

ADMINISTRATIVE RULES Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis

<p>1. Type of Estimate and Analysis <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Original <input type="checkbox"/> Updated <input type="checkbox"/> Corrected</p>	<p>2. Date August 5, 2020</p>
<p>3. Administrative Rule Chapter, Title and Number (and Clearinghouse Number if applicable) Chapters DWD 80 - Worker's Compensation; DWD 272 - Minimum Wages; and DWD 277 - Private Employment Agents Required To Be Licensed Under ch. 105, Stats.</p>	
<p>4. Subject Replacing derogatory or offensive terminology with current, inclusive terminology</p>	
<p>5. Fund Sources Affected <input type="checkbox"/> GPR <input type="checkbox"/> FED <input type="checkbox"/> PRO <input type="checkbox"/> PRS <input type="checkbox"/> SEG <input type="checkbox"/> SEG-S</p>	<p>6. Chapter 20, Stats. Appropriations Affected</p>
<p>7. Fiscal Effect of Implementing the Rule <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No Fiscal Effect <input type="checkbox"/> Increase Existing Revenues <input type="checkbox"/> Increase Costs <input type="checkbox"/> Decrease Costs <input type="checkbox"/> Indeterminate <input type="checkbox"/> Decrease Existing Revenues <input type="checkbox"/> Could Absorb Within Agency's Budget</p>	
<p>8. The Rule Will Impact the Following (Check All That Apply) <input type="checkbox"/> State's Economy <input type="checkbox"/> Specific Businesses/Sectors <input type="checkbox"/> Local Government Units <input type="checkbox"/> Public Utility Rate Payers <input type="checkbox"/> Small Businesses (if checked, complete Attachment A)</p>	
<p>9. Estimate of Implementation and Compliance to Businesses, Local Governmental Units and Individuals, per s. 227.137(3)(b)(1). \$-0-</p>	
<p>10. Would Implementation and Compliance Costs Businesses, Local Governmental Units and Individuals Be \$10 Million or more Over Any 2-year Period, per s. 227.137(3)(b)(2)? <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No</p>	
<p>11. Policy Problem Addressed by the Rule Executive Order #15 requires each state agency to review its administrative rules and replace any derogatory or offensive terminology with current, inclusive terminology. This includes replacing "mentally retarded" with "intellectually disabled," "mental retardation" with "intellectual disability," and "handicapped" with "disabled."</p>	
<p>12. Summary of the Businesses, Business Sectors, Associations Representing Business, Local Governmental Units, and Individuals that may be Affected by the Proposed Rule that were Contacted for Comments. The Department solicited comments on economic impact during the 14-day period ending July 16, 2020. No comments were received. No specific businesses were contacted.</p>	
<p>13. Identify the Local Governmental Units that Participated in the Development of this EIA. None.</p>	
<p>14. Summary of Rule's Economic and Fiscal Impact on Specific Businesses, Business Sectors, Public Utility Rate Payers, Local Governmental Units and the State's Economy as a Whole (Include Implementation and Compliance Costs Expected to be Incurred) No impact is anticipated.</p>	
<p>15. Benefits of Implementing the Rule and Alternative(s) to Implementing the Rule The benefit is eliminating derogatory or offensive terminology from the Department's rules. The alternative is to do nothing.</p>	
<p>16. Long Range Implications of Implementing the Rule No long range implications are anticipated.</p>	
<p>17. Compare With Approaches Being Used by Federal Government The Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990 refers to individuals with disabilities, rather than to handicapped individuals. Pub. L. No. 101-336, 104 Stat. 327, codified at 42 USC 12101-12213. In 1990, the federal Education of Handicapped Children Act was renamed the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act. See Pub. L. No. 101-476, s. 901, 104 Stat. 1103, 1142, codified at 20 USC 1400-1445. In 2010, a federal law known as Rosa's Law revised various</p>	

ADMINISTRATIVE RULES
Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis

federal laws to refer to intellectual disability instead of mental retardation. Pub. L. No. 111-256, 124 Stat. 2643, 2643, codified in various USC sections).

18. Compare With Approaches Being Used by Neighboring States (Illinois, Iowa, Michigan and Minnesota)
The Department is not aware of a similar rule in an adjacent state.

19. Contact Name

Mark Kunkel, Rules and Records Coordinator

20. Contact Phone Number

(608) 267-7713

This document can be made available in alternate formats to individuals with disabilities upon request.

ADMINISTRATIVE RULES Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis

ATTACHMENT A

1. Summary of Rule's Economic and Fiscal Impact on Small Businesses (Separately for each Small Business Sector, Include Implementation and Compliance Costs Expected to be Incurred)

2. Summary of the data sources used to measure the Rule's impact on Small Businesses

3. Did the agency consider the following methods to reduce the impact of the Rule on Small Businesses?

- Less Stringent Compliance or Reporting Requirements
- Less Stringent Schedules or Deadlines for Compliance or Reporting
- Consolidation or Simplification of Reporting Requirements
- Establishment of performance standards in lieu of Design or Operational Standards
- Exemption of Small Businesses from some or all requirements
- Other, describe:

4. Describe the methods incorporated into the Rule that will reduce its impact on Small Businesses

5. Describe the Rule's Enforcement Provisions

6. Did the Agency prepare a Cost Benefit Analysis (if Yes, attach to form)

- Yes No
-