ADMINISTRATIVE RULES Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis

1. Type of Estimate and Analysis ☑ Original □ Updated □Corrected	2. Date December 6, 2022	
3. Administrative Rule Chapter, Title and Number (and Clearinghouse Number if applicable) DE 13		
4. Subject Controlled Substances Prescribing Continuing Education Requirements		
5. Fund Sources Affected ☐ GPR ☐ FED ☐ PRO ⊠ PRS ☐ SEG ☐ SEG-S	6. Chapter 20, Stats. Appropriations Affected s. 20.165 (1) (g)	
7. Fiscal Effect of Implementing the Rule No Fiscal Effect Increase Existing Revenues Indeterminate Decrease Existing Revenues	 ☑ Increase Costs ☑ Decrease Costs ☑ Could Absorb Within Agency's Budget 	
8. The Rule Will Impact the Following (Check All That Apply) State's Economy Specific Businesses/Sectors Local Government Units Public Utility Rate Payers Small Businesses (if checked, complete Attachment A)		
9. Estimate of Implementation and Compliance to Businesses, Local Governmental Units and Individuals, per s. 227.137(3)(b)(1). \$0		
 10. Would Implementation and Compliance Costs Businesses, Local Governmental Units and Individuals Be \$10 Million or more Over Any 2-year Period, per s. 227.137(3)(b)(2)? ☐ Yes ☐ No 		
11. Policy Problem Addressed by the Rule The objective of the proposed rule is to extend the 2 hour-controlled substances prescribing continuing education requirement outlined in DE 13.03 (1m) that was only in effect for the 2019 and 2021 license renewal periods.		
 Summary of the Businesses, Business Sectors, Associations Representing Business, Local Governmental Units, and Individuals that may be Affected by the Proposed Rule that were Contacted for Comments. The rule was posted for 14 days on the Department of Safety and Professional Services' website to solicit comments on 		
the potential economic impact. No comments were received. 13. Identify the Local Governmental Units that Participated in the Development of this EIA. None.		
14. Summary of Rule's Economic and Fiscal Impact on Specific Businesses, Business Sectors, Public Utility Rate Payers, Local Governmental Units and the State's Economy as a Whole (Include Implementation and Compliance Costs Expected to be Incurred)		
The rule will not have an economic or fiscal impact on specific businesses, business sectors, public utility rate payers, local governmental units or the state's economy as a whole. The Department estimates a total of \$470 in one-time administrative costs, which may be absorbed in the agency budget.		
15. Benefits of Implementing the Rule and Alternative(s) to Implementing the Rule The benefits of implementing this rule is ongoing continuing education for Dentists on prescribing controlled substances.		
16. Long Range Implications of Implementing the Rule The long range implications of implementing this rule are improved practice for Dentists in the area of prescribing controlled substances in Wisconsin and better overall patient care.		
17. Compare With Approaches Being Used by Federal Government None.		

18. Compare With Approaches Being Used by Neighboring States (Illinois, Iowa, Michigan and Minnesota)

ADMINISTRATIVE RULES Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis

Illinois: The Illinois Department of Financial and Professional Regulation is responsible for the licensure and regulation of Dentists in Illinois, with input from the Illinois Board of Dentistry. The Illinois Board is also responsible for the promulgation of rules to implement certain sections of the Illinois Dental Practice Act. This Act contains requirements for dental practice, including continuing education. Illinois does not require specific continuing education coursework on the topic of prescribing controlled substances for the treatment of dental pain or an equivalent topic [225 Illinois Complied Statutes ch. 25; Illinois Administrative Code Title 68, Chapter 8, Subchapter b, Part 1220, Section 1220.440].

Iowa: The Iowa Dentistry Board is responsible for the licensure and regulation of Dentists in Iowa. Listed in the Iowa Administrative Code are the requirements for dental practice, including continuing education. Iowa requires each licensed dentist who has prescribed opioids during a renewal period to complete at least 1 hour of continuing education on the topic of opioids, which can count toward the 30 required hours for that renewal period. This 1 hour of continuing education content needs to include "guidelines for prescribing opioids, including recommendations on limitations of dosages and the length of prescriptions, risk factors for abuse, and nonopioid and nonpharmacological therapy options." [650 Iowa Administrative Code ch. 25].

Michigan: The Michigan Board of Dentistry is responsible for the licensure and regulation of Dentists in Michigan. Act 368 Article 15 of the Michigan Compiled Laws includes the regulations for dentistry in Michigan, among several other occupations. These regulations include requirements for continuing education. Michigan does not require specific continuing education coursework on the topic of prescribing controlled substances for the treatment of dental pain or an equivalent topic [Michigan Compiled Laws s. 333.166].

Minnesota: The Minnesota Board of Dentistry is responsible for the licensure and regulation of Dentists in Minnesota. Part 3100 of the Minnesota Administrative Code includes the regulations for dentistry in Minnesota, including the requirements for continuing education. Minnesota does not require specific continuing education coursework on the topic of prescribing controlled substances for the treatment of dental pain or an equivalent topic [Minnesota Administrative Rules part 3100.5100].

19. Contact Name	20. Contact Phone Number
Nilajah Hardin, Administrative Rules Coordinator	608-267-7139

This document can be made available in alternate formats to individuals with disabilities upon request.

ADMINISTRATIVE RULES Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis

ATTACHMENT A

1. Summary of Rule's Economic and Fiscal Impact on Small Businesses (Separately for each Small Business Sector, Include Implementation and Compliance Costs Expected to be Incurred)

2. Summary of the data sources used to measure the Rule's impact on Small Businesses

- 3. Did the agency consider the following methods to reduce the impact of the Rule on Small Businesses?
- Less Stringent Compliance or Reporting Requirements
- Less Stringent Schedules or Deadlines for Compliance or Reporting
- Consolidation or Simplification of Reporting Requirements
- Establishment of performance standards in lieu of Design or Operational Standards
- Exemption of Small Businesses from some or all requirements

Other, describe:

4. Describe the methods incorporated into the Rule that will reduce its impact on Small Businesses

5. Describe the Rule's Enforcement Provisions

6. Did the Agency prepare a Cost Benefit Analysis (if Yes, attach to form)

🗌 Yes 🗌 No