# ADMINISTRATIVE RULES Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis

| 1. Type of Estimate and Analysis  | 2. Date   |  |  |
|---|---|--|--|
| Original Updated Corrected  | October 11, 2019  |  |  |
| 3. Administrative Rule Chapter, Title and Number (and Clearinghouse Number if applicable)   |   |  |  |
| Chapter Tax 1,2,14 – 2017 Acts Update   |   |  |  |
| 4. Subject  |   |  |  |
| The proposed rules implement changes required to administer the proposed rules implement changes.   | provisions of 2017 Wisconsin Acts 2 and 59, as well as to   |  |  |
| 5. Fund Sources Affected  | 6. Chapter 20, Stats. Appropriations Affected   |  |  |
| <ul> <li>7. Fiscal Effect of Implementing the Rule</li> <li>☑ No Fiscal Effect</li> <li>□ Increase Existing Revenues</li> <li>□ Indeterminate</li> <li>□ Decrease Existing Revenues</li> </ul>  | <ul> <li>Increase Costs</li> <li>Decrease Costs</li> <li>Could Absorb Within Agency's Budget</li> </ul>         |  |  |
| Local Government Units     Publ     Sma   | cific Businesses/Sectors<br>ic Utility Rate Payers<br>III Businesses <b>(if checked, complete Attachment A)</b> |  |  |
| <ul> <li>9. Estimate of Implementation and Compliance to Businesses, Loc 227.137(3)(b)(1).</li> <li>\$ 0</li> <li>10. Would Implementation and Compliance Costs Businesses, Loc more Over Any 2-year Period, pers. 227.137(3)(b)(2)?</li> <li>□ Yes ⊠ No</li> </ul>   |   |  |  |
| 11. Policy Problem Addressed by the Rule<br>The rules are not up to date based on various changes made by 20  | 17 Wisconsin Acts 2 and 59.   |  |  |
| 12. Summary of the Businesses, Business Sectors, Associations R<br>Individuals that may be Affected by the Proposed Rule that were  |   |  |  |
| A comment period was identified for economic impact and the publ<br>September 26, 2019 through October 10, 2019. The following asso<br>contacted for comments:  |   |  |  |
| <ul> <li>WICPA</li> <li>Wisconsin Manufacturers and Commerce</li> <li>Wisconsin Association of Accountants</li> <li>Tax Executives Institute</li> <li>Independent Business Association of Wisconsin</li> <li>Wisconsin Independent Businesses</li> <li>National Federation of Independent Businesses</li> <li>Council on State Taxation</li> <li>National Association of Computerized Tax Processors</li> </ul> |   |  |  |
| 13. Identify the Local Governmental Units that Participated in the De   | evelopment of this EIA.   |  |  |

No local government units participated in the development of this EIA.

# ADMINISTRATIVE RULES Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis

14. Summaryof Rule's Economic and Fiscal Impact on Specific Bus inesses, Business Sectors, Public Utility Rate Payers, Local Governmental Units and the State's Economyas a Whole (Include Implementation and Compliance Costs Expected to be Incurred)

No impact is anticipated.

15. Benefits of Implementing the Rule and Alternative(s) to Implementing the Rule

Clarifications and guidance provided by administrative rules may lower the compliance costs for businesses, local governmental units, and individuals.

If the rule is not implemented, Chapters Tax1, 2, and 14 will be incomplete in that they will not reflect current law or department policy.

16. Long Range Implications of Implementing the Rule

No long range implications are anticipated.

17. Compare With Approaches Being Used by Federal Government

There are no similar approaches being used by Federal Government.

18. Compare With Approaches Being Used by Neighboring States (Illinois, Iowa, Michigan and Minnesota)

There are no similar approaches being used by neighboring states.

| 19. Contact Name | 20. Contact Phone Number |
|------------------|--------------------------|
| Jen Chadwick     | 608-266-8253             |

This document can be made available in alternate formats to individuals with disabilities upon request

## ADMINISTRATIVE RULES Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis

## ATTACHMENT A

1. Summaryof Rule's Economic and Fiscal Impact on Small Businesses (Separatelyfor each Small Business Sector, Include Implementation and Compliance Costs Expected to be Incurred)

2. Summary of the data sources used to measure the Rule's impact on Small Businesses

3. Did the agency consider the following methods to reduce the impact of the Rule on Small Businesses?

Less Stringent Compliance or Reporting Requirements

Less Stringent Schedules or Deadlines for Compliance or Reporting

Consolidation or Simplification of Reporting Requirements

Establishment of performance standards in lieu of Design or Operational Standards

Exemption of Small Businesses from some or all requirements

Other, describe:

