STATE OF WISCONSIN DEPARTMENT OF ADMINISTRATION DOA-2049 (R03/2012) DIVISION OF EXECUTIVE BUDGET AND FINANCE 101 EAST WILSON STREET, 10TH FLOOR P.O. BOX 7864 MADISON, WI 53707-7864 FAX: (608) 267-0372

ADMINISTRATIVE RULES Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis

Type of Estimate and Analysis ☐ Original ☐ Updated ☐ Corrected		
2. Administrative Rule Chapter, Title and Number DOC 373, DOC 393, and DOC 396		
3. Subject Removing Derogatory Language from DOC Administrative Code		
4. Fund Sources Affected ☐ GPR ☐ FED ☐ PRO ☐ PRS ☐ SEG ☐ SEG-S	5. Chapter 20, Stats. Appropriations Affected none	
6. Fiscal Effect of Implementing the Rule		
No Fiscal Effect ☐ Increase Existing Revenues	☐ Increase Costs	
☐ Indeterminate ☐ Decrease Existing Revenues	☐ Could Absorb Within Agency's Budget	
E indeterminate E Decrease Existing Nevertues	Decrease Cost	
7. The Rule Will Impact the Following (Check All That Apply)	□ Declease Cost	
	cific Businesses/Sectors	
·	lic Utility Rate Payers	
	all Businesses (if checked, complete Attachment A)	
8. Would Implementation and Compliance Costs Be Greater Than	•	
Yes No	φ20 ΠΠΠΟΠ :	
9. Policy Problem Addressed by the Rule		
The DOC is directed by Executive Order #15 to remove dere	ogatory language in DOC administrative, code that is	
enumerated in the executive order.	ogatory language in DOC autimistrative code that is	
10. Summary of the businesses, business sectors, associations representing business, local governmental units, and individuals that may be affected by the proposed rule that were contacted for comments.		
There should be no impact for the private sector or public sector as the rule amendments apply to DOC operations.		
11. Identify the local governmental units that participated in the development of this EIA. No local government units needed to participate in the development of these rule amendments since these rule changes only remove derogatory language.		
12. Summary of Rule's Economic and Fiscal Impact on Specific Businesses, Business Sectors, Public Utility Rate Payers, Local Governmental Units and the State's Economyas a Whole (Include Implementation and Compliance Costs Expected to be		
Incurred) The proposed rule is not enticipated to have significant adverse or material accommis impact on small businesses. The		
The proposed rule is not anticipated to have significant adverse or material economic impact on small businesses. The Department determined this rule would not adversely affect in a material way the economy, a sector of the economy,		
productivity, jobs, or the overall economic competitiveness of the state.		
13. Benefits of Implementing the Rule and Alternative(s) to Implementing the Rule		
The benefit of this rule is removing derogatory language from DOC admnistrative code.		
14. Long Range Implications of Implementing the Rule There are no long range implacations of implementing these rule changes.		
15. Compare With Approaches Being Used by Federal Government There are no federal regulations that address this issue.	nt .	
16. Compare With Approaches Being Used by Neighboring States In most cases, requirements vary moderately between the addetailed analysis, please refer to the proposed rule-making of	jacent states and those of Wisconsin as proposed. For a	

STATE OF WISCONSIN DEPARTMENT OF ADMINISTRATION DOA-2049 (R03/2012) DIVISION OF EXECUTIVE BUDGET AND FINANCE 101 EAST WILSON STREET, 10TH FLOOR P.O. BOX 7864 MADISON, WI 53707-7864 FAX: (608) 267-0372

ADMINISTRATIVE RULES Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis

17. Contact Name	18. Contact Phone Number
Peter James	(608) 240-5422

This document can be made available in alternate formats to individuals with disabilities upon request.

STATE OF WISCONSIN DEPARTMENT OF ADMINISTRATION DOA-2049 (R03/2012) DIVISION OF EXECUTIVE BUDGET AND FINANCE 101 EAST WILSON STREET, 10TH FLOOR P.O. BOX 7864 MADISON, WI 53707-7864 FAX: (608) 267-0372

ADMINISTRATIVE RULES Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis

ATTACHMENT A

 Summary of Rule's Economic and Fiscal Impact on Small Businesses (Separately for each Small Business Sector, Include Implementation and Compliance Costs Expected to be Incurred)
2. Summary of the data sources used to measure the Rule's impact on Small Businesses
3. Did the agency consider the following methods to reduce the impact of the Rule on Small Businesses?
☐ Less Stringent Compliance or Reporting Requirements
☐ Less Stringent Schedules or Deadlines for Compliance or Reporting
☐ Consolidation or Simplification of Reporting Requirements
☐ Establishment of performance standards in lieu of Design or Operational Standards
☐ Exemption of Small Businesses from some or all requirements
☐ Other, describe:
4. Describe the methods incorporated into the Rule that will reduce its impact on Small Businesses
5. Describe the Rule's Enforcement Provisions
6. Did the Agency prepare a Cost Benefit Analysis (if Yes, attach to form)
☐ Yes ☐ No