

ADMINISTRATIVE RULES Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis

<p>1. Type of Estimate and Analysis <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Original <input type="checkbox"/> Updated <input type="checkbox"/> Corrected</p>	<p>2. Date March 23, 2020</p>
<p>3. Administrative Rule Chapter, Title and Number (and Clearinghouse Number if applicable) A-E 5</p>	
<p>4. Subject Designer permits</p>	
<p>5. Fund Sources Affected <input type="checkbox"/> GPR <input type="checkbox"/> FED <input type="checkbox"/> PRO <input type="checkbox"/> PRS <input type="checkbox"/> SEG <input type="checkbox"/> SEG-S</p>	<p>6. Chapter 20, Stats. Appropriations Affected</p>
<p>7. Fiscal Effect of Implementing the Rule <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No Fiscal Effect <input type="checkbox"/> Increase Existing Revenues <input type="checkbox"/> Increase Costs <input type="checkbox"/> Decrease Costs <input type="checkbox"/> Indeterminate <input type="checkbox"/> Decrease Existing Revenues <input type="checkbox"/> Could Absorb Within Agency's Budget</p>	
<p>8. The Rule Will Impact the Following (Check All That Apply) <input type="checkbox"/> State's Economy <input type="checkbox"/> Specific Businesses/Sectors <input type="checkbox"/> Local Government Units <input type="checkbox"/> Public Utility Rate Payers <input type="checkbox"/> Small Businesses (if checked, complete Attachment A)</p>	
<p>9. Estimate of Implementation and Compliance to Businesses, Local Governmental Units and Individuals, per s. 227.137(3)(b)(1). \$0</p>	
<p>10. Would Implementation and Compliance Costs Businesses, Local Governmental Units and Individuals Be \$10 Million or more Over Any 2-year Period, per s. 227.137(3)(b)(2)? <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No</p>	
<p>11. Policy Problem Addressed by the Rule The proposed revisions provide clarification on qualifications for a designer permit, specifically by:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Clarifying that an applicant's experience under s. A-E 5.03 (1) (e) must demonstrate a working knowledge of all topics the applicable examination under s. A-E 5.04 requires a demonstration of competency.• Further emphasizing that the Designer Section has the statutory discretion in reviewing experience to determine whether it demonstrates competency;• Replacing the terms 'board' with 'section' and 'private sewage systems' with 'private onsite wastewater treatment systems' to be consistent with statute; and• Amending the chapter to conform with statutory changes, drafting standards, and for consistency.	
<p>12. Summary of the Businesses, Business Sectors, Associations Representing Business, Local Governmental Units, and Individuals that may be Affected by the Proposed Rule that were Contacted for Comments. The proposed rule was posted on the Department of Safety and Professional Services' website for 14 days in order to solicit comments from businesses, representative associations, local governmental units, and individuals that may be affected by the rule. No comments were received.</p>	
<p>13. Identify the Local Governmental Units that Participated in the Development of this EIA. No local governmental units participated in the development of this EIA.</p>	
<p>14. Summary of Rule's Economic and Fiscal Impact on Specific Businesses, Business Sectors, Public Utility Rate Payers, Local Governmental Units and the State's Economy as a Whole (Include Implementation and Compliance Costs Expected to be Incurred) The proposed rule will not have a significant impact on specific businesses, business sectors, public utility rate payers, local governmental units, or the state's economy as a whole.</p>	
<p>15. Benefits of Implementing the Rule and Alternative(s) to Implementing the Rule The benefit to implementing the rule is providing clarity with regard to qualifications for a designer permit and consistency with drafting standards and applicable Wisconsin statutes. If the rule is not implemented, the qualifications for a designer permit will remain unclear.</p>	

ADMINISTRATIVE RULES Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis

16. Long Range Implications of Implementing the Rule

The long range implication of implementing the rule is clarity with regard to qualifications for a designer permit and consistency with drafting standards and applicable Wisconsin statutes.

17. Compare With Approaches Being Used by Federal Government

None.

18. Compare With Approaches Being Used by Neighboring States (Illinois, Iowa, Michigan and Minnesota)

Illinois:

Technical submissions to local code enforcement officials must be signed and sealed by a design professional. Design professionals in the state of Illinois are limited to Professional Land Surveyors, Structural Engineers, Professional Engineers, and Architects. Illinois does not issue permits or similar credentials for Designers of Engineering Systems.

Iowa:

Submissions of plans to the Iowa Department of Public Safety, Building Code Bureau must be completed by responsible design professionals including Registered Architects and Licensed Professional Engineers. Professional engineers are licensed according to the specific branch of engineering for which they passed the Principles and Practice of Engineering, including the structural engineering exam. Iowa does not issue permits or similar credentials for Designers of Engineering Systems.

Michigan:

Plans submitted to the Michigan Department of Licensing and Regulatory Affairs, Bureau of Construction Codes must be sealed by a design professional, including Architects, Professional Engineers, and Land Surveyors. Michigan does not issue permits or similar credentials for Designers of Engineering Systems.

Minnesota:

Plans submitted to the Minnesota Department of Labor and Industry must be certified by a licensed design professional, including Architects, Professional Engineers, Land Surveyors, Landscape Architects, Certified Interior Designers, Professional Soil Scientists, and Professional Geologists. Minnesota does not issue permits or similar credentials for Designers of Engineering Systems.

19. Contact Name

Dale Kleven, Administrative Rules Coordinator

20. Contact Phone Number

(608) 261-4472

This document can be made available in alternate formats to individuals with disabilities upon request.

ADMINISTRATIVE RULES Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis

ATTACHMENT A

1. Summary of Rule's Economic and Fiscal Impact on Small Businesses (Separately for each Small Business Sector, Include Implementation and Compliance Costs Expected to be Incurred)

2. Summary of the data sources used to measure the Rule's impact on Small Businesses

3. Did the agency consider the following methods to reduce the impact of the Rule on Small Businesses?

- Less Stringent Compliance or Reporting Requirements
- Less Stringent Schedules or Deadlines for Compliance or Reporting
- Consolidation or Simplification of Reporting Requirements
- Establishment of performance standards in lieu of Design or Operational Standards
- Exemption of Small Businesses from some or all requirements
- Other, describe:

4. Describe the methods incorporated into the Rule that will reduce its impact on Small Businesses

5. Describe the Rule's Enforcement Provisions

6. Did the Agency prepare a Cost Benefit Analysis (if Yes, attach to form)

- Yes No
-