4. Describe the methods incorporated into the Rule that will reduce its impact on Small Businesses

5. Describe the Rule's Enforcement Provisions

6. Did the Agency prepare a Cost Benefit Analysis (if Yes, attach to form)

### FISCAL ESTIMATE FORM

|   |                     | RB#                  |   |  |  |
|---|---------------------|----------------------|---|--|--|
| ORIGINAL UPDATED  |                     | INTRODUCTION #       |   |  |  |
|   | ENTAL AC            | dmin. Rule # te      | o be assigned   |  |  |
| Subject   |                     |                      |   |  |  |
| Proposed Order of the Depart  | ment of Revenue Rep | pealing, Amending    | , and Creating Rules  |  |  |
| Fiscal Effect   |                     |                      |   |  |  |
| State: X No State Fiscal Effect<br>Check columns below only if bill makes a direct appropriation or<br>sum sufficient appropriation |                     | riation or affects a | <ul> <li>Increase Costs - May be Possible to Absorb</li> <li>Within Agency's Budget</li></ul> |  |  |
| Increase Existing Appropriation   |                     |                      |   |  |  |
| Decrease Existing Appropriation     Decrease Existing Revenues  |                     |                      |   |  |  |
| Create New Appropriation  |                     |                      | Decrease Costs  |  |  |
| Local: X No Local Government Costs  |                     |                      |   |  |  |
| 1. 🔲 Increase Costs   | 3. 🛛 Increase Rev   | /enues               | 5. Types of Local Governmental Units Affected:  |  |  |
| 🛛 Permissive 🗌 Mandatory  | Permissive          | e 🗆 Mandatory        | 🗆 Tow ns 🔲 Villages 🔲 Cities  |  |  |
| 2. Decrease Costs   | 4. 🛛 Decrease Re    | evenues              | Counties Others   |  |  |
| 🛛 Permissive 🗆 Mandatory  | 🗆 Permissive        | e 🗆 Mandatory        | □ School Districts □ WTCS Districts   |  |  |
| Fund Sources Affected   |                     | Affected Ch. 20      | Appropriations  |  |  |
| □ GPR □ FED □ PRO □ PRS   | 🗆 SEG 🗆 SEG-S       |                      |   |  |  |

Assumptions Used in Arriving at Fiscal Estimate:

The proposed rules implement changes required to administer the provisions of 2017 Wisconsin Acts 2 and 59, as well as to make other minor technical changes. These rules are intended to reflect and clarify existing law, and as such do not have any fiscal impact.

The proposed rule makes the following changes:

- Updating email and website addresses The current rules provide information for taxpayers to find certain forms or contact the department. The forms and contacts still exist, but the email or internet addresses need to be updated in some cases.
- Updating procedures relating to power of attorney forms
  Most substantively, the power of attorney form applies to a variety of taxes and credits administered by the
  department. Because unclaimed property matters are now administered by the department as well, the rule
  clarifies that the form also applies to unclaimed property. Moreover, the rule change clarifies the information
  required by the department if the taxpayer chooses to submit an alternate power of attorney form rather than
  the form provided by the department.
- Repeal ambulatory surgical center assessment Current rule establishes procedures and other requirements necessary for administering the ambulatory surgical center assessment. Ambulatory surgical center assessments have since been repealed in statute, and therefore no longer relevant.
- Remove references to obsolete forms
   The department has replaced form I-827 by including a residence questionnaire in form 1-NPR. The rule change updates the reference to reflect that change.
- · Update electronic and other filing requirements

| Long-Range | Fiscal | Implicatio | ns: |
|------------|--------|------------|-----|

| Date |  |
|------|--|
|      |  |

2019 Session

Prior to 2018, statute required a number of information returns be filed electronically if the filer submitted 50 or more such returns. In 2017 Wis. Act 59, the limit was changed from 50 to 10, so the rule change updates the references to the new limit. Similarly, the rule change removes old references that required tax preparers to file electronically if they submitted a certain 100 or 200 returns. That requirement is now for 50 or more returns.

