1. Type of Estimate and Analysis ☐ Original ⊠ Updated ☐Corrected		
2. Administrative Rule Chapter, Title and Number Chapter Trans 327 - MOTOR CARRIER SAFETY		
3. Subject Update Chapter Trans 327 to meet Federal Motor Carrier Saf	Sety Regulations (FMCSR)	
4. Fund Sources Affected ☐ GPR ☐ FED ☐ PRO ☐ PRS ☐ SEG ☐ SEG-S	5. Chapter 20, Stats. Appropriations Affected 20.395(5)(dy)	
6. Fiscal Effect of Implementing the Rule ⊠ No Fiscal Effect □ Increase Existing Revenues □ Indeterminate □ Decrease Existing Revenues	 Increase Costs Could Absorb Within Agency's Budget Decrease Cost 	
Local Government Units Public	rific Businesses/Sectors ic Utility Rate Payers Il Businesses (if checked, complete Attachment A)	
8. Would Implementation and Compliance Costs Be Greater Than \$		
9. Policy Problem Addressed by the Rule Update current language to meet Federal Motor Carrier Safet	y Administration (FMCSA) 2009 Audit.	
 Summary of the businesses, business sectors, associations representing business, local governmental units, and individuals that may be affected by the proposed rule that were contacted for comments. Wisconsin School Bus Association and Wisconsin Motor Carrier Association 		
11. Identify the local governmental units that participated in the development.	elopment of this EIA.	
 12. Summary of Rule's Economic and Fiscal Impact on Specific Bus Governmental Units and the State's Economy as a Whole (Incl Incurred) Intrastate commercial motor vehicles that do not meet outline Logging Device (ELD) installed. The estimated average one-requirements of this rule is approximately \$165. There are ot software options not required by this rule. The FMCSA has e ELD requirements to take advantage of additional telematics can be purchased as add-ons to the devices. These additional annual subscription. While the rule does not require carriers to rule was passed, FMCSA conservatively assumed that driver 	ude Implementation and Compliance Costs Expected to be ed exemptions will be required to have an Electronic time cost of a base level ELD meeting the basic logging her units costing upwards of \$832 that offer additional estimated that most carriers will elect to exceed the base , geographical location, and fleet management services that telematic services are estimated to be \$419 per unit for an to purchase an ELD with telematics, when the final federal	
In fiscal year 2021, there were 25,917 trucks registered in W	isconsin weighing between 32,000 and 80,000 pounds with	

1. Short-haul operations: The driver operates within a 150 air-mile radius (172.6 statute miles) of the normal work reporting location and returns to the normal work reporting location within 14 hours. This is very common.

a base plate. Many of these vehicles are exempt from needing an ELD in intrastate commerce. The exemptions include:

- 2. The vehicle does not meet the definition of a commercial motor vehicle in s. 340.01 (8), Stats. There are no hours-of-service limits for drivers of these vehicles no matter the distance traveled or amount of time in work status.
- 3. Drivers who use paper to record their record of duty status (RODS) for not more than 8-days during any 30day period. This allows a motor carrier/driver to extend the 150 air mile radius from point 1 above, for not more than 8 days in a rolling 30-day period.
- 4. Drivers who conduct driveaway-towaway operations, where the vehicle being driven is the commodity being delivered.
- 5. Drivers of vehicles manufactured before model year 2000.

Based on those exemptions, the Division of State Patrol (DSP) estimates that 10%, or 2,591, of registered Wisconsin intrastate trucks will need to install an ELD. The overall cost for Wisconsin intrastate commercial motor vehicles that are not exempt to install a device that is compliant with the basic ELD regulations is estimated to be approximately \$427,515. However, if all carriers were to subscribe to optional ELD fleet management and other telematics services as FMCSA estimates, but not required by this rule, installation cost would range from \$427,515 to \$2,155,712 with \$1,085,629 in annual subscription fees.

13. Benefits of Implementing the Rule and Alternative(s) to Implementing the Rule If this rule is not implemented, DSP would be in jeopardy of not receiving full Motor Carrier Safety Assistance Program (MCSAP) grant funds of \$9.3 million awarded to the state from MCSAP annually. Safety is a priority of the DSP. The ELD requirement would help keep fatigued commercial motor vehicle drivers from driving which in turn reduces crashes.

