## ADMINISTRATIVE RULES Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis

1. Type of Estimate and Analysis ☑ Original □ Updated □Corrected		2. Date		
		July 27, 2022		
3. Administrative Rule Chapter, Title and Number (and Clearinghouse Number if applicable) Adm 35				
4. Subject Clean Water Fund / Environmental Improvement Fund				
5. Fund Sources Affected ☐ GPR   ☑ FED   □ PRO   □ PRS   □ SEG   ☑ SEG-S	6. Chapter 20, Stats. Appropriations Affected 20.320			
7. Fiscal Effect of Implementing the Rule         ⊠ No Fiscal Effect       □ Increase Existing Revenues         □ Indeterminate       □ Decrease Existing Revenues	Increase	Costs    Decrease Costs  Dosorb Within Agency's Budget		
8. The Rule Will Impact the Following (Check All That Apply)				
State's Economy Specific Businesses/Sectors				
	lic Utility Rate Payers			
Small Businesses (if checked, complete Attachment A)				
<ol> <li>9. Estimate of Implementation and Compliance to Businesses, Local Governmental Units and Individuals, per s. 227.137(3)(b)(1).</li> <li>\$0</li> </ol>				
<ul> <li>10. Would Implementation and Compliance Costs Businesses, Local Governmental Units and Individuals Be \$10 Million or more Over Any 2-year Period, per s. 227.137(3)(b)(2)?</li> <li>☐ Yes ☑ No</li> </ul>				
11. Policy Problem Addressed by the Rule				
The EIF has changed significantly since the last revision of Adm 35 in 2002. Due to its growth and financial maturity, as				
well as structural changes to the fund, there are different administrative and policy needs compared to 2002. Updating				
Adm 35 will help DOA better manage EIF processes.				
12. Summary of the Businesses, Business Sectors, Associations Representing Business, Local Governmental Units, and Individuals				
that may be Affected by the Proposed Rule that were Contacted for Comments. On May 9, 2022, DOA posted a draft rulemaking order and redline of proposed revisions to				
https://doa.wi.gov/Pages/StateFinances/CapitalFinanceLaunch.aspx. DOA distributed the draft order and redline on an				
email distribution list maintained by DNR. DNR estimates that the email distribution list contains 2,000 active email				
addresses. The distribution list includes all local governments who have applied for loans (unless they requested to be				
removed from the list), and engineers, consultants, and attorneys associated with loan applications. DOA also shared the				
documents with associations representing local governments and businesses, including the Wisconsin American Water				
Works Association, League of Wisconsin Municipalities, Wisconsin Government Finance Officers Association, and				
Wisconsin Rural Water Association.				
13. Identify the Local Governmental Units that Participated in the Development of this EIA.				
DOA shared a draft of this EIA with the DNR distribution list and the associations identified in section 12 above. As of				
July 27, 2022, DOA received a comment from the Village of Bristol. The comment addressed the economic impacts of				
water and sewer infrastructure projects but did not note any economic impacts from proposed revisions to Adm 35.				
14. Summary of Rule's Economic and Fiscal Impact on Specific Businesses, Business Sectors, Public Utility Rate Payers, Local Governmental Units and the State's Economy as a Whole (Include Implementation and Compliance Costs Expected to be Incurred)				
DOA estimates that proposed revisions will have no economic or fiscal impact. Rule sections that have benefits or costs				
for local governmental units or public utility rate payers are not changing significantly enough to alter those benefits or				
costs.				
AE Dependies of learning the Date and Alternative (a) to learning at the Date				

15. Benefits of Implementing the Rule and Alternative(s) to Implementing the Rule

## ADMINISTRATIVE RULES Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis

Proposed revisions would remove obsolete, unnecessary, or duplicative provisions of Adm 35, and meet DOA's changing administrative and policy needs. Proposed revisions would also reduce duplication with the Department of Natural Resources' EIF rules in NR 162 and 166 and Wis. Stats. ss. 281.58, 281.59, and 281.61.

As an alternative to implementing the rule, DOA could continue operating under the current rule. Obsolete and duplicative parts of Adm 35 would occasion some inconvenience. The inconvenience would likely be manageable.

16. Long Range Implications of Implementing the Rule

The federal 2021 Bipartisan Infrastructure Law temporarily significantly increased federal grants to state revolving funds. DOA anticipates that the federal government will continue to provide water and sewer infrastructure funding through state revolving funds.

Proposed revisions to Adm 35 to incorporate changes since 2002 will help the EIF efficiently use federal funding and provide sustainable infrastructure funding without additional state support.

17. Compare With Approaches Being Used by Federal Government

Not applicable. The federal government gives states annual grants to operate revolving loan funds for water, wastewater, and storm water infrastructure. The federal government does not itself administer a revolving loan fund.

18. Compare With Approaches Being Used by Neighboring States (Illinois, Iowa, Michigan and Minnesota)

Wisconsin's EIF is broadly similar to state revolving funds in neighboring states. Neighboring states' programs receive annual federal grants. They fund municipal water, wastewater, and storm water projects by purchasing bonds issued by borrowers at below-market interest rates. Neighboring states periodically update the below-market interest rates for loans. Neighboring states attach various requirements to funding, such as restricting prepayments, requiring borrowers to pass a credit review, and requiring borrowers to obtain a bond counsel opinion for the bonds issued.

pass a credit review, and requiring borrowers to obtain a bond counser opinion for the bonds issued.		
19. Contact Name	20. Contact Phone Number	
Andrew Behm	608-266-0739	

This document can be made available in alternate formats to individuals with disabilities upon request.

## ADMINISTRATIVE RULES Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis

## ATTACHMENT A

1. Summary of Rule's Economic and Fiscal Impact on Small Businesses (Separately for each Small Business Sector, Include Implementation and Compliance Costs Expected to be Incurred)

To be eligible, applicants must be municipalities as defined in Wis Stats 281.59(1)(c). Since small businesses are not eligible to apply, the proposed rule has no economic or fiscal impact on small businesses.

2. Summary of the data sources used to measure the Rule's impact on Small Businesses None

3. Did the agency consider the following methods to reduce the impact of the Rule on Small Businesses?

Less Stringent Compliance or Reporting Requirements

Less Stringent Schedules or Deadlines for Compliance or Reporting

Consolidation or Simplification of Reporting Requirements

Establishment of performance standards in lieu of Design or Operational Standards

Exemption of Small Businesses from some or all requirements

Other, describe:

4. Describe the methods incorporated into the Rule that will reduce its impact on Small Businesses

Not applicable

5. Describe the Rule's Enforcement Provisions

Not applicable

6. Did the Agency prepare a Cost Benefit Analysis (if Yes, attach to form)

🗌 Yes 🛛 No