

## ADMINISTRATIVE RULES Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis

---

1. Type of Estimate and Analysis

Original  Updated  Corrected

---

2. Administrative Rule Chapter, Title and Number

DCF 13, Background Checks for Child Care Programs; DCF 35, Home Visitation to Prevent Child Abuse and Neglect; DCF 52, Residential Care Centers for Children and Youth; DCF 54, Child-Placing Agencies; DCF 56, Foster Home Care for Children; DCF 57, Group Homes; DCF 202, Certified Family Child Care; DCF 250, Family Child Care Centers; and DCF 251, Group Child Care Centers.

---

3. Subject

Technical changes to update DCF rules

---

4. Fund Sources Affected

GPR  FED  PRO  PRS  SEG  SEG-S

5. Chapter 20, Stats. Appropriations Affected

---

6. Fiscal Effect of Implementing the Rule

No Fiscal Effect  Increase Existing Revenues  Increase Costs  
 Indeterminate  Decrease Existing Revenues  Could Absorb Within Agency's Budget  
 Decrease Cost

---

7. The Rule Will Impact the Following (Check All That Apply)

State's Economy  Specific Businesses/Sectors  
 Local Government Units  Public Utility Rate Payers  
 Small Businesses (if checked, complete Attachment A)

---

8. Would Implementation and Compliance Costs Be Greater Than \$20 million?

Yes  No

---

9. Policy Problem Addressed by the Rule

The proposed rules do not address policy problems. The rules correct cross-references, update terminology, and incorporate minor statutory changes.

---

10. Summary of the businesses, business sectors, associations representing business, local governmental units, and individuals that may be affected by the proposed rule that were contacted for comments.

WI Family Child Care Association, WI Child Care Administrator Association, WI Early Childhood Association, WI Head Start Association, DPI (4K, Head Start Collaboration, CACFP), The Registry, Supporting Families Together Association, WI Technical College System, Child Care Information Center, City of Madison, Western Dairyland/Child Care Partnership Resource and Referral, and certification agencies.

---

11. Identify the local governmental units that participated in the development of this EIA.

No comments were received.

---

12. Summary of Rule's Economic and Fiscal Impact on Specific Businesses, Business Sectors, Public Utility Rate Payers, Local Governmental Units and the State's Economy as a Whole (Include Implementation and Compliance Costs Expected to be Incurred)

There is no economic impact because the proposed rule only make technical corrections.

---

13. Benefits of Implementing the Rule and Alternative(s) to Implementing the Rule

The department's rules do not contain incorrect cross-references or terminology and are not in conflict with statute.

---

14. Long Range Implications of Implementing the Rule

None

---

15. Compare With Approaches Being Used by Federal Government

The federal regulations affecting allowable costs and rate regulation of residential care centers, group homes, and child-placing agencies are the following:

- 2 CFR Part 200, Uniform administrative requirements, cost principles, and audit requirements for federal awards
-

**ADMINISTRATIVE RULES**  
**Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis**

- 45 CFR Part 75, Uniform administrative requirements, cost principles, and audit requirements for HHS awards
- 48 CFR Part 31, Contract cost principles and procedures.

Under 45 CFR 98.43, the department is required to conduct a background check of noncaregiver employees of a child care program.

The Every Student Succeeds Act of 2015 emphasizes the need for collaboration between schools, school districts, and child welfare agencies to improve outcomes for children in out-of-home care.

---

16. Compare With Approaches Being Used by Neighboring States (Illinois, Iowa, Michigan and Minnesota)  
Not applicable

---

17. Contact Name  
Elaine Pridgen

---

18. Contact Phone Number  
(608) 333-3239

---

This document can be made available in alternate formats to individuals with disabilities upon request.

**ADMINISTRATIVE RULES**  
**Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis**

**ATTACHMENT A**

---

1. Summary of Rule's Economic and Fiscal Impact on Small Businesses (Separately for each Small Business Sector, Include Implementation and Compliance Costs Expected to be Incurred)

NA

---

2. Summary of the data sources used to measure the Rule's impact on Small Businesses

NA

---

3. Did the agency consider the following methods to reduce the impact of the Rule on Small Businesses?

- Less Stringent Compliance or Reporting Requirements
- Less Stringent Schedules or Deadlines for Compliance or Reporting
- Consolidation or Simplification of Reporting Requirements
- Establishment of performance standards in lieu of Design or Operational Standards
- Exemption of Small Businesses from some or all requirements
- Other, describe:

---

4. Describe the methods incorporated into the Rule that will reduce its impact on Small Businesses

NA

---

5. Describe the Rule's Enforcement Provisions

NA

---

6. Did the Agency prepare a Cost Benefit Analysis (if Yes, attach to form)

Yes  No

---