ADMINISTRATIVE RULES Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis

1. Type of Estimate and Analysis	2. Date	
Original Updated Corrected	October 1, 2020	
3. Administrative Rule Chapter, Title and Number (and Clearinghouse Number if applicable) ATCP 134		
4. Subject Residential Rental Practices		
5. Fund Sources Affected	6. Chapter 20, Stats. Appropriations Affected	
7. Fiscal Effect of Implementing the Rule ☑ No Fiscal Effect ☐ Increase Existing Revenues ☐ Indeterminate ☐ Decrease Existing Revenues	Increase Costs Decrease Costs Could Absorb Within Agency's Budget	
Local Government Units Publi	ific Businesses/Sectors c Utility Rate Payers I Businesses (if checked, complete Attachment A)	
9. Estimate of Implementation and Compliance to Businesses, Local Governmental Units and Individuals, per s. 227.137(3)(b)(1).		
\$Unknown costs would be borne by tenants at time of application		
 10. Would Implementation and Compliance Costs Businesses, Loca Any 2-year Period, per s. 227.137(3)(b)(2)? ☐ Yes ⊠ No 	al Governmental Units and Individuals Be \$10 Million or more Over	
11. Policy Problem Addressed by the Rule The rule aligns ATCP 134 with Wis Stat. 704.		
 Summary of the Businesses, Business Sectors, Associations Representing Business, Local Governmental Units, and Individuals that may be Affected by the Proposed Rule that were Contacted for Comments. None. 		
13. Identify the Local Governmental Units that Participated in the Development of this EIA. None.		
14. Summary of Rule's Economic and Fiscal Impact on Specific Businesses, Business Sectors, Public Utility Rate Payers, Local Governmental Units and the State's Economy as a Whole (Include Implementation and Compliance Costs Expected to be Incurred)		
The proposed rule will have minimal impact on the state. Potential tenants may need to pay more, but the statute authorized and required this. The rule merely harmonizes an existing rule with 2017 Wisconsin Act 317, § 41, which passed in the 2017 session. The inconsistency between the existing rule and the new statute was identified during the routine rules review required by 2017 Wisconsin Act 108.		
15. Benefits of Implementing the Rule and Alternative(s) to Impleme The rule will harmonize with statute.	enting the Rule	
16. Long Range Implications of Implementing the Rule The rule and statute will not disagree.		
17. Compare With Approaches Being Used by Federal Government No existing or proposed federal regulations have an impact on this rule.		
18. Compare With Approaches Being Used by Neighboring States (Illinois, Iowa, Michigan and Minnesota)		

ADMINISTRATIVE RULES Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis

Illinois, Iowa, Michigan, and Minnesota all have statutes or administrative rules governing residential rental practices. These laws address common topics such as rental agreements, security deposits, and other duties of landlords and tenants.

19. Contact Name	20. Contact Phone Number
David A. Woldseth	608-224-5164

This document can be made available in alternate formats to individuals with disabilities upon request.

ADMINISTRATIVE RULES Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis

ATTACHMENT A

1. Summary of Rule's Economic and Fiscal Impact on Small Businesses (Separately for each Small Business Sector, Include Implementation and Compliance Costs Expected to be Incurred)

2. Summary of the data sources used to measure the Rule's impact on Small Businesses

- 3. Did the agency consider the following methods to reduce the impact of the Rule on Small Businesses?
- Less Stringent Compliance or Reporting Requirements
- Less Stringent Schedules or Deadlines for Compliance or Reporting
- Consolidation or Simplification of Reporting Requirements
- Establishment of performance standards in lieu of Design or Operational Standards
- Exemption of Small Businesses from some or all requirements

Other, describe:

4. Describe the methods incorporated into the Rule that will reduce its impact on Small Businesses

5. Describe the Rule's Enforcement Provisions

6. Did the Agency prepare a Cost Benefit Analysis (if Yes, attach to form)

🗌 Yes 🗌 No