ELDs significantly reduce drivers' paperwork and recordkeeping duties. FMCSA's Regulatory Impact Analysis (RIA) estimated that the savings in clerical time spent retaining paper RODS and eliminating the need to purchase paper logbooks is \$144 and \$42, respectively. Additionally, drivers' time spent completing RODS and forwarding RODS to their employers while away from the motor carriers' terminal is \$558 and \$65, respectively. The total annual paperwork savings is \$809 per driver. In Wisconsin, these savings amount to \$2,096,119 a year.

FMCSA's RIA calculated an average safety benefit of \$187 per long haul ELDs. The safety benefit for long haul ELDs is \$484,517. FMCSA estimates that ELDs save 26 lives, prevent 562 injuries, and avoid 1844 crashes nationally. There is no data that estimates how many of these lives, injuries, and crashes happen in Wisconsin, making the estimated savings for crashes, injuries, and deaths indeterminate.

Under 49 CFR 350.231, all states that are noncompliant are at risk of losing federal funds. The department is currently awarded \$9.3 million in MCSAP grant funding. A no-action alternative would significantly reduce funding. During the fiscal year that FMCSA notifies the state of its noncompliance, the department risks up to 5 percent of MCSAP funds. The department then risks up to 10 percent of MCSAP funds for the first fiscal year of noncompliance, 25 percent for the second year, and up to 50 percent for the third and subsequent full fiscal year of noncompliance.

14. Long Range Implications of Implementing the Rule

ELD requirement: The FMCSA released "Evaluating the Potential Safety Benefits of Electronic Hours of Service Recorders Final Report," a report from the Center for Truck and Bus Safety of Virginia Tech Transportation Institute. The report found that commercial drivers using ELDs had a significantly lower total crash rate (11.7% reduction) and a significantly lower preventable crash rate (5.1% reduction) than those who do not use ELDs.

15. Compare With Approaches Being Used by Federal Government

These rule changes conform to language used by the federal government.

16. Compare With Approaches Being Used by Neighboring States (Illinois, Iowa, Michigan and Minnesota) To remain eligible for MCSAP funding, all states are required to enact laws that are compatible with the Federal Motor Carrier Safety Regulations. 49 CFR 350.309. Illinois, Iowa, Michigan, and Minnesota have all implemented laws that are compatible with the Federal Motor Carrier Safety Regulations.

17. Contact Name	18. Contact Phone Number
Captain Karl Mittelstadt	608-215-2804

This document can be made available in alternate formats to individuals with disabilities upon request.

ATTACHMENT A

1. Summary of Rule's Economic and Fiscal Impact on Small Businesses (Separately for each Small Business Sector, Include	е
Implementation and Compliance Costs Expected to be Incurred)	

It is not known how many carriers employ drivers who will require an ELD to be installed in a commercial motor vehicle in intrastate commerce. Installation of an ELD into a commercial motor vehicle as defined in s. 340.01 (8) and operating in intrastate commerce is estimated to cost approximately \$165 per truck. This estimate can be increased to \$832 per truck if the carrier or driver elects to purchase available add-on services which are not required by this rule. However, once an ELD is installed the carrier is no longer required to keep time records in the commercial motor vehicle which will save on time and costs.

2. Summary of the data sources used to measure the Rule's impact on Small Businesses ELD costs were gathered from various ELD provider websites.

3. Did the agency consider the following methods to reduce the impact of the Rule on Small Businesses?

Less Stringent Compliance or Reporting Requirements

Less Stringent Schedules or Deadlines for Compliance or Reporting

Consolidation or Simplification of Reporting Requirements

Establishment of performance standards in lieu of Design or Operational Standards

Exemption of Small Businesses from some or all requirements

Other, describe:

4. Describe the methods incorporated into the Rule that will reduce its impact on Small Businesses

The ELD requirement will not be required for 1 year after the effective date of this rule.

5. Describe the Rule's Enforcement Provisions

The ELD requirement will not be required for 1 year after the effective date of this rule.

6. Did the Agency prepare a Cost Benefit Analysis (if Yes, attach to form)

🗌 Yes 🖾 No