

ADMINISTRATIVE RULES Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis

<p>1. Type of Estimate and Analysis <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Original <input type="checkbox"/> Updated <input type="checkbox"/> Corrected</p>	<p>2. Date 8/3/21</p>
<p>3. Administrative Rule Chapter, Title and Number (and Clearinghouse Number if applicable) DOC 328</p>	
<p>4. Subject Revisions to DOC 328, related to Community Supervision of Offenders</p>	
<p>5. Fund Sources Affected <input type="checkbox"/> GPR <input type="checkbox"/> FED <input type="checkbox"/> PRO <input type="checkbox"/> PRS <input type="checkbox"/> SEG <input type="checkbox"/> SEG-S</p>	<p>6. Chapter 20, Stats. Appropriations Affected none</p>
<p>7. Fiscal Effect of Implementing the Rule <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No Fiscal Effect <input type="checkbox"/> Increase Existing Revenues <input type="checkbox"/> Increase Costs <input type="checkbox"/> Decrease Costs <input type="checkbox"/> Indeterminate <input type="checkbox"/> Decrease Existing Revenues <input type="checkbox"/> Could Absorb Within Agency's Budget</p>	
<p>8. The Rule Will Impact the Following (Check All That Apply) <input type="checkbox"/> State's Economy <input type="checkbox"/> Specific Businesses/Sectors <input type="checkbox"/> Local Government Units <input type="checkbox"/> Public Utility Rate Payers <input type="checkbox"/> Small Businesses (if checked, complete Attachment A)</p>	
<p>9. Estimate of Implementation and Compliance to Businesses, Local Governmental Units and Individuals, per s. 227.137(3)(b)(1). \$N/A</p>	
<p>10. Would Implementation and Compliance Costs Businesses, Local Governmental Units and Individuals Be \$10 Million or more Over Any 2-year Period, per s. 227.137(3)(b)(2)? <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No</p>	
<p>11. Policy Problem Addressed by the Rule DOC seeks to repeal DOC 328.04(3)(e), 328.04(3)(j), 328.04(3)(k), and 328.04(3)(o) to remove outdated or potentially onerous rule sections. The Department also seeks to amend DOC 328.04(3)(d) to simplify the provision in an effort to increase offender compliance.</p>	
<p>12. Summary of the Businesses, Business Sectors, Associations Representing Business, Local Governmental Units, and Individuals that may be Affected by the Proposed Rule that were Contacted for Comments. N/A</p>	
<p>13. Identify the Local Governmental Units that Participated in the Development of this EIA. N/A</p>	
<p>14. Summary of Rule's Economic and Fiscal Impact on Specific Businesses, Business Sectors, Public Utility Rate Payers, Local Governmental Units and the State's Economy as a Whole (Include Implementation and Compliance Costs Expected to be Incurred) This rule does not regulate small businesses as that term is defined in s.227.114, Stats, and therefore DOC has determined the changes will not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small businesses.</p>	
<p>15. Benefits of Implementing the Rule and Alternative(s) to Implementing the Rule Implementation of this rule will remove outdated or potentially onerous rule sections. The changes also seek to increase offender compliance. The Department could choose not to propose the revisions, which would mean the rule would continue to be outdated and/or potentially onerous.</p>	
<p>16. Long Range Implications of Implementing the Rule Indeterminate, as it is not possible to predict the frequency with which the changes will impact DOC's population. It is anticipated the changes to 328.04(3)(d) will simplify the provision which could lead to increased offender compliance.</p>	
<p>17. Compare With Approaches Being Used by Federal Government There are no existing or proposed federal regulations that address the activities to be regulated by the proposed rule.</p>	
<p>18. Compare With Approaches Being Used by Neighboring States (Illinois, Iowa, Michigan and Minnesota)</p>	

ADMINISTRATIVE RULES
Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis

All adjacent states have standard rules of community supervision and adjacent states generally have similar standard rules of community supervision to Wisconsin.

19. Contact Name

Dawn Woeshnick

20. Contact Phone Number

608-240-5417

This document can be made available in alternate formats to individuals with disabilities upon request.

ADMINISTRATIVE RULES Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis

ATTACHMENT A

1. Summary of Rule's Economic and Fiscal Impact on Small Businesses (Separately for each Small Business Sector, Include Implementation and Compliance Costs Expected to be Incurred)

2. Summary of the data sources used to measure the Rule's impact on Small Businesses

3. Did the agency consider the following methods to reduce the impact of the Rule on Small Businesses?

- Less Stringent Compliance or Reporting Requirements
 - Less Stringent Schedules or Deadlines for Compliance or Reporting
 - Consolidation or Simplification of Reporting Requirements
 - Establishment of performance standards in lieu of Design or Operational Standards
 - Exemption of Small Businesses from some or all requirements
 - Other, describe:
-

4. Describe the methods incorporated into the Rule that will reduce its impact on Small Businesses

5. Describe the Rule's Enforcement Provisions

6. Did the Agency prepare a Cost Benefit Analysis (if Yes, attach to form)

- Yes No
-