ADMINISTRATIVE RULES Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis

1. Type of Estimate and Analysis	2. Date	
Original Updated Corrected	06/29/22	
3. Administrative Rule Chapter, Title and Number (and Clearinghouse Number if applicable) DE 11		
4. Subject Definitions		
5. Fund Sources Affected	6. Chapter 20, Stats. Appropriations Affected	
7. Fiscal Effect of Implementing the Rule ☑ No Fiscal Effect ☐ Increase Existing Revenues ☐ Indeterminate ☐ Decrease Existing Revenues	□ Increase Costs □ Decrease Costs □ Could Absorb Within Agency's Budget	
8. The Rule Will Impact the Following (Check All That Apply) State's Economy Specific Businesses/Sectors Local Government Units Public Utility Rate Payers Small Businesses (if checked, complete Attachment A)		
9. Estimate of Implementation and Compliance to Businesses, Local Governmental Units and Individuals, per s. 227.137(3)(b)(1). \$0		
 10. Would Implementation and Compliance Costs Businesses, Local Governmental Units and Individuals Be \$10 Million or more Over Any 2-year Period, per s. 227.137(3)(b)(2)? ☐ Yes ☐ No 		
11. Policy Problem Addressed by the Rule The Dentistry Examining Board has determined a need to modify the definition of "enteral". The Board will also clarify other definitions as necessary to be consistent with American Dental Association guidelines and review other rule provisions to ensure clarity.		
 12. Summary of the Businesses, Business Sectors, Associations Representing Business, Local Governmental Units, and Individuals that may be Affected by the Proposed Rule that were Contacted for Comments. The proposed rules were posted on the Department's website for a period of 14 days to solicit public comment on economic impact, including how the proposed rules may affect businesses, local government units, and individuals. No comments were received. 		
13. Identify the Local Governmental Units that Participated in the Development of this EIA. None		
14. Summary of Rule's Economic and Fiscal Impact on Specific Businesses, Business Sectors, Public Utility Rate Payers, Local Governmental Units and the State's Economy as a Whole (Include Implementation and Compliance Costs Expected to be Incurred) None.		
15. Benefits of Implementing the Rule and Alternative(s) to Implementing the Rule The benefit of implementing the rule is consistency with the definitions provided by the Americal Dental Association, which are standard for dental anesthesia practice. The alternative to implementing the rule is lack of clarity on certain definitions for the practice of dental anesthesia in Wisconsin when compared to other states and across the country.		
16. Long Range Implications of Implementing the Rule The long range implications of implementing the rule are consistency in dental anesthesia practice with the American Dental Association and therefore all other jursidictions that similarly follow their guidance for the profession.		
17. Compare With Approaches Being Used by Federal Government None.		

ADMINISTRATIVE RULES Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis

18. Compare With Approaches Being Used by Neighboring States (Illinois, Iowa, Michigan and Minnesota) Illinois: The Illinois Department of Financial and Professional Regulation is responsible for the licensure and regulation of Dentists in Illinois, with input from the Illinois Board of Dentistry. The Illinois Board is also responsible for the promulgation of rules to implement certain sections of the Illinois Dental Practice Act. This Act contains requirements for dental practice, including dentistry done under anesthesia or sedation. [225 Illinois Complied Statutes ch. 25]

Iowa: The Iowa Dentistry Board is responsible for the licensure and regulation of Dentists in Iowa. Listed in the Iowa Administrative Code are the requirements for dental practice, including dentistry done under anesthesia or sedation. [650 Iowa Administrative Code ch. 29]

Michigan: The Michigan Board of Dentistry is responsible for the licensure and regulation of Dentists in Michigan. Act 368 Article 15 of the Michigan Compiled Laws includes the regulations for dentistry in Michigan, among several other occupations. These regulations include requirements for anesthesia and sedation dentistry. [Michigan Compiled Laws s. 333.166]

Minnesota: The Minnesota Board of Dentistry is responsible for the licensure and regulation of Dentists in Minnesota. Part 3100 of the Minnesota Administrative Code includes the regulations for dentistry in Minnesota, including the requirements for anesthesia and sedation dentistry. [Minnesota Administrative Rules part 3100.3600]

requirements for unestitesta and sedución dentistry. [inimiesota rianinistrative riales part 5100.5000]	
19. Contact Name	20. Contact Phone Number
Nilajah Hardin, Administrative Rules Coordinator	(608) 267-7139

This document can be made available in alternate formats to individuals with disabilities upon request.

ADMINISTRATIVE RULES Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis

ATTACHMENT A

1. Summary of Rule's Economic and Fiscal Impact on Small Businesses (Separately for each Small Business Sector, Include Implementation and Compliance Costs Expected to be Incurred)

2. Summary of the data sources used to measure the Rule's impact on Small Businesses

- 3. Did the agency consider the following methods to reduce the impact of the Rule on Small Businesses?
- Less Stringent Compliance or Reporting Requirements
- Less Stringent Schedules or Deadlines for Compliance or Reporting
- Consolidation or Simplification of Reporting Requirements
- Establishment of performance standards in lieu of Design or Operational Standards
- Exemption of Small Businesses from some or all requirements

Other, describe:

4. Describe the methods incorporated into the Rule that will reduce its impact on Small Businesses

5. Describe the Rule's Enforcement Provisions

6. Did the Agency prepare a Cost Benefit Analysis (if Yes, attach to form)

🗌 Yes 🗌 No