## ADMINISTRATIVE RULES Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis

| 1. Type of Estimate and Analysis<br>⊠ Original □ Updated □Corrected  | 2. Date<br>11/15/22   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|--|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| 3. Administrative Rule Chapter, Title and Number (and Clearinghou<br>DCF 61, Qualified Residential Treatment Programs  | se Number if applicable)  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4. Subject<br>Qualified residential treatment programs   |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5. Fund Sources Affected   | 6. Chapter 20, Stats. Appropriations Affected   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7. Fiscal Effect of Implementing the Rule         □ No Fiscal Effect       □ Increase Existing Revenues         □ Indeterminate       □ Decrease Existing Revenues   | □ Increase Costs □ Decrease Costs □ Could Absorb Within Agency's Budget   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| □ Local Government Units   | cific Businesses/Sectors<br>ic Utility Rate Payers<br>Il Businesses <b>(if checked, complete Attachment A)</b>  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9. Estimate of Implementation and Compliance to Businesses, Loca   | al Governmental Units and Individuals, per s. 227.137(3)(b)(1).   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$Indeterminate  | al Covernmental Unite and Individuale Re \$10 Million or more Over  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10. Would Implementation and Compliance Costs Businesses, Loca<br>Any 2-year Period, per s. 227.137(3)(b)(2)?  | al Governmental Units and Individuals Be \$10 Million of more Over  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ☐ Yes       ☑ No         11. Policy Problem Addressed by the Rule  |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | ament certification operation and monitoring of and the   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| The proposed rule specifies the requirements for the establishment, certification, operation, and monitoring of, and the placement of a child in, a qualified residential treatment program under s. 48.675, Stats.  |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| <ul> <li>12. Summary of the Businesses, Business Sectors, Associations Representing Business, Local Governmental Units, and Individuals that may be Affected by the Proposed Rule that were Contacted for Comments.</li> <li>The department solicited comments from county and tribal human and social services agencies; licensed residential care centers for children and youth, group homes, and shelter care facilities; and the Wisconsin Association of Family and Child Agencies.</li> </ul> |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 13. Identify the Local Governmental Units that Participated in the D<br>Chippewa County Department of Human Services and Milw  | •   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| <ol> <li>Summary of Rule's Economic and Fiscal Impact on Specific Bus<br/>Governmental Units and the State's Economy as a Whole (Incl<br/>Incurred)</li> </ol>   |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ,  | d with establishing certification, licensing, and monitoring once established, the Department may claim federal |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Since September 29, 2021, federal reimbursement for the car<br>center for children and youth, group home, or shelter care fac<br>the child is placed in a qualified residential treatment program<br>claimed \$8.2 million in FFY 2019 and \$8.3M in FFY 2020 f  | cility, is only available for the first 2 weeks in care, unless   |  |  |  |  |  |  |

1

in place, DCF would receive significantly reduced title IV-E reimbursement on these claims. Projected claiming reimbursement totals under these rules are indeterminate due to a number of factors, including the number of QRTPs

## ADMINISTRATIVE RULES Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis

certified and number of placements across different settings.

The Department is able to absorb the costs to certify (DCF 61.04), review (DCF 61.05), and monitor compliance of (DCF 61.06-61.09) QRTPs using existing budget and staff. These rules are required under federal law. In turn, DCF will receive increased federal reimbursement on claims for children and youth placed in these settings (under 42 USC 672 (k) (1) and (2)). The Title IV-E reimbursements for placements (and all eligibile foster care placements) are directly part of the funding mix provided to counties via the Children and Families Allocation.

Further, DCF 61.03 (2) requires that certified QRTPs have a registered nurse or licensed practical nurse staff and other clinical staff who meet a number of conditions. The Department has established a centralized nursing service contract to meet these required conditions using existing federal funds and GPR for SFY22 and SFY23. QRTPs certified by the Department under this chapter are able to use this nursing service to satisfy this requirement.

DCF 61 was implemented by emergency rule effective September 29, 2021. In general, QRTP certified facilities are charging higher rates for their services compared to facilities that are not certified. However, this rule does not require facilities to become certified. Placement and aftercare services are paid by county and tribal human and social service agencies, the Division of Milwaukee Child Protective Services, and licensed child-placing agencies, however this rule does not require that these agencies place children in QRTP certified placements.

15. Benefits of Implementing the Rule and Alternative(s) to Implementing the Rule

Federal reimbursement of costs for the care and maintenance of a child placed in a residential care center for children and youth, group home, or shelter care facility, is only available if the child is placed in a qualified residential treatment program or other federally-authorized treatment program, unless the placement is less than 2 weeks.

16. Long Range Implications of Implementing the Rule

17. Compare With Approaches Being Used by Federal Government

The requirements in the rule are the same as the requirements in 42 USC 672 (k) (4) with minor additions necessary for implementation.

18. Compare With Approaches Being Used by Neighboring States (Illinois, Iowa, Michigan and Minnesota) All states are implementing qualified residential treatment programs that incorporate the requirements of federal law. Minnesota's QRTP rule also specifies conditions of the required trauma-informed treatment model related to the process for identifying and addressing trauma in youth; the provision of services; the physical, social, and emotional environment; staff training requirements; and the program's policies and procedures.

| 19. Contact Name | 0 1 | , | 1 0 | 1 | 20. Contact Phone Number |
|------------------|-----|---|-----|---|--------------------------|
| Dana Johnson     |     |   |     |   | (920) 785-7832           |

This document can be made available in alternate formats to individuals with disabilities upon request.

## ADMINISTRATIVE RULES Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis

## ATTACHMENT A

1. Summary of Rule's Economic and Fiscal Impact on Small Businesses (Separately for each Small Business Sector, Include Implementation and Compliance Costs Expected to be Incurred)

Congregate care facilities that chose to become certified to operate a qualified residential treatment program may incur additional costs and may charge higher rates for their services.

2. Summary of the data sources used to measure the Rule's impact on Small Businesses NA 3. Did the agency consider the following methods to reduce the impact of the Rule on Small Businesses? Less Stringent Compliance or Reporting Requirements Less Stringent Schedules or Deadlines for Compliance or Reporting Consolidation or Simplification of Reporting Requirements Establishment of performance standards in lieu of Design or Operational Standards Exemption of Small Businesses from some or all requirements Other, describe: NA 4. Describe the methods incorporated into the Rule that will reduce its impact on Small Businesses NA 5. Describe the Rule's Enforcement Provisions NA 6. Did the Agency prepare a Cost Benefit Analysis (if Yes, attach to form) Yes 🛛 No