox"/> PRS <input type="checkbox"/> SEG <input type="checkbox"/> SEG-S | 6. Chapter 20, Stats. Appropriations Affected 20.437 (2) (n) and (nL). |
| 7. Fiscal Effect of Implementing the Rule <input type="checkbox"/> No Fiscal Effect <input type="checkbox"/> Increase Existing Revenues <input type="checkbox"/> Increase Costs <input type="checkbox"/> Decrease Costs <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Indeterminate <input type="checkbox"/> Decrease Existing Revenues <input type="checkbox"/> Could Absorb Within Agency's Budget | |
| 8. The Rule Will Impact the Following (Check All That Apply) <input type="checkbox"/> State's Economy <input type="checkbox"/> Specific Businesses/Sectors <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Local Government Units <input type="checkbox"/> Public Utility Rate Payers <input type="checkbox"/> Small Businesses (if checked, complete Attachment A) | |
| 9. Estimate of Implementation and Compliance to Businesses, Local Governmental Units and Individuals, per s. 227.137(3)(b)(1). \$indeterminate | |
| 10. Would Implementation and Compliance Costs Businesses, Local Governmental Units and Individuals Be \$10 Million or more Over Any 2-year Period, per s. 227.137(3)(b)(2)? <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No | |
| 11. Policy Problem Addressed by the Rule Federal regulations require that states review their child support guidelines every 4 years to determine whether the use of the guidelines results in appropriate child support order amounts. Some proposed changes in the rule arose from suggestions from the Guidelines Committee, which was comprised of various stakeholders who work with individuals who will be impacted by these rule changes. Forthwith DCF 150 must come in to compliance with a 2016 federal rule that specifies factors to consider when imputing income and that provides that incarceration may not be treated as voluntary unemployment. | |
| 12. Summary of the Businesses, Business Sectors, Associations Representing Business, Local Governmental Units, and Individuals that may be Affected by the Proposed Rule that were Contacted for Comments. The department solicited comments from the Office of State Courts, Wisconsin Child Support Enforcement Association, Dads of Wisconsin, Center for Family Policy and Practice, Wisconsin Women's Network, State Bar of Wisconsin Family Law Section Board, Wisconsin Father for Children and Families, ABC for Health, Wisconsin Women's Council, Legal Action of Wisconsin, Kids Forward, End Domestic Abuse, and the Family Court Commissioners' Association. | |
| 13. Identify the Local Governmental Units that Participated in the Development of this EIA. No comments were received from a local governmental unit. | |
| 14. Summary of Rule's Economic and Fiscal Impact on Specific Businesses, Business Sectors, Public Utility Rate Payers, Local Governmental Units and the State's Economy as a Whole (Include Implementation and Compliance Costs Expected to be Incurred) The economic impact of the rule on county agencies and individual family units is indeterminate. Tony Bickel of Wisconsin for Children and Families commented that there should be data collected within the past 10 years that examines the actual costs of children and the effects of child support on the households of the payer and payee and on the Wisconsin economy. | |
| 15. Benefits of Implementing the Rule and Alternative(s) to Implementing the Rule Funding under Title IV-D of the Social Security Act covers 66 percent of eligible state child support enforcement expenditures. If failure to comply with 45 CFR 302.56 (c) results in Wisconsin no longer being able to claim federal | |

ADMINISTRATIVE RULES

Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis

Title IV-D funds, the required state funding share for child support enforcement expenditures increases from 34 percent to 100 percent.

16. Long Range Implications of Implementing the Rule
None

17. Compare With Approaches Being Used by Federal Government
The rule brings the state into compliance with 45 CFR 302.56 (c).

18. Compare With Approaches Being Used by Neighboring States (Illinois, Iowa, Michigan and Minnesota)
All states are required to come into compliance with 45 CFR 302.56 (c).

19. Contact Name
Elaine Pridgen

20. Contact Phone Number
(608) 442-7077

This document can be made available in alternate formats to individuals with disabilities upon request.

ADMINISTRATIVE RULES
Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis

ATTACHMENT A

1. Summary of Rule's Economic and Fiscal Impact on Small Businesses (Separately for each Small Business Sector, Include Implementation and Compliance Costs Expected to be Incurred)

None

2. Summary of the data sources used to measure the Rule's impact on Small Businesses

NA

3. Did the agency consider the following methods to reduce the impact of the Rule on Small Businesses?

- Less Stringent Compliance or Reporting Requirements
- Less Stringent Schedules or Deadlines for Compliance or Reporting
- Consolidation or Simplification of Reporting Requirements
- Establishment of performance standards in lieu of Design or Operational Standards
- Exemption of Small Businesses from some or all requirements
- Other, describe:

NA

4. Describe the methods incorporated into the Rule that will reduce its impact on Small Businesses

NA

5. Describe the Rule's Enforcement Provisions

NA

6. Did the Agency prepare a Cost Benefit Analysis (if Yes, attach to form)

Yes No
