

ADMINISTRATIVE RULES Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis

1. Type of Estimate and Analysis <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Original <input type="checkbox"/> Updated <input type="checkbox"/> Corrected	2. Date 4/27/2021
3. Administrative Rule Chapter, Title and Number (and Clearinghouse Number if applicable) RAD 2 and 3	
4. Subject Requirements for licenses and permits	
5. Fund Sources Affected <input type="checkbox"/> GPR <input type="checkbox"/> FED <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> PRO <input type="checkbox"/> PRS <input type="checkbox"/> SEG <input type="checkbox"/> SEG-S	6. Chapter 20, Stats. Appropriations Affected 20.165(1)(g)
7. Fiscal Effect of Implementing the Rule <input type="checkbox"/> No Fiscal Effect <input type="checkbox"/> Increase Existing Revenues <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Increase Costs <input type="checkbox"/> Decrease Costs <input type="checkbox"/> Indeterminate <input type="checkbox"/> Decrease Existing Revenues <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Could Absorb Within Agency's Budget	
8. The Rule Will Impact the Following (Check All That Apply) <input type="checkbox"/> State's Economy <input type="checkbox"/> Specific Businesses/Sectors <input type="checkbox"/> Local Government Units <input type="checkbox"/> Public Utility Rate Payers <input type="checkbox"/> Small Businesses (if checked, complete Attachment A)	
9. Estimate of Implementation and Compliance to Businesses, Local Governmental Units and Individuals, per s. 227.137(3)(b)(1). \$0	
10. Would Implementation and Compliance Costs Businesses, Local Governmental Units and Individuals Be \$10 Million or more Over Any 2-year Period, per s. 227.137(3)(b)(2)? <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	
11. Policy Problem Addressed by the Rule The Radiography Examining Board conducted an evaluation of chs. RAD 2 and 3 to ensure consistency with current licensing and permitting practices, applicable Wisconsin statutes, and standards for drafting administrative rules. As a result, updates have been made to do all of the following: <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Create provisions under ss. RAD 2.04 and 3.065 to implement s. 440.09, Stats., as amended by 2019 Wisconsin Act 143. Section 440.09, Stats., specifies the requirements for issuing reciprocal credentials to service members, former service members, and the spouses of service members and former service members.• Revise ss. RAD 2.05 (2) and 3.07 (2) to reflect ss. 111.321, 111.322, and 111.335, Stats., which provide the circumstances under which a licensing agency may refuse to license an individual on the basis of the individual's arrest or conviction record.• Repeal ss. RAD 2.06 and 3.06, which are no longer necessary as they contain requirements for applications filed prior to March 1, 2012.• Make other changes to chs. RAD 2 and 3 to ensure consistency with current standards for drafting administrative rules.	
12. Summary of the Businesses, Business Sectors, Associations Representing Business, Local Governmental Units, and Individuals that may be Affected by the Proposed Rule that were Contacted for Comments. The proposed rule was posted for comments for 14 days. No comments were received.	
13. Identify the Local Governmental Units that Participated in the Development of this EIA. None	
14. Summary of Rule's Economic and Fiscal Impact on Specific Businesses, Business Sectors, Public Utility Rate Payers, Local Governmental Units and the State's Economy as a Whole (Include Implementation and Compliance Costs Expected to be Incurred)	

ADMINISTRATIVE RULES Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis

No economic or fiscal impacts are anticipated for specific businesses, sectors, ratepayers, local governments, or the state's economy as a whole. A total of \$408.47 in one time costs are anticipated in the department of safety and professional services.

15. Benefits of Implementing the Rule and Alternative(s) to Implementing the Rule

The benefit to implementing the rule is consistency with current licensing and permitting practices, applicable Wisconsin statutes, and standards for drafting administrative rules.

16. Long Range Implications of Implementing the Rule

The long range implication of implementing the rule is consistency with current licensing and permitting practices, applicable Wisconsin statutes, and standards for drafting administrative rules.

17. Compare With Approaches Being Used by Federal Government

None

18. Compare With Approaches Being Used by Neighboring States (Illinois, Iowa, Michigan and Minnesota)

Illinois:

Administrative rules of the Illinois Emergency Management Agency establish educational standards and an accreditation program applicable to persons who apply ionizing radiation to human beings (32 Ill. Admin. Code 401). The requirements for accreditation in the practice of medical radiation technology include minimum standards of preparatory education and experience, and examination requirements for certain categories of accreditation.

The Illinois Statutes provide for the expedited accreditation of service members and their spouses (20 ILCS 5/5-715). "Service member" includes a person whose active duty service concluded within the 2 years preceding application for licensure. A license issued to a service member or the spouse of a service member may be renewed.

Iowa:

Administrative rules of the Iowa Department of Public Health specify the permit requirements of individuals who operate or use ionizing radiation producing machines or administer radioactive materials on or to human patients or human research subjects for diagnostic or therapeutic purposes (641 IAC 42). The requirements for each specific class of permit include minimum formal education standards and an examination.

Rules of the Professional Licensure Division of the Iowa Department of Public Health provide for the expedited reciprocal licensure of a veteran or a spouse of an active duty service member (645 IAC 645.20.3). A reciprocal license issued to a veteran or a spouse of an active duty service member may be renewed.

Michigan:

The State of Michigan does not license operators of x-ray machines, nor does it have any requirements relative to the licensure or credentialing of x-ray machine operators except that radiologic technologists who perform mammographic examinations are required by rule (Mich Admin Code, R 333.5630) to be in compliance with the requirements under 21 CFR 900.12 (a) (2), "Radiologic technologists" (2000).

Minnesota:

Although the State of Minnesota does not license individuals who operate x-ray equipment, the Minnesota Statutes provide that an individual may not operate x-ray equipment unless the individual has passed an approved national examination for limited x-ray machine operators (Minn. Stat. 144.121, Subd. 5.).

19. Contact Name

Kassandra Walbrun

20. Contact Phone Number

(608) 261-4463

This document can be made available in alternate formats to individuals with disabilities upon request.

ADMINISTRATIVE RULES
Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis

ATTACHMENT A

1. Summary of Rule's Economic and Fiscal Impact on Small Businesses (Separately for each Small Business Sector, Include Implementation and Compliance Costs Expected to be Incurred)

2. Summary of the data sources used to measure the Rule's impact on Small Businesses

3. Did the agency consider the following methods to reduce the impact of the Rule on Small Businesses?

- Less Stringent Compliance or Reporting Requirements
 - Less Stringent Schedules or Deadlines for Compliance or Reporting
 - Consolidation or Simplification of Reporting Requirements
 - Establishment of performance standards in lieu of Design or Operational Standards
 - Exemption of Small Businesses from some or all requirements
 - Other, describe:
-

4. Describe the methods incorporated into the Rule that will reduce its impact on Small Businesses

5. Describe the Rule's Enforcement Provisions

6. Did the Agency prepare a Cost Benefit Analysis (if Yes, attach to form)

- Yes No
-