- Remove obsolete reference to health insurance credit
   Current law does not include a health insurance credit as part of the federal EITC. This rule change removes the health insurance credit reference from the rules and updates the relevant description of the federal EITC to reflect current law.
- Reference statutory limitations related to net business and operating losses Changes to a net operating or business loss carryforward may not be made unless the change to the incurred loss was computed on a return that was filed within four years of the unextended due date for filing the original return. The provision adds that statutory reference to Tax 2.105 (4) (b) and 2.12 (3) (c).
- Remove line references to forms
   Several current rules refer to specific items on federal forms and also lists the line numbers on those forms.
   The federal line numbers change relatively often, but the specific items being referenced on the federal forms
   are still present on different lines. This change removes the incorrect line references in the rules, but retains
   the correct item names and form numbers.
- Update date and other references to the Internal Revenue Code In some cases the current rules refer to old federal IRC provisions. Federal law changes require that the rules have new federal references to retain the correct meaning.
- Add exception for broadcaster's gross receipts to receipts for intangible property included in sales factor When calculating the sales factor, there are exceptions for intangible property. The rule change clarifies those exceptions for receipts of intangible property relating to broadcaster's apportionment.
- Update sourcing of sales of services The rule change updates a reference to federal rules concerning sourcing of sales of services. Previously, the reference was to IRC chapter 861. That has since been changed to IRC subchapter N.
- Repeal alternative method of apportionment The current rule references Tax 2.395, which has only allowed petitions if filed prior to January 1, 2000. This proposed rules deletes the reference to Tax 2.395.
- Provide no interest is paid on certain WEDC refunds
   Current law does not provide interest to be paid on certain WEDC refunds. The rule change adds lines clarifying that no interest shall be paid on certain WEDC refunds.
- Add limitation to credit for tax paid to another state
   Effective beginning in 2017 the Wisconsin credit for taxes paid to another state is limited to the amount of tax paid to Wisconsin on the income that is taxable to both states. This rule change contains updated descriptions of the credit and updated examples calculations that reflect the law change.
- Repeal notes relating to obsolete disasters
   The rules provide information related to claiming casualty losses from disasters and include notes regarding specific past disaster declarations. Since the specifically listed disaster declarations are no longer within the statute of limitations, those references are no longer necessary.
- Update homestead credit references related to disqualified losses
   Effective beginning in 2018 certain disqualified losses must be added back to income for homestead
   purposes. Existing rules are intended to clarify the meaning of household income and income for homestead
   credit purposes. This change includes disqualified losses in the rule to accurately reflect the statute.
- Repeal subdivision renumbered and not deleted and error A rule describing items that must be added back to income for homestead credit purposes was previously renumbered, but not removed from the original location. That resulted in duplicative information in Tax 14.03
   (4) (b) 23 and Tax 14.03 (4) (c). This change fixes the duplication by removing the information in Tax 14.03
   (4) (b) 23.

## FISCAL ESTIMATE WORKSHEET

UPDATED

□ SUPPLEMENTAL

| X C | RIGINAL |
|-----|---------|
|-----|---------|

Detailed Estimate of Annual Fiscal Effect

2019 Session Admin. Rule #

to be assigned

CORRECTED Subject

#### Proposed Order of the Department of Revenue Repealing, Amending, and Creating Rules

#### I. One-Time Costs or Revenue Impacts for State and/or Local Government (do not include in annualized fiscal effect):

LRB#

INTRODUCTION

| II. Annualized Costs:   | Annualized Fiscal impac | ct on State funds from: |
|---|-------------------------|-------------------------|
| A. State Costs by Category  | Increased Costs         | Decreased Costs         |
| State Operations - Salaries and Fringe  | \$                      | \$ -                    |
| (FTE Position Changes)  | (FTE)                   | (- FTE)                 |
| State Operations-Other Costs  |                         | -                       |
| Local Assistance  |                         | -                       |
| Aids to Individuals or Organizations  |                         | -                       |
| TOTAL State Costs by Category   | \$                      | \$ -                    |
| B. State Costs by Source of Funds   | Increased Costs         | Decreased Costs         |
| GPR   | \$                      | \$ -                    |
| FED   |                         | -                       |
| PRO/PRS   |                         | -                       |
| SEG/SEG-S   | \$                      | -                       |
| III. State Revenues - Complete this only when proposal will increase or decrease stat<br>revenues (e.g., tax increase, decrease in license fee, etc.) | te Increased Rev.       | Decreased Rev.          |
| GPR Taxes   | \$                      | \$                      |
| GPR Earned  |                         | -                       |
| FED   |                         | -                       |
| PRO/PRS   |                         | -                       |
| SEG/SEG-S   |                         | -                       |
| TOTAL State Revenues  | \$                      | \$ -                    |

#### NET ANNUALIZED FISCAL IMPACT

|   | STATE  | <u>L(</u> | DCAL              |
|---|--|-----------|-------------------|
| NET CHANGE IN COSTS   | \$ 0   | \$ O      |                   |
| NET CHANGE IN REV ENUES   | \$ 0   | \$ 0      |                   |
| Agency/Prepared by: (Name & Phone No.)<br>Wisconsin Department of Revenue<br>Bradley Caruth<br>(608) 261-8984 | Authorized Signature/Telephone No.<br>Wiscons in Department of Revenue<br>Robert Schmidt<br>(608) 266-5773 |           | Date<br>4/22/2019 |