

ADMINISTRATIVE RULES Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis

1. Type of Estimate and Analysis <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Original <input type="checkbox"/> Updated <input type="checkbox"/> Corrected	2. Date December 9, 2020
3. Administrative Rule Chapter, Title and Number (and Clearinghouse Number if applicable) Chir 4	
4. Subject Chiropractic practice	
5. Fund Sources Affected <input type="checkbox"/> GPR <input type="checkbox"/> FED <input type="checkbox"/> PRO <input type="checkbox"/> PRS <input type="checkbox"/> SEG <input type="checkbox"/> SEG-S	6. Chapter 20, Stats. Appropriations Affected
7. Fiscal Effect of Implementing the Rule <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No Fiscal Effect <input type="checkbox"/> Increase Existing Revenues <input type="checkbox"/> Increase Costs <input type="checkbox"/> Decrease Costs <input type="checkbox"/> Indeterminate <input type="checkbox"/> Decrease Existing Revenues <input type="checkbox"/> Could Absorb Within Agency's Budget	
8. The Rule Will Impact the Following (Check All That Apply) <input type="checkbox"/> State's Economy <input type="checkbox"/> Specific Businesses/Sectors <input type="checkbox"/> Local Government Units <input type="checkbox"/> Public Utility Rate Payers <input type="checkbox"/> Small Businesses (if checked, complete Attachment A)	
9. Estimate of Implementation and Compliance to Businesses, Local Governmental Units and Individuals, per s. 227.137(3)(b)(1). \$0	
10. Would Implementation and Compliance Costs Businesses, Local Governmental Units and Individuals Be \$10 Million or more Over Any 2-year Period, per s. 227.137(3)(b)(2)? <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	
11. Policy Problem Addressed by the Rule The Chiropractic Examining Board evaluated dry needling treatment as it relates to the scope of the practice of chiropractic, and determined no updates to ch. Chir 4 are needed based on the results of their evaluation. As specified in the objective of the proposed rule described in scope statement SS 022-20, the definition of "examination" under s. Chir 4.02 (1m) has been revised to clarify that lab analysis includes drawing blood and blood analysis.	
12. Summary of the Businesses, Business Sectors, Associations Representing Business, Local Governmental Units, and Individuals that may be Affected by the Proposed Rule that were Contacted for Comments. The proposed rule was posted on the Department of Safety and Professional Services' website for 14 days in order to solicit comments from businesses, representative associations, local governmental units, and individuals that may be affected by the rule. Comments were received from William Dunbar, PhD, President of the Midwest College of Oriental Medicine (MCOM), and Megan Bielinski, Vice President of the Wisconsin Society of Acupuncturists (WISCA). The comments indicate that the only reason a chiropractor would break a patient's skin would be to perform acupuncture or its equivalent, and as such the proposed rule would have an adverse economic impact on acupuncturists practicing in Wisconsin, students and graduates of MCOM, and the WISCA. As drawing blood for analysis as part of a patient examination does not constitute acupuncture, the proposed rule does not have the potential economic impact described in the comments.	
13. Identify the Local Governmental Units that Participated in the Development of this EIA. No local governmental units participated in the development of this EIA.	
14. Summary of Rule's Economic and Fiscal Impact on Specific Businesses, Business Sectors, Public Utility Rate Payers, Local Governmental Units and the State's Economy as a Whole (Include Implementation and Compliance Costs Expected to be Incurred) This proposed rule will not have a significant impact on specific businesses, business sectors, public utility rate payers, local governmental units, or the state's economy as a whole.	

ADMINISTRATIVE RULES Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis

15. Benefits of Implementing the Rule and Alternative(s) to Implementing the Rule

The benefit to implementing the rule is clarification of the definition of "examination" under s. Chir 4.02 (1m). If the rule is not implemented, this definition will remain unclear.

16. Long Range Implications of Implementing the Rule

The long range implication of implementing the rule is clarification of the definition of "examination" under s. Chir 4.02 (1m).

17. Compare With Approaches Being Used by Federal Government

None

18. Compare With Approaches Being Used by Neighboring States (Illinois, Iowa, Michigan and Minnesota)

Illinois: Rules of the Illinois Department of Financial and Professional Regulation regulate the practice of chiropractic in Illinois. The rules do not address dry needling as it relates to the scope of practice of chiropractic.

Iowa: Rules of the Iowa Board of Chiropractic regulate the practice of chiropractic in Iowa. The rules do not address dry needling as it relates to the scope of practice of chiropractic.

Michigan: The practice of chiropractic in Michigan does not include the performance of any procedure that cuts or punctures the skin (Section 333.16401, Public Health Code). Acupuncture is defined as the insertion and manipulation of needles through the surface of the human body, and by definition includes dry needling (Section 333.16501, Public Health Code).

Minnesota: Rules of the Minnesota Board of Chiropractic Examiners regulate the practice of chiropractic in Minnesota. The rules do not address dry needling as it relates to the scope of practice of chiropractic.

19. Contact Name

Dale Kleven

20. Contact Phone Number

(608) 261-4472

This document can be made available in alternate formats to individuals with disabilities upon request.

ADMINISTRATIVE RULES
Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis

ATTACHMENT A

1. Summary of Rule's Economic and Fiscal Impact on Small Businesses (Separately for each Small Business Sector, Include Implementation and Compliance Costs Expected to be Incurred)

2. Summary of the data sources used to measure the Rule's impact on Small Businesses

3. Did the agency consider the following methods to reduce the impact of the Rule on Small Businesses?

- Less Stringent Compliance or Reporting Requirements
 - Less Stringent Schedules or Deadlines for Compliance or Reporting
 - Consolidation or Simplification of Reporting Requirements
 - Establishment of performance standards in lieu of Design or Operational Standards
 - Exemption of Small Businesses from some or all requirements
 - Other, describe:
-

4. Describe the methods incorporated into the Rule that will reduce its impact on Small Businesses

5. Describe the Rule's Enforcement Provisions

6. Did the Agency prepare a Cost Benefit Analysis (if Yes, attach to form)

- Yes No
-