

ADMINISTRATIVE RULES Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis

1. Type of Estimate and Analysis <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Original <input type="checkbox"/> Updated <input type="checkbox"/> Corrected	2. Date August 31, 2020
3. Administrative Rule Chapter, Title and Number (and Clearinghouse Number if applicable) PT 1 to 10	
4. Subject Implementation of the Physical Therapy Licensure Compact	
5. Fund Sources Affected <input type="checkbox"/> GPR <input type="checkbox"/> FED <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> PRO <input type="checkbox"/> PRS <input type="checkbox"/> SEG <input type="checkbox"/> SEG-S	6. Chapter 20, Stats. Appropriations Affected 20.165 (1) (g)
7. Fiscal Effect of Implementing the Rule <input type="checkbox"/> No Fiscal Effect <input type="checkbox"/> Increase Existing Revenues <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Increase Costs <input type="checkbox"/> Decrease Costs <input type="checkbox"/> Indeterminate <input type="checkbox"/> Decrease Existing Revenues <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Could Absorb Within Agency's Budget	
8. The Rule Will Impact the Following (Check All That Apply) <input type="checkbox"/> State's Economy <input type="checkbox"/> Specific Businesses/Sectors <input type="checkbox"/> Local Government Units <input type="checkbox"/> Public Utility Rate Payers <input type="checkbox"/> Small Businesses (if checked, complete Attachment A)	
9. Estimate of Implementation and Compliance to Businesses, Local Governmental Units and Individuals, per s. 227.137(3)(b)(1). \$0	
10. Would Implementation and Compliance Costs Businesses, Local Governmental Units and Individuals Be \$10 Million or more Over Any 2-year Period, per s. 227.137(3)(b)(2)? <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	
11. Policy Problem Addressed by the Rule The Physical Therapy Examining Board conducted a comprehensive evaluation of its rules to implement the Physical Therapy Licensure Compact and ensure clarity and consistency with applicable Wisconsin statutes. As a result, updates have been made to do all of the following: <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Create definitions of “physical therapist,” “physical therapist assistant,” and “physical therapy.”• Identify the requirements for obtaining a compact privilege, including a requirement that an individual seeking a compact privilege successfully complete a jurisprudence examination.• Specify that a person holding a physical therapist compact privilege granted by the Board may provide supervision of a physical therapist assistant and unlicensed personnel.• Clarify the requirements for a temporary license to practice as a physical therapist or physical therapist assistant under supervision.• Specify that a person holding a physical therapist compact privilege granted by the Board may provide supervision of a temporary licensee.• Clarify that a locum tenens license may not be issued based on a request for services from a person who holds a temporary license as a physical therapist, and specify that a locum tenens license may be issued based on a request for services from a person who holds a physical therapist compact privilege granted by the Board.• Specify that engaging in fraud, deceit, or misrepresentation in applying for, procuring, or maintaining a compact privilege constitutes unprofessional conduct.	
12. Summary of the Businesses, Business Sectors, Associations Representing Business, Local Governmental Units, and Individuals that may be Affected by the Proposed Rule that were Contacted for Comments. The proposed rule was posted on the Department of Safety and Professional Services' website for 14 days in order to solicit comments from businesses, representative associations, local governmental units, and individuals that may be affected by the rule. No comments were received.	
13. Identify the Local Governmental Units that Participated in the Development of this EIA. No local governmental units participated in the development of this EIA.	

ADMINISTRATIVE RULES Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis

14. Summary of Rule's Economic and Fiscal Impact on Specific Businesses, Business Sectors, Public Utility Rate Payers, Local Governmental Units and the State's Economy as a Whole (Include Implementation and Compliance Costs Expected to be Incurred)

The proposed rule will not have a significant impact on specific businesses, business sectors, public utility rate payers, local governmental units, or the state's economy as a whole.

The Department estimates one-time administrative costs of \$1,020.14. These costs may be absorbed in the agency budget.

15. Benefits of Implementing the Rule and Alternative(s) to Implementing the Rule

The benefit to implementing the rule is to reflect the provisions of subch. IX of ch. 448, Stats., as created by 2019 Wisconsin Act 100, which ratifies the Physical Therapy Licensure Compact. If the rule is not implemented, chs. PT 1 to 10 will not reflect the Compact and applicable Wisconsin statutes.

16. Long Range Implications of Implementing the Rule

The long range implication of implementing the rule is clarity and consistency with the provisions of the Physical Therapy Licensure Compact and applicable Wisconsin statutes.

17. Compare With Approaches Being Used by Federal Government

None

18. Compare With Approaches Being Used by Neighboring States (Illinois, Iowa, Michigan and Minnesota)

Illinois:

Illinois is not a member state of the Physical Therapy Licensure Compact.

Iowa:

Iowa is a member state of the Physical Therapy Licensure Compact, and is actively issuing compact privileges. Rules of the Iowa Board of Physical and Occupational Therapy address issuing a compact privilege and the practice of physical therapy under a compact privilege (645 IAC 200.3).

Michigan:

Michigan is not a member state of the Physical Therapy Licensure Compact.

Minnesota:

Minnesota is not a member state of the Physical Therapy Licensure Compact.

19. Contact Name

Dale Kleven

20. Contact Phone Number

(608) 261-4472

This document can be made available in alternate formats to individuals with disabilities upon request.

ADMINISTRATIVE RULES
Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis

ATTACHMENT A

1. Summary of Rule's Economic and Fiscal Impact on Small Businesses (Separately for each Small Business Sector, Include Implementation and Compliance Costs Expected to be Incurred)

2. Summary of the data sources used to measure the Rule's impact on Small Businesses

3. Did the agency consider the following methods to reduce the impact of the Rule on Small Businesses?

- Less Stringent Compliance or Reporting Requirements
 - Less Stringent Schedules or Deadlines for Compliance or Reporting
 - Consolidation or Simplification of Reporting Requirements
 - Establishment of performance standards in lieu of Design or Operational Standards
 - Exemption of Small Businesses from some or all requirements
 - Other, describe:
-

4. Describe the methods incorporated into the Rule that will reduce its impact on Small Businesses

5. Describe the Rule's Enforcement Provisions

6. Did the Agency prepare a Cost Benefit Analysis (if Yes, attach to form)

- Yes No
-